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## PREFACE.

The idea of publishing an English edition of my Handboek der Indische Klankleer (Leiden, Blankenberg \& Co. 1894) was suggested to me by others; but for that suggestion this translation certainly would not have been undertaken. In general I have followed the Dutch text: alterations have been made only, when there were positive inaccuracies in the Dutch edition or when an alteration had become necessary because of the progress of comparative philology since 1894. I have also considerably augmented the references to the scientific literature and somewhat enlarged the part on the accent. Some emendations are due to the recensions of Kern, Speyer, Zubatý [and Johansson], of whose observations and remarks I have made a grateful use.

I further need only repeat, what I said in the preface to the Dutch original: the purpose of my having composed this little handbook is to introduce the student into the compara-tive-phonetic study of Sanskrit. Though as a rule students of Classical and Germanic philology do not read Vedic texts, I have not hesitated to cite words and forms, which had already become obsolete in the epic and classical language, mentioning, of course, the fact, that such a word only oc-
curs in Vedic. In general I have avoided to cite Iranic, Lithuanian and Slavonic: I know; it is true, that this lessens the scientific worth of my book, but I do not think it desirable to trouble the student with several languages, which are either quite unknown to him or which he only just begins to learn.

May this edition be of some use to the students in England, America and India.
Amsterdam, Nov. 1897.
C. C. Uhlenbeck.
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## INTRODUCTION.

§ 1. The Indogermanic family of languages. The great family of languages, to which Sanskrit belongs, is called the Indogermanic, Indoceltic or Aryan. I prefer the first name, because it is the most usual, though the name Indoceltic may claim a greater accuracy. The word Indogermanic dates from a time, when it was not yet proved, that the Celtic dialects also make part of our family of languages, and indicates by the combined name of the utmost branches, Indian and Germanic, the whole territory of speech, to which they belong. Now that it is certain, that Celtic also is a member of our family, it would be accurate to replace the word Indogermanic by Indoceltic, because not Germanic, but Celtic is the utmost branch to the Occident. The name Indogermanic however is generally adopted and it would be impossible to supplant it by another. By the word Aryan is generally understood a certain subdivision of the Indogermanic family, viz. the IndoIranian, and therefore it would seem unsuitable to use this name also for the whole Indogermanic family. See G. Meyer, Idg. forschungen 2, 125 sqq. and Spiegel, Die Arische periode (Leipzig 1887) VI sq.

The Indogermanic family consists of the following nine groups:

1. Aryan, see § 2.
2. Armenian.
3. Phrygian-Thracian, only known from proper names, glosses and inscriptions.
4. Albanian.
5. Greek.
6. Italic, which comprises not only ancient languages of Italy (Latin, Oscian, Umbrian, Samnitic), but also the modern dialects, which have sprung from popular Latin.
7. Celtic, which is divided into Gallic (the extinct language of ancient Gallia), Britanniax (Cymric or Welsh, Cornish, Bas-Breton) and Gaelic (Scotch, Irish, Manx).
8. Germanic, which is commonly divided into an eastern and a western group. The eastern comprehends Gothic and Scandinavian (Icelandic, Danish, Norwegian, Swedish); to the westeru belong English (in its oldest literary period called Anglosaxon), Frisian and German (High-German and Low-German)
9. Balto-Slavonic, which consists of two groups, the Baltic and the Slavonic. The former is divided into Old-Prussian, Lithuanian and Lettish; the latter comprehends SouthernSlavonic (Ecclesiastical-Slavonic, Slovenian, Servo-Croatian, Bulgarian), Russian (Great-Russian, White-Russian, LittleRussian) and Western-Slavonic (Polish, Wendish, Bohemian and the extinct Polabic).

About the mutual relations of these groups see J. Schmidt, Die verwandtschaftsverhältnisse der Indogermanischen sprachen (Weimar 1872) and cf. Brugmann, Zur frage nach den verwandtschaftsverhältnissen der Indogermanischen sprachen (Techmer's Internationale Zeitschr. für allgemeine sprachwissenschaft 1, 226 sqq .).
§ 2. The Aryan group. The Aryan group is divided into two subdivisions:

1. Indian, see § 3 .
2. Iranian.

Ancient Iranian is handed down to us in two dialects, viz. Avestian and Old-Persian. Avestian is the language of the Avesta, the sacred book of the Parsis. The oldest parts of this Zoroastrian bible date back to many centuries before Christ, and their language is more archaic than the classical dialect of the younger Avesta. This oldest Avestian is called the Gäthä-dialect. In Old-Persian we have only the cuneiform inscriptions of the Achaemenidian kings, the oldest of which is that of Darius Hystaspes at Behistān and dates from $\pm 520$ before Christ. Numerous inscriptions are found on and near the ruins of Persepolis: the youngest of them is of the middle of the fourth century before Christ. See Bartholomae, Handbuch der Altiranischen dialekte (Leipzig 1883), Vorgeschichte der Iranischen sprachen (Grundriss der Iranischen philologie I); Williams Jackson, An Avesta grammar (Part I, Stiuttgart 1892); Spiegel, Die Altpersischen Keilinschriften ${ }^{2}$ (Leipzig 1881).
The language of the Sassanidian period is called MiddlePersian, Pahlavī or Huzrāresh. The modern Iranian dialects are New-Persian, Kurdish, Ossetic, Afghan, Balūčī \&c. Some of the principal works on these languages are: Horn, Grundriss der Neupersischen etymologie (Strassburg 1893); Hübschmann, Persische studien (Strassburg 1895), Etymologie und lautlehre der Ossetischen sprache (Strassburg 1887); Justi, Kurdische grammatik (Petersburg 1880); Trumpp, Grammar of the Paštō ( $=$ Afghan, London 1873); Geiger, Etymologie des Balūčī (München 1890), Lautlehre des Bal̄̄̄̌í (München 1891); Tomaschek, die Pamir-dialekte (Wien 1880).
§ 3. The Indian dialects. In the first place is to be
mentioned the Vedic dialect, which was spoken in the Paujāb and in Kābulistān $\pm 1500$ before Christ. Here arose the greater part of the rc-hymns, which in a later period, when the Indo-Aryans had spread more to the East, were collected into the Rgvedasamhita. From this time dates the greater part of the Vedic literature.
From an Indian dialect of the Veda-period sprang the samiskrta $b h a \bar{a} s \bar{a}$ (adorned, elaborated language) of Madhyadeça (the Midland, on the upper course of the Gangā and Yamun $\bar{a}$ ), which some centuries before Christ must have been a living language, be it not in quite the same form as in most of the literary Sanskrit works. To the time, when Sanskrit was yet living as a popular language, we may refer at least the bulk of the great epic poems Mahābhārata and Rāmāyaṇa. There are several reasons to assume that this spoken Sanskrit descends from an other Old-Indian dialect than that of the Vedic hymns (cf. §43). The most indispensable books for Sanskrit students are: Whitney, A Sanskrit grammar ${ }^{3}$ (Leipzig 1896), The roots, verb-forms and primary derivatives of the Sanskrit language (Leipzig 1885); Wackernagel, Altindische grammatik I (Göttingen 1896); Böhtlingk \& Roth, Sanskritwörterbuch (Petersburg 1855-18\%5). See also the list of books in Lanman's Sanskrit reader (Boston 1888), XVII sqq.

While Sanskrit continued as the language of the learned and educated, there arose numerous popular dialects or Prākrit's (prākrtā $b h a ̄ s a \bar{a}$, ordinary language), many of which are known to us, parlly from separate works, partly from Sanskrit plays (fifth, sixth and following ceuturies after Christ). At how early a time Sanskrit can no longer have been a popular language, appears from the Prākrit inscriptions of Açoka, king of Pāṭaliputra, who reigned from 259 till 222 before

Christ. Particularly interesting is that Prākrit, in which the sacred books of the southern Buddhists are written and which is called Pāli. Kern (Geschiedenis van het Buddhisme in Indië, Haarlem 1882-1884, 2, 339) says, that Pāli is a dialect, the place of which is not yet fixed with entire certainty, but that at all events it was not the language of Açoka and the kingdom of Magadha. Oldenberg (Buddha, Berlin 1881, 76) thinks, that Pāli was once the popular language of southern India. See about the ancient popular dialects of India Lassen, Institutiones linguae Pracriticae (Bonnae 1837); Jacobi, Ausgewählte erzählungen in Mahārāṣtrī (Leipzig 1886); Ernst Kuhn, Beiträge zur Pāli-grammatik (Berlin 1875) and other works.

From these Prākrits descend the modern Aryan vernaculars of India: Hindi (intermixed with numberless Persian and Arabian words it is called Hindustănī or Urd̄̄), Panjābī, Sindhī, Gujarāți, Marāṭhī, Oriya, Bengālī \&c. See Beames, A comparative grammar of the modern Aryan languages of India (London 1872-1879).
§4. Sounds and letters. The oldest Indian writing, that is known to us, is found in the inscriptions of Açoka: here we distinguish two different systems of characters, one of which has been evidently derived from a Semitic alphabet and the other may be of the same origin. From the latter descend the younger Indian alphabets, to which belongs Devanāgarī, properly the alphabet of Hindustān. The natives of India write Sanskrit in different modes, but by western scholars Devanāgarī is only used.

Here I give a list of the Sanskrit letters, according to the most usual system of transliteration: each character has always the same phonetic value and all sounds of the language are represented by a separate character.

## Diphthongs.

$\bar{a} i, \bar{a} u$.
Mutes.
Gutturals: $k, k h, g, g h$.
Linguals: $t, t h, d, d h$.
Dentals: $t$, $t h, d, d h$.
Labials: $\quad p, p h, b, b h$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Palatal affricates. } \\
c, c h, j, j h .
\end{gathered}
$$

Nasals.
$\dot{n}, \tilde{n}, n, n, m$ and the anusvāra and anunāsika, $\because$ hich in transliteration are both written $\dot{m}$.

Liquids.

$$
r, l .
$$

Semivowels.

$$
y, v .
$$

Sibilants.

$$
\varepsilon, s, s
$$

Aspiration. $h$ and the visarga $h$.

The usual order of arrangement is slightly different from that given above.

A few words must be said on the phonetic value of the Devanāgarì letters.

The $a, i$ and $u$ are short vowels: their pronunciation is nearly the same as in German. The corresponding long vowels are $\bar{a}, \bar{i}, \bar{u}$.

The $e$ and $o$ are long vowels with a narrow pronunciation: they are contractions of $a i$ and au.

The $r$ and $l$ are sonant liquids; $\bar{\gamma}$ and $\bar{l}$ are the corresponding long ones, but ? does not occur in a single genuine Sanskrit word.

The $\bar{a} i$ and $\bar{a} u$ are diphthongs: their first component $\bar{a}$ is


The $k$ and $g$ are the ordinary European $k$ - and $g$-sounds.. Their corresponding aspirates are $k h(k+k)$ and $g h(g+k)$.

The $t$ and $d$ are distinguished from the dentals by turning: up the tip of the tongue to the palate. Their corresponding aspirates are $t h(t+h)$ and $d k(d)+h)$.

The $t$ and $d$ are ordinary dentals, i. e. they are formed by turning up the tip of the tongue to the upper teeth. Their corresponding aspirates are $t h(t+h)$ and $d h(d+h)$.

The $p$ and $b$ are ordiuary labials and $p h(p+k)$ and $b k$ $(b+k)$ are their corresponding aspirates.

The $c$ and $j$ are palatal affricates: $c$ consists of $t+s$ and $j$ of $d+\%$ Engl. ch in chaff, chain, choose, churl and $g, j$ in gem, gentleman, gin, jail, jam, jest, jockey are nearly the same sounds.

Each of the nasals is akin to one of the series of consonants given above: the $i$ is guttural ( $=$ engl. $n g$ in long, sing), the $n$ lingual, the $n$ dental, the $m$ labial and the $\bar{n}$
palatal. By $\dot{m}$ (anusvāra and anunāsika) is indicated the nasal pronunciation of a preceding vowel.

The $r$ must have been a lingual sound, because it has the power to lingualize neighbouring dentals. The $l$ is a dental sound.

The $y$ is a semivowel, which is closely related to the vowel $i$; i.e. it is a consouant $i$ like engl. $y$ in year, yard, yoke. The $v$ originally stood in the same relation to $u$ and had the sound of engl. $w$ in wall, word, wear, but from an early time it was changed to a spirant (engl. $v$ in veil, vain, vine), except when preceded by a consonant in the same syllable.

The $c$ is palatal like engl. sh in short, shield, shut. The $s$ is lingual and distinguished from the $s$ in the same way as $t, d, n$ from $t, d, n$. The $s$ is our ordinary surd $s$.

By $h$ and $h$ are understood our usual $h$ (spiritus asper), but the visarga ( $h$ ) is usually neglected by us in the pronunciation of Sanskrit words.

## PARTI.

## SYSTEM OF YOWELS.

A. The representation of the Indogermanic vowels in Sanskrit.
§ 5. The Indogermanic vowels in general. There was a great variety of vowels in the mother-language, but their accurate pronunciation is not definable. We indicate these vowels by the following letters:

$$
a, \bar{a} ; e, \bar{e} ; 0, \bar{o} ; \partial ; i, \bar{\imath} ; u, \bar{u} .
$$

The $e, \bar{e}, o, \bar{o}$ had a broad pronunciation. The $a$ was perhaps something between $a$ and engl. $e$ in oldest, father.

There were also sonant nasals and liquids, which are written $m, n, r ; l$. We understand by $n$ not only the dental $n$ sonans, but also the palatal and guttural sonant nasals. Some scholars deny the existence of these sounds in the motherlanguage: see Bechtel, Die hauptprobleme der Indogermanischen lautlehre seit Schleicher (Göttingen 1892), 114 sqq. and J. Schmidt, Kritik der sonantentheorie (Weimar 1895), cf. Hirt, Idg. forschungen 7, 147 sqq.

Combinations of vowels with semivowels, nasals and liquids were very common:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a i, \quad \bar{a} i, \quad e i_{i}^{i}, \bar{e}_{n}^{i}, \quad o i, \bar{o}_{i}^{i} ; \\
& \text { au, } \bar{a} u, e_{n}^{u}, \overline{e x}, u_{c}, \overline{o u} ; \\
& a m, \bar{a} m, e m, \bar{c} m, \quad o m, \bar{o} m, \partial m ; \\
& a n, \bar{a} n, e n, \bar{e} n, o n, \bar{o} n, \partial n ; \\
& \text { ar, } \bar{a} r, \text { er, } \overline{e r}, o r, \bar{o} r, \partial r ; \\
& a l, \bar{a} l, \quad e l, \bar{e} l, \quad o l, \quad \bar{o} l, ~ a l .
\end{aligned}
$$

Many scholars assume, that there were also long sonant nasals and liquids in the mother-language. See de Saussure, Mémoire sur le système primitif des voyelles dans les langues Indo-Européennes (Paris 188\%), 262 sqq. and Brugmann, Grundriss der vergleichenden grammatik der Indogermanischen sprachen (Strassburg 1886—1893), 1, $208 \mathrm{sq} .243 \mathrm{sqq} .:$ against this theory Bechtel 203 sqq.
§ 6. The Indogermanic vowel-gradation. The Indogermanic mother-language had four series of vowels, based on $e, \bar{e}, \bar{a}$ and $\bar{o}$. De Saussure 134 sqq . has tried to prove, that the long base-vowels $\bar{e}, \bar{a}, \bar{o}$ are contractions of $e$ with a short vowel and considers the $\bar{e}-, \bar{a}$ - and $\bar{o}$-series only as special cases of the $e$-series.

Hübschmann, Das Indogermanische vocalsystem (Strassburg 1885), Brugmann 1, 248 sqq., Bartholomae, Bezz. Beitr. 17, 91 sqq . and others assume an $a$-series and an 0 -series besides the four given above. Against this opinion Bechtel 256 sqq .

The base-vowels $e, \bar{e}, \bar{a}, \bar{o}$ were weakened in all syllables, which had but a slight accent or no accent at all. In the latter case the radical vowel was lost without leaving any trace, but in syllables with a slight accent $e$ was reduced to a (Bartholomae, Bezz. Beitr. 17, 109 sqq., cf. also skr. timirá-s ${ }^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{dark}^{\mathrm{s}}$ : támas ${ }^{\text {'darkness') }} \bar{e}$ to $e$ or $\partial, \bar{a}$ to $a$ or $\partial, \bar{o}$ to $o$ or a (Bechtel 248).

But a regular vowel-exchange is found also in accentuated
syllables: so we have an ablaut e:o, $\bar{e}: \bar{o}, \bar{a}: \bar{o}$, but the basevowel $\bar{o}$ is not met with in a modified form. The causes of this ablaut are not yet clear. Kretschmer (Kuhn's Zeitschr. 31, 366 sqq.) has shown, that G. Meyer's way of explaining it (Kuhn's Zeitschr. 24, 227 sqq.) can not be the right one.

The base-vowel $e(o)$ often was lengthened to $\bar{e}(\bar{o})$ : Streitberg (Idg. forschungen 3, 305 sqq .) has tried to explain this phenomenon. I see no reason to admit with Bartholomae, that there was also a dehnstufe of $\bar{e}, \bar{a}, \bar{o}$.
I. $e$-series.
Weak: Strong: Lengthened:
A. - , a.
B. $i(i), \bar{z}$.
C. $u(u), \bar{u}$.
D. $m(m), \partial m(m \partial)$.
E. $n(\eta), \partial n(n \partial)$.
F. $r(r), \partial r(r a)$.
G. $l(l), a l(l a)$.
$e$,


em ( $m e$ ), om ( $m o$ ). $\bar{e} m(m e \bar{e}), \bar{o} m(m o ̄)$.
en (ne), on (no). $\bar{e} n(n \bar{e}), \bar{o} n(n \bar{o})$.
er (re), or (ro). $\bar{e} r(r \bar{e}), \bar{o} r \quad(r o ̄)$.
el (le), ol (lo). èl (lē), ōl (lō).

When $e$ was lost before (or after) $\underset{\sim}{i}, \underset{c}{u}, m, n, r, l$ these semivowels, nasals and liquids remained consonant before vowels, but hecame sonant before consonants. Concerning $i$, $\bar{u}, \partial m, \partial n, \partial r, a l$ must be observed, that they occur not only as a-degree of $e \underset{\sim}{i}$, eu, em, en, er, el, but also as weakest degree of eire, eue, eme, ene, ere, ele: see de Saussure $239 \mathrm{sqq} . ;$ Brugmann 1, 104 sqq.; Bartholomae, Bezz. Beitr. 17, 109 sqq. I assume with Bartholomae, that $i$ and $\bar{u}$ are contractions of $\partial+\underset{\sim}{i}$ and $a+u$ and stand on a level with $\partial m, \partial n, \partial r: \partial l$.
II. $\bar{e}$-series.

Weak:
Strong:
A. - , a, e.
B. $\underset{\sim}{i}(i), i, e \underset{i}{i}(\underset{i}{i} e)$.
C. u (u), $\bar{u}$, eư (ưe).
$\bar{e}, \quad \bar{o}$.
$\bar{e}_{\underset{\sim}{i}}^{i} \quad(\underset{\sim}{i} \bar{e}), \bar{o} \underset{\sim}{i} \quad(i \bar{o})$.
$\bar{e} u \quad(u \bar{e}), \bar{o} u \quad(\underset{\sim}{u} \bar{o})$.

The relation of $\underset{\sim}{i}, u$ to $i, u$ in $\bar{e} \underset{\sim}{i}-(\underset{\sim}{i} \bar{e})$ and $\bar{e} u-(u \bar{e}-)$ roots is the same as in $e i-\left(\underset{\sim}{-} e-\right.$ ) and eur- (ue-) roots: we find $\underset{\sim}{i},{ }_{n}^{u}$ before vowels and $i, u$ before consonants. About the $\bar{e} i-$ and ēu-roots see Schulze, Kuhn's Zeitschr. 27, 420 sqq.; Wiedemann, Das Litauische praeteritum (Strassburg 1891), 25 sqq. 32.

IlI. $\bar{a}$-series.
Weak:
Strong :
A. - $\quad \partial, a . \quad \bar{a}, \quad \bar{o}$.

C. $u(u), \bar{u}$, $a_{n} u(\underset{\sim}{u} a)$. $\bar{a} u(\underset{\sim}{u} \bar{a}), \bar{o} u(u \bar{o})$.

Concerning the roots with $\underset{\sim}{i}, \underset{\sim}{u}$ we must observe, that the mutual relation of $\underset{\sim}{i}, \underset{\sim}{u}$ and $i, u$ in the weakest degree is the same as in the $e$ - and $\bar{e}$-series. About the ablaut in $\bar{a} i \underline{i}$ - and āu-roots see Schulze, Kuhn's Zeitschr. 27, 420 sqq.; Kretschmer, Kuhn's Zeitschr. 31, 385 sqq.; Wiedemann 29. 32 sq.
IV. $\bar{o}$-series.

Weak:
A. - , a, o.
B. $\underset{\sim}{i}(i), \quad \bar{i}, \quad o i \underset{\sim}{\text {. }}$
C. u (u), $\bar{u}$, oun.

Strong:
$\bar{o}$.
${ }_{\bar{o}}^{i}$.
ou.

The relation of $\underset{\sim}{i}, \underset{\sim}{u}$ to $i, u$ in the weakest degree of roots with $\underset{\sim}{i}, \underset{\sim}{u}$ is the same as in the $e-, \bar{e}$ - and $\bar{a}$-series. About the $\bar{o} i-$ and $\bar{o} u$-roots see Schulze, Kuhn's Zeitschr. 27, 420 sqq.;

Kretschmer, Kuhn's Zeitschr. 31, 385 sqq.; Wiedemann 29, 33 sqq.; Bechtel 274.

Examples of the vowel-gradation.
I. $e$-series.

The noun-stem *ped-. Weak: ved. upabdá-, trampling, noise., avest. frabda-, fore part of the foot, gr. $\dot{\xi} \pi / \beta \delta \alpha t$, the day after a festival. Against our expectation we find the unweakened $e$ in the gen. sing. skr. padás, lat. pedis. Strong: gr. móda. Lengthened: lat. pēs; gr. dor. $\pi \omega$ 's, goth. fötus, skr. acc. sing. pádam, nom. sing. pát.

The suffix -ter-, for instance in *pater-. Weak: gen. sing. gr. татрós, goth. fadrs; loc. plur. skr. pitṛ́su, gr. $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma$. Strong: acc. sing. skr. pitáram, gr. $\pi \alpha \tau \xi \in \rho \alpha$ gr. $\varepsilon \dot{v} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau 0 \rho \alpha$. Lengthened: nom. sing. skr. pitấ, gr. $\pi \alpha \tau$ и́p; gr. sỉ $\pi \alpha ́ \tau \omega \rho$.

Also the suffixes -en-, -men- (weak before vowels -n-, -mn-; before consonants $-\eta_{-}^{-},-m i n-$-) and numerous verbal roots as *pet-, to fly, *bheid-, to split, *bheudh-, to perceive, *gem-, to go, *men-, to think, *bher-, to bear, *kel, to raise up.
II. $\bar{e}$-series.

The root ${ }^{*} d h e^{-}$, to put. Weak: 1 pers. plur. praes. ind. act. skr. dadhmás; part. perf. pass. skr. -dhita-s, hitá-s, lat. crēditus; gr. $\theta \varepsilon \tau \delta_{\epsilon}$, eै $\theta \varepsilon \tau \%$. Strong: l pers. sing. praes. ind. act. skr. dádhāmi, gr. тiӨทuı; gr. Өwんóc, heap, goth. dōms, judgment.

The root *sē-, to throw. Weak: lat. satus; gr. Eróc. Strong:

III. $\bar{a}$-series.

The root *sth $\bar{a}$-, to stand. Weak: ved. savyest! $h a t$ (savyest!hár-), the warrior, who stands on the left of the charioteer; part. perf. pass. skr. sthitá-s, gr. $\sigma \tau \alpha \tau o ̋ s$. Strong : 1 pers. sing. praes. ind. act. skr. tísthtāmi, gr. ''бтицı.

The root ${ }^{*} \bar{a} \hat{g}-$, to drive. Weak: ved. jmán-, path, way; 1 pers. sing. praes. ind. act. (originally $\left.{ }^{*} a \hat{g} \bar{d}\right)$ skr. ájāmi, gr.
 lat. ambāges; gr. aं $\gamma \omega \gamma$ й.
IV. $\bar{o}$-series.

The root ${ }^{*} d \bar{o}-$, to give. Weak: skr. part. perf. pass. $\bar{a}-t t a-s$, taken away; ved. devá-tta-s, given by a god; gr. dávos, lat. datus, dator; gr. dorós. Strong: 1 pers. sing. praes. ind. act. skr. dàdāmi, gr. $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$, , lat. dōnum.

The root ${ }^{*} \bar{\partial} d-$, to smell. Weak: gr. |  |
| :---: |
| $\zeta$ | , $\delta \delta \mu \dot{\prime}$, lat. odor.



Cf. Brugmann 1, 250 sqq., who differs in many points from the exposition given above.

It can not be denied, that it is very difficult to distinguish the $\bar{e}$-series from the $\bar{a}$-series, cf. skr. cáru-, gladsome, pleasant: lat. cārus, goth. hōrs; obg. stēm, stām: gr. í írnul (dor. ï $\sigma \tau \bar{\alpha} \mu t)$, skr. tisṭthami \&c.
§ 7. Idg. a. The idg. $a$ is represented in Aryan by $a$. Tautosyllabic $a i, ~ a u$ were contracted in Sanskrit to $e$, o.
 lat. agō, icel. inf. aka.
idg. *aĝrós: ved. ájra-s, field, plain, gr. ảr pós, lat. ager, goth. akrs.

 $\bar{u} c e r$ ( ${ }^{*} \dot{a} \hat{k} \mathrm{c} r o-s$ ) and ved. áçmā ( $n$ ), stone, gr. $\ddot{\alpha} x \mu \omega v$.
idg. *áñghos: ved. ámhas, distress, lat. angus- in angüustus, cf. ved. am̉hú-, narrow, gotb. aggwus and also gr. $\ddot{\alpha}_{\gamma} \chi \omega$, lat.

idg. *anz-, *ane-: skr. ániti, he breathes, anilá-s, wind, gr. äעєцоя, lat. animus, anima, goth. praet. uzōn, expired.
idg. *anti: ved. ánti, opposite, before, gr. $\dot{\alpha} v \tau i$, lat. ante, goth. and.
idg. *apo: skr. ápa, away, forth, off, gr. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\prime}$, goth. af.
idg. *arĝ-: skr. árjuna-s, white, ved. rajatá-s, silvery, skr. rajatá- $m$, silver (perhaps idg. ${ }^{*}$ râg- by the side of ${ }^{*}$ ar $\hat{g}-$; Avestian has ĕr $\neq z a t a-$, silver), gr. «̈prupos, lat. argentum.
idg. *ghans-: skr. hainsí-s, goose, swan, gr. x'и, lat. anser (*hanser), ohg. gans.

 worship, sacrifice, gr. $\dot{\alpha} \gamma{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \dot{s}$ (idg. *íaĝnó-s), ved. yajás, worship, gr. ár $\gamma 0 \varsigma$ (idg. *iagos), ved. yajya-, venerable, gr. ${ }^{*} \gamma 10 s$ (idg. *iaĝio-s , *i $\left.{ }_{n} a \hat{g} i i n o-s\right)$.
idg. *kakud-, *kakī̄d-: skr. kakút (d), kakúdmān (nt), top, summit, lat. cacūmen (*${ }^{*}$ cacūdmen).
idg. *kark-: skr. karká-s, karkata-s, crab, gr. «xpxivos, lat. cancer (* carcer or ${ }^{*}$ carcen?)
idg. ${ }^{*}$ mad-: ved. mádāmi (*${ }^{*}$ madámi), I am drunk, gr. $\mu x \delta \alpha ́ \omega$, lat. madeō (see Zubatý, Archiv f. Slav. phil. 13, 418 sqq.).
idg. ${ }^{*}$ skandṑ: skr. skándāmi (*skandámi), I spring, lat. scandō.
Heterosyllabic ain, au:
idg. *saióo-: ved. sayatvá-m, tie, from the root * ${ }^{*} \bar{a} i-$, *sai-, see below.
idg. *aútó: skr. ávāmi (*avámi), I help, I protect, cf. lat. aveō.

Tautosyllabic $a i$, $a \psi$, contracted to $e, a$ :
idg. *aidh-: skr. édhas, fuel, gr. ail $\theta \omega$, lat. aedes, also ohg. eit, ags. $\bar{a} d$, funeral pile.
idg. ${ }^{*}$ saí- (weak form of ${ }^{*} s \bar{a}_{n}^{i}-$ ): skr. sétu-s, joining, dam, bridge, ved. setár-, who binds, lat. saeta, bristle, ohg. seïd, ags. sāda, snare, string.
idg. *aug-: skr. ajas, ved. ojmán-, strength, power, lat. augustus, augmentum, augē̄, goth. aukan, cf. gr. aủ ${ }^{\alpha} \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \omega$, lat. auxilium.
§ 8. Idg. $\overline{\mathbf{a}} . \operatorname{ldg} . \bar{a}=$ skr. $\bar{a}:$
idg. ${ }^{*} \bar{a} \hat{g} i-s: ~ s k r . ~ a ̄ j i ́ s, ~ r a c e, ~ c o n t e s t, ~ c f . ~ g r . ~ б \tau ~ р а т и \gamma о ́ s ~(\sigma \tau \rho \alpha-~$ $\tau \bar{\alpha} \gamma \dot{o} \xi$ ) and skr. samāja-s, meeting, company. A weak form of the root is found in skr. ájāmi, gr. $\ddot{\alpha}^{2} \gamma \omega$, lat. agó, icel. inf. aka.
idg. *iā $\bar{g} 0-s: ~ s k r . ~-y a j a-$, sacrifice ( $y \bar{a} g a-$ has got $g$ by analogy), cf. skr. yájāmi, avest. yazāmi, gr. áלouaı.
idg. *bhāghu-s: skr. bāhư-s, arm, gr. $\pi \tilde{\eta} \chi \nu \varsigma, ~ d o r . ~ \pi \tilde{\alpha} \chi \cup \varsigma$, obg. buog:
 bröpar.
idg. *māter-: skr. mātá, gr. $\mu \dot{\imath} \tau и \rho, ~ d o r . ~ \mu \bar{\alpha} т и \rho, ~ l a t . ~ m a ̄ t e r, ~$ ohg. muoter.
 lat. stāre.
idg. *éêkūa : skr. áçvā, mare, lat. equa.
Heterosyllabic $\bar{a} \hat{,}, \bar{a} u$ :
idg. *sāio-: skr. sāyá-m, evening, if it belongs to ${ }^{*}$ sāiz-*sai-, to bind, to fasten, to loosen (for the signification cf. gr. $\beta \circ v \lambda \bar{v} \tau \delta \nu \delta \varepsilon)$.
 Weaker forms of this root are contained in gr. סai $\omega$ (idg. *dauī̄), skr. dunómi, I burn, I torture (idg. *dunéumi).
idg. ${ }^{*}$ ắưm : skr. acc. sing. nấvam, ship, gr. vиิa, lat. nāvem, cf. icel. lat. nói.

Tautosyllabic $\bar{a} i, \bar{a} u$ :
idg. $-\bar{a} i n: ~ v e d . ~ d a t . ~ s i n g . ~ f e m . ~ s u v a p a t y a ̂ ́ i, ~ t o ~ h e r ~ w h o ~ h a s ~$

idg. *náur-s: skr. náa-s, ship, gr. va $\tilde{v}_{G}$, cf. icel. naust.
§ 9. Idg, e. The idg. e became a before the separation of Indian and Iranian and fell together with the idg. a (see §7) and $o$ (see § ll). This change took place after the palatalization of gutturals: see § 53.
idg. *ésti: skr. ásti, is, gr. ${ }^{\text {Ë }} \sigma \tau \iota$, lat. est, goth. ist.

idg. *bhérō: skr. bhárāmi, I bear, gr. Фধ̣́ $\omega$, lat. ferō, goth. baźra.
idg. *génos, *génes-: ved. jánas, family, race, gr. үغ́vos (gen. y'́vsos), lat. genus (gen. generis).
idg. *ghen-: skr. hánmi, I beat, I kill, gr. ©eivw.
idg. * ghéros: ved. háras, heat, gr. Aépos.
 $\nu \varepsilon \Phi \in ́ \lambda и$, lat. nebula, ohg. nebul.
idg. *pénqe : skr. páñca, five, gr. $\pi \hat{\varepsilon} \nu \tau \varepsilon$, lat. quinque, goth. fimf.
idg. *peq-: skr. pácāmi, I cook, gr. жє́ $\sigma \sigma \omega$, lat. coquō.
idg. *péri: skr. pári, around, gr. $\pi \varepsilon_{\text {fíl }}$, goth. faír-.
idg. ${ }^{*} p e t-: ~ s k r . ~ p a ́ t a ̄ m i, ~ I ~ f l y ; ~ I ~ f a l l, ~ g r . ~ \pi ध ́ \tau о \mu \alpha ı, ~ \pi i \pi \tau \omega, ~$ lat. petō.
idg. ${ }^{*} q e$ : skr. $c a$, and, gr. $\tau \varepsilon$, lat. que.
idg. *qeruts: skr. carú-s, kettle, pot, a certain offeringporridge, icel. hverr.
idg. *qetuórres: skr. catváras, four, goth. fidwōr, cf. lat. quatuor and gr. $\tau \xi \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$.
idg. *régos, *réges-: skr. rájas, atmosphere, mist, gloom, dust, darkness, goth. riqis, cf. gr. ${ }_{\xi \rho \varepsilon} \in \beta_{0 与}$ (*ere gos).
idg. *séghos, *séghes-: skr. sáhas, strength, might, violence, goth. sigis, cf. skr. sáhāmi, I overpower, I withstand, gr. éz $\boldsymbol{\text { a }}$.
idg. *uégh $\bar{o}: ~ s k r . ~ v a ́ h a ̄ m i, ~ I ~ c a r r y, ~ g r . ~ p a m p h y l . ~ r e ́ \chi \omega, ~ l a t . ~$ vehō, goth. -wiga.
idg. *ûque, *lúqe: skr. voŕka, voc. wolf, gr. $\lambda u ́ x \varepsilon$, cf. lat. lupe (only for the ending of the vocative; the word lupus is to be separated from $\lambda$ dixos). Goth. wulf has lost the auslaut-e.
idg. *bhérethe, *bhérete: skr. bháratha, you bear, gr. Фépєтє, goth. baírip.

Heterosyllabic ei, eu:
idg. ${ }^{*}$ qeir-: ved. cáyate, pumishes, gr. arcad. $\tau \varepsilon i \omega$.
idg. ${ }^{*}$ smeì-: skr. smáyate, smiles, cf. gr. $\mu \in ı \delta \iota \alpha ́ \omega, ~ Ф ı \lambda о \mu-$ $\mu \varepsilon i \delta и ̆ s, ~ e n g l . ~ s m i l e . ~$
idg. *k̂léuos: skr. çrávas, glory, fame, gr. x $\lambda$ éos.
Tautosyllabic $e i$, $e \underset{\sim}{n}=$ skr. $e, o$ (contracted from $a i, a u \underset{\sim}{u}$ ):
idg. *éĩmi: skr. émi, gr. cì $\mu$.
idg. ${ }^{*}$ ghéimen-: ved. héman-, winter, gr. $\chi \varepsilon \bar{\imath} \mu x, \chi \in ı \mu \dot{v} \nu$.
idg. *bhéưdhō: skr. bódhāmi, I perceive, goth. biuda, cf.

 goblet, and skr. jusíte, is glad, loves, gr. ycúm, lat. gustus, goth. kiusan, kustus.
§ 10. Idg. $\overline{\boldsymbol{e}}$. The idg. $\bar{e}$ became $\bar{a}$ before the end of the Aryan period, but after the palatalization of gutturals (see § 53). So it fell together with the idg. $\bar{a}$ (see § 8) and $\bar{o}$ (see § 12).
idg. *ēd-: skr. (Pāṇini) áda, have (has) eaten, ādiván (ỉs), having eaten, gr. Ėठyঠ̀'s, lat. èdimus, goth. ētum.
idg. ${ }^{*} \hat{e}_{s m}$ : skr. ấsam, I was, gr. hom. म̆ $\alpha$.
idg. *dhē-: skr. dádhāmi, I put, gr. tiӨиul.
idg. * gēni-: skr. -j̄̄ni-, wife, goth. qēns.
idg. *plē-: ved. prātá-, prāna-, full, gr. $\pi \lambda$ и́рия, lat. plēnus, -plētus.
idg. ${ }^{*} r e ̄ g ̄-: ~ s k r . ~ r a ̂ ́ j-~(n o m . ~ r a ́ t ~ i n s t e a d ~ o f ~ * ~ r a ̄ k, ~ i d g . ~ * ~ r e ̄ k s), ~$ king, lat. rēx, gall. -rix (goth. reiks is of Celtic origin).




idg. *mātē (r): skr. mātá, mother, gr. нítир, lat. māter, ohg. muoter. The -ter- stems in Lithuanian have the nominative in $-t e ̀$; so lith. moté, wife, $=\mathrm{skr}$. mātát

Heterosyllabic $\bar{e} \underset{\sim}{i}, \bar{e} \underset{\sim}{u}$ :
idg. *uèinu-s: skr. vāyúus, wind, air, cf. vâti, gr. änoı.
idg. *dhéūō: skr. dhávāmi, I run, cf. gr. đéw.
Tautosyllabic $\bar{e}_{n}, \bar{e} u$ :


§ 11. Idg. 0. The idg. $a$ became $a$ before the separation of Indian and Iranian and fell together with the idg. a (see § 7) and $e$ (see § 9). Cf. however P. B. Beitr. 22, 546, where I have suggested a restriction of this rule.
 lat. octō, goth. ahtau.

- idg. *opos: ved. ápas, work, lat. opus.
idg. *osth-: skr. asthi, bone, gr. ठ̇otéov, lat. os (gen. ossis from *osthes, see Zubatý, Kuhn's Zeitschr. 31, 6).
idg. *bhéronti: skr. bháranti, they bear, gr. Ф́́poūt, dor. pépoutl.
idg. *dedórk̂e: skr. dadárça, has seen, gr. סédopxs.
idg. *dork̂ééō : skr. darçáyāmi, I let see, I show, goth. gatarhja.
idg. *dbmo-s, *domu-s: ved. dáma-s, house, gr. Jómos, lat. domus.
idg. *geğóna: skr. jajäna, I produced, gr. y'̇zova.
idg. *ghono-s: skr. ghaná-s, slayer (ved.), compact, compacted mass, cloud, gr. Фóvos, cf. skr. hánmi, gr. 日eiva.
idg. *koks $\bar{a}:$ skr. kaks $\bar{a}$ (káksocs), region of the girth, girdle, cincture, circular wall, enclosed court, lat. coxa, mhg. hahse.
idg. *qotero-s: skr. katarórs, who (from two), gr. пót ion. xóтepos, cf. goth. hwapar.
idg. *moni-s: skr. maniz-s, jewel (though Vedic, this word must be a prācritism; genuine-skr. would be *mani-s), os. meni, cf. lat. monile.
idg. *póti-s: skr. páti-s, lord, husband, gr. $\pi \delta \dot{\sigma} \iota \xi$, goth.
 skr. pátn̄̀, lady, mistress, wife, gr. тótvia.
idg. *próti: skr. práti, against, gr. $\pi \rho o t l(\pi \rho o ́ s)$.
idg. ${ }^{*}$ rótho-s, ${ }^{*}$ rothā $: ~ s k r . ~ r a ́ t h a-s, ~ c h a r i o t, ~ l a t . ~ r o t a, ~$ ohg. rad. Of. also gr. fodos, which allows however an other explication.
idg. *úzqo-s, *lúqo-s: skr : ṿ̂ka-s, wolf, gr. $\lambda \cup{ }^{\prime}$ кos, goth. wulfs.
idg. *é-bhereto: skr. ábharata, gr. モ̇Ф́́p६тo, 3 pers. sing. imperf. med. from the root *bher-, to bear.

Heterosyllabic oi, ou:
idg. *duoióos: skr. dvayá-s, double, gr. סoiós.
idg. "óui-s: skr. ávi-s, sheep, gr. ơic, ois, lat. ovis, ohg. awi.
Tautosyllabic $o_{\sim}^{i}$, ou $=$ skr. $e$, o (contracted from $a i$, $a u$ ):
idg. *elóóqqa: skr. riréca, I left, gr. $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \lambda o ı \pi \alpha$, goth. laihu.
idg. *ufida: skr. véda, I know, gr. oil $\alpha$, goth. wait.
idg. *bhéroís: skr. bháres, thou mayst bear, gr. Фépors : goth. bairais.
idg. *bhebhóudha: skr. bubodha, l perceived, cf. goth. baup.
idg. *sŭnous: skr. sūnós, goth. sunaus, gen. of skr. sūnú-s, son, goth. sunus.

I can not agree with Brugmann (Kuhn's Zeitschr. 24, 1 sqq., Morphol. unters. 3, 91 sqq.), Osthoff (Morphol. unters. 1, 207 sqq. note, cf. 4, 303 note), Streitberg (Idg. forschungen 3,

364 sqq.) and others, who suppose, that the idg. o in Aryan always became $\bar{a}$ in open syllables. J. Schmidt (Kuhn's Zeitschr. 25,1 sqq.) and Meillet (Mém. de la Soc. de Ling. 9, 142 sqq .) have proved, that the idg. o in open syllables generally is represented by $a$. The $\bar{a}$ of skr. jánu, knee, must not be compared with the $o$ of gr. rove, but with the $\omega$ of gr. ravio and skr. dấru, wood, is not identical with gr. dópu, but differs from it in the radical vowel ( $\bar{o}: 0$ ). So the $\bar{a}$ of skr. pádam, dātáram does not correspond to the 0 of gr. $\pi 0 \delta \alpha$,
 the vocalisation of the causatives (skr. svāpáyāmi = lat. sopiō) and iteratives (ved. patáyāmi, cf. gr. motéo $\mu \alpha$ ) see not only Meillet, but also Delbrück, Idg. forschungen 4, 132 sq .

De Saussure 96 sq. and Bartholomae (Bezz. Beitr. 17, 93, 108) have made probable, that there was originally a difference between the $o$ in the $e$-series and the $o$, which is weakened from $\bar{o}$ : in Armenian $o: e$ is represented by $o$, but $o: \bar{o}$ has become $a$. In as early a period as the Aryan or Indo-Iranian these two o's had fallen together.
§ 12. Idg. $\overline{\boldsymbol{0}}$. The idg. $\bar{o}$ became $\bar{a}$ and fell together with the idg. $\bar{a}$ (see § 8) and $\bar{e}$ (see § 10). This transition took place in the same time as the change of $o$ to $a$, i. e. in the Aryan period (see § 11).
idg. ${ }^{*} \hat{k} \hat{k} u \hat{u}-s: ~ s k r . ~ \bar{a} c ̧ u ́-s, ~ s w i f t, ~ g r . ~ \omega ̉ x u ́ s, ~ c f . ~ l a t . ~ c o m p . ~ o ̄ c i o r . ~$

idg. ${ }^{*}{ }^{\prime} \grave{o} n o-m$ : skr. dánna-m, gift, lat. dōnum, cf. idg. *didōti, skr. dádāàti (for *didāti), gives, gr. $\delta i \delta \omega \sigma$.
 ablatives ending in $-\bar{o} d,-\bar{o}$.
idg. *לhéretōd: ved. bháratād, must bear, gr. Фع $\varepsilon \varepsilon \in \tau \omega$, cf. lat.fertō.
idg. ${ }^{*} s u$ ésō(r): skr. svásā (r), sister, lat. soror, goth. swistar,

 baíra.

Heterosyllabic $\bar{o} i=\bar{o} u$ :
idg. *pöiu-: ved. pāyin-s, guarding, protecting, protector,
 (gr. $\pi 01 \mu भ^{\prime} \nu$ ), ${ }^{*} p \bar{i}$ (ved. -piti-).
idg. *döuen-, *dōmen-: ved. inf. dāváne, dámane, to give,


Tautosyllabic $\bar{o} \underset{i}{i}, \bar{o} u{ }_{c}$ (of $\bar{o} \underset{\sim}{i}$ no example):
idg. *góvu-s: skr. gấu-ṣ, cow, bull, gr. ßoũc, lat. bōs (*vōs), ohg. chuo.
§ 13. Idg. $\theta$. The idg. a in general is represented in Aryan by $i$. So this Indo-Iranian $i$ can be a weak form of $a$ (idg. $e$ ) as well as of $\bar{a}$ (idg. $\bar{e}, \bar{a}, \bar{o}$ ). The tautosyllabic vowel-combinations $\underset{\sim}{i}$, $\partial \underline{u}$ had been contracted to $i, \bar{u}$ before the end of the Indogermanic period.

Examples of $a: e$ (Bartholomae):
idg. *anə-, *ane-: skr. ánimi, I breathe, anilá-, wind: gr. дै $\nu \varepsilon \mu \circ$.
idg. *bhera-tro-m, *bhere-tro-m: ved. bharitra-m, arm: gr. Фя́pєтроу, lat. feretrum.

idg. *isə-ró-s, *ise-ró-s: ved. işirá-s, strong, lively, quick: gr. ispós.

Further also:
idg. *təmə-ró-s: skr. timirá-s, dark: támas, darkness.
idg. *ghan-: skr. hinásmi, himsāmi, I hurt: hánmi, I slay. Other instances of idg. a, skr. $i$ :
idg. ${ }^{*} p \not \partial t e ́(r)$ : skr. pitấ, father, gr. $\pi \alpha \tau \dot{x} \rho$, lat. pater, goth. fadar.
idg. *stható-s: skr. sthitó-s, standing, gr. $\sigma \tau \alpha \tau o ́ c$.
idg. *é-doto: skr. ádita, 3 pers. sing. aor. med. of dádāmi,


De Saussure 150 thinks, that 2 , when followed by $i$ or $u$, is represented in Indian by $a$, but the inaccuracy of this opinion is clearly shown by Bechtel 250 sqq. This scholar supposes, that a fell together with $a$, if it had got the stress before the Aryan change of $a$ to $i$.

Instances of such $a$ 's are:
skr. rátna-m, riches, treasure (ved.), jewel, pearl: rātís.s, gracious, grace, gift, ritcs (rāy-), wealth, lat. rēs.
ved. dátra-m, gift: dána-m, dádāmi, gr. $\delta \tilde{\omega} \rho \circ \nu, \delta i \delta \omega \mu i$, lat. dōnum, dōs.
skr. ksatró-m, rule, dominion (ved.), nobility: gr. хгй $\mu \alpha$.
skr. ni-dhína-n, end, death, dhánu-m, prize of the contest, booty (ved.), wealth, property, money: daddhāmi, I put, gr. тічиц.

Before $y$ :
skr. dáyate, parts, allots, takes part, sympathizes, dayá, sympathy, compassion, gr. סaioual.
skr. dháyati, sucks, drinks: ved. dhāyáse, to suck, to nourish.
skr. páyas, sap, liquid (ved.), water, milk: pātum, to drink.
skr. váyati, weaves, vayáa, twig (ved.): vāna-m, subst. weaving.
skr. vyáyati, envelops: -vyāna-, subst. enveloping.
skr. hváyati, calls: hvātum, to call.
Bechtel's theory is not improbable, but there are some objections to be made:
$1^{\circ}$ ksatrá is oxytonon: so it does not agree with Bechtel's rule.
$2^{\circ}$ in not a single of the above-mentioned words it is certain, that we have to do with idg. a. If ratna-m really belongs
to the same root as rātís, râ-s, lat. rēs, which however seems to be ${ }^{*} \vec{e}_{?}^{i}-$, than it might be reduced as well to ${ }^{*}$ retno-m as to *ratno-m, for $e$ is also a weak form of $\bar{e}$ (cf. $\theta \varepsilon \tau \delta_{s}$ : ti $\theta_{\eta \mu t)}$. So dhána-m may be an idg. *dheno-m, dátra-m an idg. *dotro-m (cf. $\left.\delta о \pi \delta_{s}: \delta / \delta \omega \mu \iota\right) \& c$.

In stená-, thief, sénā, army, \&c. we have idg. ai (resp. $e i$ or $o i n$, for $\partial i$ was contracted to $i$ before the end of the Indogermanic period.

The combinations ot $a$ with nasals and liquids (which existed in Indogermanic by the side of the sonant nasals and liquids), require a separate treatment.

In the combinations $a m$, $\partial n$ the idg. a is regularly represented by $i$ :
 (*smmó-s).
idg. *taməro-s: skr. timirá-s, dark, cf. támas, darkness.
idg. *bəmb-: skr. bímba-m, bímba-s, disk, cf. gr. $\beta \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \beta \iota \xi$.
idg. *ghən-: skr. hinásmi, himssāmi, I hurt, cf. hánmi, I slay.

I assume the combinations $a r$, al in those cases, where others have supposed long sonant liquids. They remained unchanged during the Aryan period; in Iranian they became ar. In Sanskrit they were treated in a different way, according as they stood or stood not in the neighbourhood of a labial consonant or vowel.

If they were not preceded or followed by a labial, the idg. ar, al became $i r$ ( $i l$ ) before vowels, $i r$ before consonants. In the immediate neighbourhood of labials we find ur (ul) before vowels, $\bar{u} r$ before consonants.

Idg. ar, al before vowels, not in the neighbourhood of labials, sler. ir:
idg. * gari-s: skr. girizs, mountain, cf. lith. giria, forest, oslav. gora, mountain.
idg. * gəró: skr. girámi, I devour, cf. oslav. žz̆rq, I devour, gr. $\beta \dot{\alpha} \rho \alpha \theta \rho o v, ~ \delta \varepsilon ́ \rho \varepsilon \theta \rho o v . ~$
idg. *gəlés: skr. girás, gen. abl. sing. of gı̂r, voice, cf. oslav. glasü, voice, icel. kalla, to call (idg. *gals-) \&c. There are also forms with $r$ (lith. garsas, lat. garriō; gr. עи̃pus) and so it is possible, that the original form of girás is *garés.
idg. */karó: skr, kirámi, I pour out, I strew.
idg. *tərò: ved. tirámi, cf. idg. *térō, skr. tárāmi, I cross, and gr. $\tau \varepsilon i \rho \omega$, $\tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \varepsilon \tau \rho o v$.
idg. *trrns: ved. tirás, through, ef. lat. trans.
Idg. $2 r$, al before consonants, not in the neighbourhood of labials, skr. ir :
idg. *kiars-: ved. çirrṣ́nn, skr. çirsćó-m, head, cf. gr. xópon,

 lat. indulgeō.
idg. *gal-s (perhaps *gar-s): skr. gír, voice.
Further a great number of passive participles as gìrná-: girámi, kirrná-: kirámi \&c. It is a matter of course, that skr. jirnóć, worn out, old, must not be identified with lat. grānum (idg. *grāno-). The ưr of ved. juráti, jùryati, decays, grows frail, and other verbs, where no labial is found, is not yet explained: probably these words are loans from a dialect, where $\partial r$ became $u r(\bar{u} r)$ in every condition or they have taken their $u$ from other verbs, in which $u$ was developed according to the rule (sphuráti).

On the other hand we should expect $\bar{u} r$ instead of $\bar{v} r$ in ved. ìrmá-s, arm, which with lat. armus, goth. arms goes back on idg. *zrmó-s ( $m$ is a labial!).

Idg. $a r$, al before vowels, in the neighbourhood of labials, skr. ur:
idg. *uaren-: ved. úrana-s, ram, lamb, cf. gr. ג́pvos, gen. of * $\dot{\alpha}$ คй
 goth. filu.
idg. *sphorot: skr. sphurámi, I make a quick motion, I dart, I twitch, cf. gr. $\sigma \pi \alpha i \rho \omega$ \&c.
idg. * garú-s: skr. gurú-s, heavy, important, worthy of honor cf. gr. ßapús, goth. kaúrus and also lat. gravis.

Idg. $\partial r$, el before consonants, in the neighbourhood of labials, skr. $\bar{u} r$ :
idg. *uardhứ-s: skr. ūrdhvá-s, high, tending upwards, gr. dor. BopAó- (* ${ }^{*}$ rap $\theta$ fó- $)$, cf. gr. Sofós, lat. arduus (without $u$ in the anlaut, idg. "ardhu $\quad \delta-s)$.
idg. *ualmís: skr. $\bar{u} r m i-s$, wave, ags. wielm, wylm, ohg. walm.
idg. *ualná: skr. $\bar{u} r \underline{a} \bar{a}$, wool, cf. goth. wulla (hut lat. l $l \bar{a} n a=$ gr. $\lambda \alpha ́ \chi \nu \eta)$.
idg. *pəruo-s: skr. párva-s, first, cf. oslav. prŭvŭ (i. e. prĭvŭ) and with $m$ goth. fruma.
idg. *pəlnó-s: skr. pūrná-s, full, cf. goth. fulls. Lat. plēnus has idg. $\bar{e}$ and corresponds to ved. prāna-.
 idg. *maldhen-: skr. mūrdhá (n), head, cf. ags. molda. idg. *bhargo-s: skr. bhūrja-s birch, cf. ohg. pirihha. idg. *darūa : skr. dúrvā, millet-grass, dutch tarwe, wheat. § 14. Idg. i. ldg. $i=$ skr. $i$. idg. *imés, *imén: skr. imás, we go, gr. $\neq \mu \varepsilon \nu$. idg. *bhibhéimi: skr. bibhémi, I am afraid, ohg. bibēm. idg. *diû́: skr. diví, in heaven, gr. $\Delta u i$. idg. ${ }^{*} i-d$ : skr. $i-d-\alpha d_{m}$, this, lat. id, goth. it-a.
 goth. witum.
idg. *bui-s: skr. ávis, sheep, gr. ö́c, oic, lat. ovis, goth. awi-.
 (* $\left.{ }^{\frac{c}{\alpha}} \delta 1 \sigma \tau 0 \varsigma\right)$.
idg. *ésmi: skr. ásmi, I am, gr. $\varepsilon i \mu i, ~ l e s b . ~ દ ̌ \mu \mu l\left({ }^{*} \xi \sigma \mu l\right)$, goth. im.
idg. *esti: skr. ásti, is, gr. घ̌ $\sigma \tau t$, lat. est, goth. ist.
idg. ${ }^{*} i d h i$ : skr. ihi $\left.{ }^{*} i d h t\right)$, go, gr. $7 \theta$.
§ 15. Idg. $\overline{\mathbf{i}}$. Idg. $\bar{\imath}=$ skr. $\bar{\imath}$.
idg. *giunó-s: skr. jivá-s, living, lat. vivus, cf. gr. Bios, goth. qius (idg. * giuo-s).
idg. *griuná: skr. grivấ, neck, oslav. griva, mane.
idg. *pîuen-: skr. pîvā (n), fat, gr. $\pi i ́ \omega \%$.
idg. ${ }^{*} p \bar{i}$-, weakest form of ${ }^{*} p o i_{-}$, to drink (not to confound with ${ }^{*}{ }^{p} \bar{i}_{-}$, to protect): skr. pitdós, drunk, gr. $\pi i \nu \omega, \pi i \theta_{l}$, cf. skr. pātum, to drink, perf. papátu, gr. $\pi \hat{\varepsilon} \pi \omega \kappa \alpha$, \&c.
idg. *simén- (root *si-, *sai-, *sain-): skr. simat ( $n$ ), crown, border, frontier, limit, cf. gr. ${ }_{\bar{c}}^{\bar{\iota}} \mu \overline{\bar{\alpha}}_{c}$.
idg. *uīrós: skr. vīrá-s, man, hero, cf. lat. vir, goth. waír and gr. ${ }_{\overline{\prime \prime}}^{\|}, \|!$, lat. vis. A stronger form of the root is contained in skr. váyas, strength, health, youth, age.
§ 16. Idg. u. Idg. $u=$ skr. $u$ :
Idg. *dhugətē(r): skr. duhitá (r), dauglhter, cf. gr. बurátир and goth. daúhtar, lith. dukte.
idg. *jugó-m: skr. yugá-m, yoke, generation, an age of the world, gr. Yuyóv, lat. jugum, goth. juk.
idg. *府unós: skr. çúnas, gr. xuvós, gen. of skr. ¢̨ áa, dog, gr. x ${ }^{\prime} \omega v$.
idg. *̂̂lutb-s: skr. çrutá-s, heard, heard of, famed, gr. жえurós, lat. in-clutus (cf. with $\bar{u}$ ags. hlúd).
idg. *rudharó-s: skr. rudhirá-s, red, cf. gr. Épufpós (idg. *erudhró-s), lat. ruber. The root "rendh-, *ereudh- is a secondary formation from ${ }^{*}$ ereu, cf, skr. aruṇá-s and aruṣ́ás, ruddy.

idg. *nu: skr. nú, now, gr. vú, goth. nu.
I do not accept the theory, according to which idg. ru became $r$, when there was an $u$ in the following syllable: crụóti, hears, goes back on *k̂l-ne-u-ti (root * $\hat{k} e l u$, cf. de Saussure 244, Pedersen, Idg. forschungen 2, 307).
§ 17. Idg. $\overline{\mathbf{u}} . \operatorname{Idg} \cdot \bar{u}=\mathrm{skr} . \bar{u}:$
idg. *bhütis (root *bhū-, *bheup-, *bheue-): skr. bhütí-s, being, prosperity, cf. gr. Фúбıc.
 fūmus.
idg. *îüro-s: skr. çutra-s, mighty, bold, hero, gr. -xūpos in xँ-xūpos, not valid, cf. tò xũpos, xúplos.
 idg. *n̄̄: red. nú, now, gr. עũ.
§ 18. Idg. m. The idg. $m$ became $a$ during the Aryan period; so it fell together with $n$ (see § 19), a (see §7), e (see §9) and $o$ (see § 11):
idg. *gmskheti: skr. gácchati, goes, cf. gr. imperat. ßג́бxє. The root is *gem-
idg. *ampto-s: skr. gatá-s, gone, gr. ßacós, lat. -ventus and idg. * gmoti-s: skr. gáti-s, going, issue, refuge, gr. ßá $\sigma t s$, goth. -qumpi- (root * gem-).
idg. *imptóm: skr. çatć-m, hundred, gr. غ́-xacóv, lat. centum, goth. hund. That we have to do with m, appears from lith. szimtas.
idg. *dékm: skr. dáça, gr. סéx, lat. decem, goth. taíhun. Notice the $m$ of lith. deszimt.

Before $i, u$ and before vowels we find $m m$ :
idg. * ammį̀èt: ved. gamyăt must go (root *gem-).
idg. *ge dgmmúts: ved. jaganván (mis), with $n v$ from $m v$ (see § 37), part. perf. act. from ${ }^{*}$ gem-, to go.
idg. *smmó-s: ved. sama-s; somebody, gr. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{o}-$, goth. sums, cf. *samó-s, ved. simá-s each (this word has been explained differently by Gelduer, Ved. studien 2, 188 sqq.).
idg. *smmer-: skr. sám $\bar{a}$ ( $\bar{a}$-stem), half a year, year, ags. sumor, engl. summer.
§ 19. Idg. $n_{0}$. The dental, guttural and palatal $n$ are represented in Aryan by $a$. They have fallen together with $n_{2}$ (see § 18), $a$ (see § 7), e (see § 9) and $o$ (see § 11).

Instances of the dental $n$ :
idg. ${ }^{*} n_{0}$-mrto-s: skr. amp̣ta-, immortal, gr. ${ }^{2} \mu \beta$ קотоя.
idg. *mntó-s: skr. matá-s, thought, gr. - $\mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma$ in $\alpha u ̛ \tau o ́ \mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma$, lat. -mentus, goth. munds.
idg. *nézñ : skr. náva, cf. gr. द̇vvéa. Lat. novem has borrowed its $m$ from decem, but goth. niun is entirely identical with skr. náva. Lith. devyni proves, that the original form had $y$ (not $x_{0}$ ).

Before $\underset{\sim}{i}, \underline{\sim}$ and before vowels we find $\eta n$ :
idg. ${ }^{*}$ mnniètain: skr. mányate, thinks, gr. $\mu \alpha i v \varepsilon \tau \alpha r$.
idg. * ghe ghwnừs: skr. jaghanván (ìs), part. perf. act. from *ghen-, to slay.
idg. *tınnut́: skr. tanví, fem. of tanú-s, thin, cf. gr. тavu-, lat. tenuis, obg. dunni.
idg. *nn-udro-s: ved. anudrá-, waterless, gr. ävvdpos.
idg. *tinnú-s: skr. tanú-s, thin, see above.
Skr. sánti, they are, is not idg. *síti, but *sénti (Streitberg, Idg. forschungen $1,82 \mathrm{sqq}$.).

An instance of the guttural $n$ is:

 Lat. levis seems never to have contained a nasal.

Of the palatal $n$ :

$\S 20$. The problem of the Iong sonant nasals. De Saussure 239 sqq., Brugmann 1, 208 sq . and others attribute long sonant nasals to the mother-language. In the same way they assume idg. $\bar{r}, \bar{l}$, which I have replaced by $\partial r, a l$ (see § 13). These are represented in Sanskrit by ir, il (ur; ir, $\bar{u} r$ ) and stand on a level with idg. $\partial m$ : $\partial n$, skr. im, in. Brugmann thinks, that those hypothetical $\overline{\bar{y}}, \overline{\bar{g}}$ became $\bar{a}$ in Aryan. but the cases, in which such $\bar{a}$ 's are supposed, admit other explications, which seem more acceptable.

The aorist-forms skr. ágām, ágās, ágăt \&c., which doubt-
 $\xi \beta \bar{\alpha}$, are not derived from the root ${ }^{*}$ gem-, but from its synonyme * ${ }_{g} \bar{a}$ - (see Persson, Studien zur lehre der wurzelerweiterung und wurzelvariation, Upsala 1891, 70). The $\vec{a}$ of skr. ágān, gr. dor. $\bar{\varepsilon} \beta \bar{\alpha} \nu$ is an idg. $\bar{a}$ (not $\bar{m}$ ) and the original paradigm was *égām, *égās, *égāt \&c. In a similar way are to be explained sātá-: sanómi, vātá-: vanómi, ghāta- (and ghāti-): hánmi and some other cases. But jātá-, born, seems to have taken its $j$ instead of $j \bar{n}$ by the influence of jánati, janayati, jajána \&c. The regular form *jn̄āta- (idg. *gnnātó-) corresponds to lat. -gnätus, gall. -gnātus.

The $j$ of the praesens $j \hat{a} y$ ate has the same origin as that of jātá- (tāyáte: tanóti, khāyate: khánati have been formed after the type of $j \hat{a} y a t e)$. The substitution of $j$ for $j \bar{n}$ in $j a \bar{a} \hat{a}-$, jáyate is Indo-Iranian, cf. pers. zād, birth, zādan, to bear, to be born. In skr. jānámi, I know (= pers. dānam) we may
explain the $j$ instead of $j \bar{n}$ by dissimilation ( ${ }^{*} j \bar{n} \bar{a} n \bar{a} m i$ ), cf. gr. $\gamma เ \gamma \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} x \omega$, lat. -gnōsco.

If red. attis, a waterbird, is to be compared with gr. थ $\tilde{\eta} \sigma \sigma \alpha$, the following explanation seems possible: there was an -i-(-ci-, -oi-) stem *nat-, *ntéi- in the mother-language, which in Aryan became regularly *na ti-, *atạ́̆'. Ved. ātí- is a contamination of *nâti- and *atcoíc. Lat. anas, ohg. anat, lith. antis, slav. qty are not clear (idg. "anat-, *ant-?). But perhaps it is preferable to separate $\bar{a} t i-$ from $\nu \tilde{\eta} \sigma \sigma \alpha$ (*nātīa) and to
 (Museum 2, 435).

Unexplained are vel. $\bar{a} t a-$, $\bar{a} t \bar{a}$, frame of a door: lat. anta; vel. yótar-, the wife of the husband's brother: gr. sivan ${ }^{\prime} \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$ : lat. janitrīees \&c. Yon Bradke (Idg. forschungen 4, 87 sqq.) compares skr. jārá-, lover, paramour, with jámātar-, son in law, gr. $\gamma \alpha \mu \beta_{\rho \rho \prime}$, which combination from a semantic point of view would not seem very probable. Less credible yet is his etymology of slr. dārá-, wife (: gr. $\delta \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho, 85 \mathrm{sqq}$.), for Johansson (Idg. forschungen 3, 229 sqq.) has shown its dentity with gr. $\delta \tilde{\omega} \lambda, 0 \varsigma$ (: $\delta 0 \tilde{\lambda} \lambda .0 \varsigma)$.
§ 21. Idg. $\mathbf{r}$, 1 . The idg. $r$ and $l$ are represented by $r$. Skr. klptú-, put in order, arranged, the only word that contain $l$, is a prācritism for *krptó- (the etymology of alpis to be found in my Etym. wörterbuch der gotischen sprache, Amsterdam 1896, s. v. ${ }^{\text {halls }) .}$

Id. ${ }_{\sigma}=$ str. ${ }_{r}:$

 gr. ${ }^{\circ} \nu \nu \bar{\nu} \mu!$ (see Schmidt, Kuhn's Zeitschr. 32, 376 sqq.), аัр $\rho \cup \mu \alpha \iota$.
idg. *rsen-: str. rssa-bhdós, bull, gr. $\ddot{\alpha}^{2} \rho \sigma и \nu, ~ \ddot{\alpha} \rho \rho \eta \nu$.
 goth. gadaúrsan.
idg. */rpp-: ved. krip-, appearance, form, shape, lat. corpus.
idg. mr̂námi, *mrnamain: ved. mrnátmi, I crush, gr. $\mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \nu \alpha \mu \alpha$,
idg. *mrtó-s: skr. mẹtó-s, dead, ohg. mord, cf. skr. mrtyú-s, mrti-s, death, lat. mors and skr. mriyáte, dies, lat. morior.
idg. *pr̂̂skkhéti: skr. prccháti; asks, lat. poseit, cf. ohg. forscōn.
ldg. ${ }_{b}=$ skr. ${ }_{0}^{r}$ :
idg. ${ }^{*} p l t h i \sim-s: ~ s k r . ~ p r t h u ̂-s, ~ w i d e, ~ b r o a d, ~ g r . ~ \pi \lambda \alpha \tau u ́ s, ~ c f . ~$ lith. platus. The original forms of the stem were *plothu-

idg. *ulqo-s: skr. vṛ́ka-s, wolf, goth. wulfs, cf. idg. *híqo-s, gr. $\lambda u^{\prime} \times 0$.
idg. *ikiko-s: skr. reya-s, the male of an antilope, cf. ags. eolh, ohg. elaho and icel. elgr ; lat. alces, russ. los (also lith. elnis, oslav. jelenŭ, armen. eגn).

About skr. mriyáte, dies, kriyóte, is being made, see Brugmann 1, 113. 233. About skr. ir, $\bar{i} r, u r, \bar{u} r$ see § 13.

## B. The relation of the Indian vowelf to the Indogermanic.

§ 22. The Indian vowels in general. The Indian vocalism is distinguished from the Indogermanic by a far greater simplicity. Already in the Aryan period a in general was changed to $i$ and $e, o, m, n$ had fallen together with $a$, according to which $\bar{e}, \bar{o}, \bar{a}$ were represented only by $\bar{a}$. Afterwards, during the separate life of Indian, ai (idg. $a i, e i, ~ o i)$ and aut (idg. aut, eu, oun) were contracted to $e$ and $o$.

Hence it follows, that the $\bar{a}$-, $\bar{b}$ - and $\bar{o}$-series had fallen together (weak: - , $i, a$. strong: $\bar{a}$ ). So there are in Indian but two vowel-series:
I. $a$-series (idg. $e$-series).

Weak: Strong: Lengthened:
A. $-\quad, \quad i$.
a.
B. $y(i), \bar{i}$.
C. $v(u), \bar{u}$.
D. $m(a, \quad a m), i m$.
E. $n(a, a n), i n$.
F. $r(r)$, ${ }_{z}^{r} r(4)$.
G. $l(r)$, ${ }_{\mathrm{\imath}} r$ (ur).
.
$e \quad(y a) . \quad \bar{a} i \quad(y \bar{a})$.
$0 \quad(v a) . \quad \bar{a} u(v \bar{a})$.
$a m(m a) . \quad \bar{a} m(m \bar{\alpha})$.
$a n(n a) . \quad \bar{a} n(n \bar{\alpha})$.
$a r(r a) . \quad \bar{a} r(r \bar{a})$.
al (la).
$\bar{a} l$ ( $(\bar{\alpha})$.
II. $\bar{a}$-series (idg. $\bar{e}$-, $\bar{a}$-, $\bar{a}$-series).

Weak:
A. - , $i, a$.
B. $y(i), i, e(y a)$.
C. $v(u), \bar{u}, o(v a)$.

Strong :
$\bar{a}$.
$\bar{a} i \quad(y \bar{a})$.
$\bar{\alpha} u(\nu \bar{\alpha})$.

According to the ancient Indian grammarians there was but one vowel-series. They considered $i, u, r(l)$ as primitive vowels, which could be strengthened by a prefixed $a$-element. This strengthening was called guna. A second streugthening was produced by the further prefixion of $a$ to the guna-vowel: the name of this increment was $v$ rddhi. It is to be noticed, that $a$ is its own guna: $\bar{a}$ is the corresponding verddhi.

So their vowel-system is as follows:
Simple vowels: (a) $i(\bar{i}) \quad u(\bar{k}) \quad r(\bar{r})$.
Guna-vowels: $a \quad e \quad o \quad a r$.
Vraddhi-vowels: $\bar{a} \quad \bar{a} i \quad \bar{a} u \quad \bar{a} r$.

The older European linguists adopted this system, but the researches of the last thirty years have shown its inaccuracy (cf. § 6). It is to be observed, that the lengthened degree ( $\bar{a}, \bar{a} i, \bar{a} u, \bar{a} m, \bar{a} n, \bar{a} r, \bar{a} l$ ) has extended its original dominion by the vrrddhation of vowels in secondary derivates (see von Bradke, Zeitschr. d. D. Morgenl. Ges. 40, 361 sqq.). The commencement of this secondary vṛddhation dates back to the Indogermanic period (see Bechtel 175; Streitberg, Idg. forschungen 3, 379 sqq.; also my remarks on the mutual relation of goth. hana: *hōn, P. B. Beitr. 22, 189 sq., 545 sqq.).
I. $a$-series (idg. $e$-series).
idg. *pet-, to fly, to fall.
Weak: ved. 1 pers. sing. aor. act. ápaptam, 3 pers. plur. perf. act. paptúr. Strong: skr. 1 pers. sing. praes. act. pátāmi, ved. 1 pers. sing. ind. iterat. act. patáyāmi. Lengthened: ved. 3 pers. sing. aor. pass. ápāti, skr. 1 pers. sing. praes. causat. act. pātáyāmi.
idg. *bheid-, to split.
Weak: skr. part. perf. pass. bhinná-s, 3 pers. sing. praes. pass. bhidyáte ; ved. vibhídaka-s, Terminalia Bellerica (the younger form viblâtaka- seems to be a loan from the Pāiçāci-dialect). Strong: skr. 1 pers. sing. fut. act. bhetsyámi, l pers. 3 pers. sing. perf. act. bibhéda. Lengthened: skr. 3 pers. sing. aor. act. ábhāitsìt.
idg. *bheudh-, to perceive.
Weak: skr. part. perf. pass. buddhá-s, 3 pers. sing praes. pass. budhyáte. Strong; skr. 1 pers. sing. praes. act. bódhāmi, 1 pers. 3 pers. sing. perf. act. bubódha. Lengthened: skr. 3 pers. sing. aor. act. *ábluautsit.
idg. *gem-, to go.

Weak: skr. 3 pers. plur. perf. act. jagmuir ; part. perf. pass. gatám, 1 pers. sing. praes. act. gácchāmi (*gacchámi), ved. 1 pers. sing. praes. act. gámāmi (*gamámi). Strong: skr. 1 pers. sing. fut. act. gamişyắmi, 2 pers. sing. perf. act. jagántha. Lengthened: skr. 1 pers. 3 pers. sing. perf. act. jagáma, ved. 1 pers sing. praes. causat. act. gāmáyāmi.
idg. *tem-, to be dark.
Weak: skr. timirá-s, dark. Strong: skr. támas, darkness. Lengthened: skr. tāmrá-s, dark-red.
idg. ${ }^{*}$ men-, to think.
Weak: ved. 2 pers. dual. perf. med. mamnâthe; skr. part. perf. pass. matá-s, 3 pers. sing. praes. med. mányate. Strong: skr. 3 pers. sing. fut. med. mamisyáte. Lengthened: skr. 1 pers. sing. praes. causat. act. mānáyāmi.
idg. *bhendh-, to bind.
Weak: skr. part. perf. pass. baddhó-s, 1 pers. sing. praes. act. badhnámi. Strong: skr. l pers. sing. fut. act bandhisyâmi, 1 pers. 3 pers. sing. perf. act. babándha. Lengthened: skr. 3 pers. sing. aor. act. ábhāntsīt.
idg. *ter-, to cross.
Weak: ved. part. praes. act. titrat-; 1 pers. sing. praes. act. tirámi, skr. part. perf. pass. tīrnús. Strong: skr. 1 pers. sing. praes. act. tárāmi, l pers. sing. perf. act. tatára. Lengthened: skr. 3 pers. sing. aor. act. átärsīt, 1 pers. 3 pers. sing. perf. act. tatára.
idg. *bher-, to bear.
Weak: skr. 1 pers. 3 pers. sing. perf. med. babhré; part. perf. pass. bhrtá-s; 1 pers. sing. praes. desiderat. act. bribhūrrsāmi. Strong: skr. 1 pers. sing. praes. act. bhárāmi, bibharmi (bibhármi, Rv.), 2 pers. sing. perf. act. babhártha. Lengthened: ved. 3 pers. sing aor. act. ábhārṣīt, skr. 1 pers. 3 pers. sing. perf. act. babhára.
II. $\bar{a}$-series (idg. $\bar{e}$-, $\bar{a}$-, $\bar{o}$-series).
idg. ${ }^{*} d h e \bar{e}$, to put.
Weak: skr. 3 pers. sing. praes. med. dhatté; ved. part. perf. pass. -dhita-s; skr. dhána-m, prize of the contest, booty (ved.), wealth, money. Strong: skr. 1 pers. sing. praes act. dádhāmi, 1 pers. 3 pers. sing. perf. act. dadháa.
idg. ${ }^{*} s t h \vec{a}$-, to stand.
Weak: skr. 1 pers. 3 pers. sing. perf. med. tasthé; part. perf. pass. sthitú-s. Strong : skr. 1 pers. sing. praes. act. tiṣ́sthāmi, 1 pers. 3 pers. sing. perf. act. tastháu.
idg. *d $\overline{0}$-, to give.
Weak: skr. 3 pers. sing. praes. med. dátte; ved. part. perf. pass. -dita-s. Strong: skr. 1 pers. sing. praes. act. dádāmi, 1 pers. 3 pers. sing. perf. act. dadâu.

Weak: skr. 1 pers. 3 pers. sing. perf. med. ninyé; ved. 1 pers. plur. perf. act. ninimá ; skr. part. perf. pass. nītó-s; 1 pers. sing. praes. act. náyämi, 1 pers. sing. fut. act. nesyám. Strong: skr. 1 pers. sing. praes. causat. act. nāyáyāmi.
idg. *dāu-, to burn.
Weak: skr. 1 pers. sing. praes. act. dunómi ; part. perf. pass. dūná-s; dava-s, fire, burning. Strong: skr. l pers. sing. praes. causat. act. dāváyāmi.

The Indogermanic mother-language had also dissyllabic roots. a great part of which contained the combinations eie, eue, eme, ene, ere, ele. In Indian these udātta-roots are found only in a weaker form, with ayi, avi, ami, ani, ari, ali, i. e. idg. eiz, eur, emə, enə, erə, eld. Such roots, which make part of the idg. e-series, are not easily to distinguish from the idg. $\bar{\rho}_{-}, \bar{a}$ - and $\bar{o}$-roots. Here it will be sufficient to refer to de Saussure 239 sqq.; Brugmann 1, 104 sqq.; Kretschmer, Kuhn ${ }^{\circ}$ :

Zeitschr. 31, 386 sq.; Bartholomae, Bezz. Beitr. 17, 109 sqq.; Hirt, Idg. forschungen 7, 185 sqq.
§ 23. Skr. a. In skr. $a$ have fallen together the idg. vowels $a, e, o, m, n$.

Skr. $a=$ idg. $a$ :
skr. ájāmi, I drive, gr. 㚘 $\omega$, lat. agō, icel. inf. aka.
ved. ájra-s, field, gr. árpóc, lat. ager, goth. akrs.
skr. skiandāmi, I spring, lat. scandō.
skr. amba, vocat. of ambab, mother, cf. gr. vocatives as $\nu \cup ́ \mu \Phi \alpha, \delta \varepsilon ́ \sigma \pi 0 \tau \alpha$.

Skr. $a=$ idg. $e$ :
skr. âsti, is, gr. है $\sigma \tau t$, lat. est, goth. ist.
skr. ábharam, I bore, gr. हैФspov.
ved. jánas, family, race, gr. y'̇vos, lat. genus.
ved. háras, heat, gr. Aépos.
skr. páñca, five, gr. $\pi \in \in v \tau \varepsilon$, lat. quinque, goth. fimf.
skr. bháratha, you bear, ábharata, you bore, gr. Фépéz, $\dot{\varepsilon} Ф \dot{\varphi} \rho \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$, goth. Baírip.

Skr. $a=$ idg. $o$ :
ved. ápas, work, lat. opus.
skr. ásthi, bone, gr. jotéov, lat. os.
skr. dadárça, has seen, gr. $\delta$ ह̇סopke.
skr. kaks $\bar{a}$, region of the girth, girdle, cincture, lat. coxa, mhg. hahse.
skr. práti, against, gr. $\pi$ pós.
skr. vẹ́ka-s, wolf, gr. גúros, goth. wulfs.
Skr. $a=\mathrm{idg} . m$.
skr. gató-s, gone, gr. ßaróg, lat. -ventus.
skr. çatá-m, hundred, gr. é-uaróv, lat. centum, goth. hund.
skr. ratá-s, ceased, content, gr. $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \alpha \tau o ́ \varsigma, ~ c f . ~ s k r . ~ r a ́ m a t e, ~ s t o p s, ~ c e a-~$

skr. sámā, half a year, year, ags. sumor.
Skr. $a=$ idg. $n$ :
skr. améta-s, immortal, gr. ${ }^{2} \mu \beta$ קotos.
skr. matá-s, thought, gr. - $\mu \alpha \pi \%$, lat. -mentus, goth. munds.
ved. anudrá-s, waterless, gr. ávudpos.
skr. jaghána-m, the hinder parts, the buttocks, gr. xoxávn (*кaxள́vn), cf. skr. jánghā, lower half of the leg, and goth. gaggan. The first $a$ in jaghána-m represents the idg. guttural $n$.
skr. bahiu-s, abundant, much, gr. $\pi \alpha \chi{ }^{\prime} s$, cf. skr. comp. bámhīyān ( $\dot{m} s$ ). The $a$ of bahú-s goes back on idg. palatal n.

Perhaps skr. $a=\mathrm{idg}$. 2 : see § 13.
§24. Skr. $\overline{\text { a }}$. By skr. $\bar{a}$ are represented the idg. $\bar{a}, \bar{e}, \bar{o}$.
Skr. $\bar{a}=\mathrm{idg} . \bar{a}:$
skr. bāhú-s, arm, gr. $\pi \tilde{\eta} \chi u \varsigma, ~ d o r . ~ \pi \tilde{\alpha} \chi u \varsigma, ~ o h g . ~ b u o g . ~$
skr. mātầ, mother, gr. 山иंтир, dor. $\mu \bar{\alpha} \tau и \rho$, lat. māter, ohg. muoter.
skr. tistthāmi, I stand, gr. $\bar{\prime} \sigma \tau и \mu l$, dor. $\bar{\prime} \sigma \tau \bar{\alpha} \mu l$, lat. stāre, cf. skr. ásthāt, stood, gr. $\frac{\varepsilon^{\prime} \sigma \tau \eta, ~ d o r . ~}{\text { én }} \sigma \tau \bar{\alpha}$.
skr. áçvā, mare, lat. equa.
Skr. $\bar{a}=$ idg. $\bar{e}:$

skr. -jāni-, wife, goth. qēns.
skr. vâti, blows, gr. à̀uनt, goth. waian.
skr. durmanäs, dejected, gr. ঠขб $\mu \varepsilon \nu \dot{\prime} \zeta$.
Skr. $\bar{a}=$ idg.. $\bar{o}:$

skr. āmá-s, raw, gr. à $\mu o ́ s$.
skr. dána-m, gift, lat. dōnum.
ved. bháratād, must bear, gr. Фep $\varepsilon \tau \omega$, cf. lat. fertō.
§ 25. Skr. i. Skr. $i$ goes back on idg. $i$ and 2.
Skr. $i=$ idg. $i$ :
skr. imás, we go, gr. i/ $\mu$ zv.
skr. diví, in heaven, gr. $\Delta l$.
skr. ávi-s, sheep, gr. ôiç, ols, lat. ovis, goth. awi-
skr. asti, is, gr. है $\sigma \tau t$, lat. est, goth. ist.
Skr. $i=\mathrm{idg}$. $ә$.
skr. pitct, father, gr. тати' $\rho$, lat. pater, goth. fadar.
skr. sthitá-s, standing, gr. $\sigma \tau \alpha \tau \not \subset$.
skr. timirá-s, dark: támas, darkness, cf. also támisrā, darkness, dark night, lat. tenebraè, middle-dutch deemster.
skr. giriz-s, mountain, cf. lith. giria, forest (with idg. 2r) and oslav. gora, mountain (with idg. $2, a$ or o).
§ 26. Skr. $\overline{\mathbf{i}}$. In general the skr. $\bar{\imath}$ corresponds to the idg. $i$, but before $r+$ cons. it nearly always groes back on 2 .

Skr. $\bar{\imath}=$ idg. $\bar{\imath}$ :
skr. jīvá-s, living, lat. vīvus.
skr. $p \stackrel{\imath}{\imath v} \bar{a}(n)$, fat, gr. $\pi i \bar{i} \omega v$.
skr. sima ( $n$ ), crown, border, frontier, gr. $\frac{c}{l} \mu \frac{1}{\alpha} \xi(\nu \tau)$,
Skr. $\bar{\imath} r$ before consonants $=$ idg. $a r, a b$ :
ved. irmá-s, arm, lat. armus, goth. arms.

In cases as skr. līdhá-s, licked, nīdódes, nest, $\bar{i}$ is lengthened from $i$ (see § 61 and § 63).
§ 27. Skr. u. In general the skr. $u$ corresponds to the idg. $u$, but before $r+$ vowel it often represents the idg. 2.

Skr. $u=$ idg. $u$ :
skr. urúu-s, wide, cf. gr. sủpús (idg. *éurru-, *uréu-).
skr. yugá-m, yoke, age, gr. گuyóv, lat. jugum, goth. juk.
skr. "̧̧rutá-s, heard, heard of, gr. kiutós.
skr. nú, now, gr. vú, goth. nu.
Skr. ur before vowels (in the neighbourhood of labial sounds) $=\mathrm{idg}$. $2 r: \partial l$ :
skr. purú-, much, gr. $\pi 0 \lambda$ ús ( ${ }^{*} \pi a \lambda \cup \dot{c} ؟$ ), cf. goth. filu.
skr. guriú-s, heary, important, worthy of honor, cf. gr. $\beta \times \rho \mathcal{u}^{\prime}$, goth. Kaúrus.

In ved. úrana-s, ram, lamb, ur goes back on idg. uor.
§ 28. Skr. $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$. In general the skr. $\bar{u}$ corresponds to the idg. $\bar{u}$, but before $r+$ cons. it nearly always represents the idg. $\partial$.

Skr. $\bar{u}=$ idg. $\bar{u}$ :
skr. dhūmá-s, smoke, vapor, gr. ब̄̄ィó؟, lat. fūmus.
skr. çúra-s, mighty, bold, hero, gr. -xūpos in àx $x \bar{u} p o s$.
ved. mús., mouse, gr. $\mu \tilde{u}_{\xi}$, lat. $m \bar{u} s$, ohg. mūs.
Skr. $\bar{u} r$ before consonants (in the neighbourhood of labial sounds) $=$ idg. $\partial r, a l$ :
skr. púrva-s, first, cf. oslav. prŭv̆̈ (prĭvŭ) and with $m$ goth. fruma.
skr. pár, fortified town, cf. gr. $\pi \dot{d} \lambda . a c$.
skr. mūrdhá ( $n$ ), head, cf. ags. molda.
In skr. $\bar{u} r m i-s$, wave ( $=$ ags. wielm, wylm, ohg. walm) and $\bar{u} r n \bar{a}$, wool (cf. goth. wulla with $l$ ), $\bar{u} r$ represents idg. ual; in skr. $\bar{u} r d h v \dot{\alpha}-s$, high (cf. gr. ópAós, lat. arduus), $\bar{u} r=i d g .{ }^{*} u \partial r$.

In cases as skr. $\bar{u} d h a ́-s$, carried, ved. dūdấç-, not pious, $\bar{\mu}$ is lengthened from $u$ (see § 61 and $\S 63$ ).
§ 29. Skr. e. In skr. $e$ have fallen together the idg. tautosyllabic combinations $a \underset{\sim}{i}$, $e \underset{\sim}{i}$, $o \underset{\sim}{i}$ (but in no case $\partial \underset{\sim}{i}$, for this diphthong was contracted to $\bar{i}$ before the separation of the lndogermanic dialects).

Skr. $e=$ idg. $a i$ :
skr. édhas, fưel. cf. gr. ä̈ $\begin{aligned} & \text { at, lat. aedes, ohg. eit. }\end{aligned}$
skr. sétu-s, joining, dam, bridge, cf. lat. saeta, bristle, ohg. seid, snare.

Skr. $e=$ idg. $e i$ :
skr. émi, I go, gr. cime.
ved. héman-, winter, gr. $\chi \varepsilon \check{\iota} \mu \alpha, \chi \varepsilon \iota \mu \omega ́ v$.
Skr. $e=$ idg. $\quad o i$ :
skr. véda, I know, gr. olda, goth. wait.
skr. bháres, you may bear, gr. Ф'́pots, goth. baírais.
Skr. ed, edh sometimes corresponds to idg. ezd, ezdh (see § 63):
ved. sedyát, 3 pers. sing, optat. perf. from sad-, to sit, avest. hazdyāp.
skr. edhí, 2 pers. sing. imperat. from $a s^{-}$, to be.
§ 30. Skr. o. In skr. o have fallen together the idg. tautosyllabic combinations au, eu, ou (but not $\partial u$, which was contracted to $\bar{u}$ before the separation of the Indogermanic dialects).

Skr. $o=$ idg. au:
ved. ojmán-, strength, power, lat. augmentum.
Skr. $o=\mathrm{idg}$. eu:
skr. bódhāmi, I perceive, gr. $\pi \varepsilon \dot{v} \theta 0 \mu \alpha t$ ( $\pi \cup \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu 0 \mu \alpha t$ ), goth. biuda.
ved. jostatar-, loving, cf. gr. уєuбтйpıov.
Skr. $o=$ idg. ou:
skr. bubbdha, perceived, goth. baup (gr. *$\pi \varepsilon \pi o u \neq \alpha$ supplanted by $\pi \varepsilon \pi \pi \varepsilon \notin a)$.
skr. sūnóş, of the (a) son, groth. sunaus.
Skr. odlh sometimes goes back on idg. eg $h \not-t$ (see § 61):
skr. vódhum, to carry, cf. váhāmi, lat. vehō.
skr. sódhum, to overpower, to withstand, cf. sáhāmi, gr. $\begin{gathered} \\ \chi \\ \\ \text {. }\end{gathered}$
§ 31. Skr. r, $\mathbf{Y}, ~ \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}$. Skr. $r$ corresponds to idg $r$ as well as to idg. $\quad$. The $\bar{r}$ in some cases of the -ar- (-tar-) stems is not yet fully explained. Skr. $l$ is found in a few forms and derivates of the root kalp-, but even here it is a prācritism (klptárs instead of */erptá-s). The $\bar{l}$ is not met with in any word: the Hindu grammarians added it to the alphabet only for the sake of symmetry.

Skr. $r=$ idg. $r:$
skr. ̣̂kșa-s, bear, gr. äpxtos, lat. ursus.
ved. krpp-, appearance, shape, lat. corpus.
skr. mrtá-s, dead, ohg. mord.
Skr. $r=$ idg. $l$ :
skr. prthú-s, wide, gr. $\pi \lambda \times \tau$ ús.
skr. bhrgu-s n. propr., cf. lat. fulgur, fulgeo: flagrāre: gr. $\Phi \lambda \not \delta_{\xi} \xi, \phi \lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$.
§ 32. Skr. $\bar{a} \mathbf{i}$. In skr. $\bar{a} i$ have fallen together the idg. tautosyllabic combinations $\bar{a} \underset{i}{i}, \overline{e x}_{n}, \overline{\bar{i}}$.

Skr. $\bar{a} i=\mathrm{idg} . \vec{a} \vec{i}$ :
ved. dat. sing. fem. suvapatyáti, to her who has good offspring, gr. xápa, goth. gibai.

Skr. $\bar{a} i=\mathrm{idg} . \bar{e} \hat{R}$ :

Skr. $\bar{a} i=$ idg. $\bar{o} i:$ no example.
§ 33. Skr. $\overline{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{u}$. In skr. $\bar{a} u$ have fallen together the idg. tautosyllabic combinations $\bar{\alpha} u$, , $\bar{\sim}$

Skr. $\bar{a} u=$ idg. $\bar{a} u$ :
skr. nắu-s, ship, gr. vã̃g.
Skr. $\bar{a} u=$ idg. $\bar{e} u$ :
skr. dyá́u-s, heaven, gr. Zsúg.
Skr. $\bar{a} u=$ idg. $\bar{o} u$ :
skr. gáu-ş, cow, bull, gr. ßoũs, lat. bōs (*vōs), ohg. chuo.

## PARTII.

## SYSTEM OF CONSONANTS.

## A. The repregentatiov of the Indogermanic consonantis in Sanskrit.

§ 34. The Indogermanic consonants in general. The mother-language bad semivowels, nasals, liquids, explosives and spirants.

Semivowels: $\underset{\sim}{i}, \underline{u}$.
Nasals: $m$ (labial), $n$ (dental), $\dot{n}$ (guttural), $\bar{n}$ (palatal). The n only is met with before velars and middle gutturals (see below), the $\bar{n}$ before palatals, but $m$ and $n$ were found at the beginning of words, between vowels and in pausa. Further $m$ occurred before labial and dental mutes, $n$ before dental mutes.

Liquids: $r, l$. The existence of $l$ in the mother-language appears not only from the fact, that the languages of Europe in general agree with each other in the distribution of $r$ and $l$, but also from Fortunatov's rule (§ 44).

Explosives: To the explosives belongs the spiritis lenis, which is not indicated in writing. The other explosives were labial, dental or guttural. There were three series of gutturals,
which are not determinated with perfect physiological accuracy, viz. the so-called velars (most backward in the mouth; they were formed by the hindermost part of the tongue and the soft palate), the middle gutturals (a little more in the forepart of the mouth; perhaps their explosion took place at the hard palate), the palatals (most forward in the mouth; their explosion took place at the foremost part of the hard palate). Some scholars believe, that there were no palatal explosives in the mother-language, and assume palatal spirants instead of them (see Bechtel 370 sqq.): against this theory Museum, 1, 94 sq.

So we have to assume the following series of explosives:
Labials: $p, p h, b, b h$.
Dentals: $t, t h, d, d h$.
Velars: $q, q h, g, g h$.
Middle gutturals: $k, k \hbar, g, g h$.
Palatals: $\hat{k}, \hat{k} h, \hat{g}, \hat{g} h$.
About $p h, t h, q h, k h$, $\hat{i} h$ (tenues aspiratae) and $3 h, d h, g h$, $g h$, $g h$ (mediae aspiratae) is to be observed, that they are compound consonants, consisting of an explosive $+h$.

Bezzenberger (Bezz. Beitr. 16, 234 sqq.) has proved, that there was an original difference between the gutturals, which in the western group are represented by labialized gutturals (see §52), and those, which in all dialects are preserved as pure gutturals (cf. Meillet, Mém. de la Soc. de Ling. 8, 277 , sqq. and Hoffmann, Bezz. Beitr. 18, 149 sqq., who do not convince me).

As we have said above, there were also spirants in the mother-language, viz. $s, z, j, v, p, \vec{t}$. The existence of the idg. $z$ was discovered by Osthoff (Kuhn's Zeitschr. 23, 87 sqq .). About $p$ and $a$ see Brugmann 1, 409 and Kretschmer, Kuhn's

Zeitschr. 31, 429 sqq. Von Fierlinger (Kuhn's Zeitschr. 27, 478 sq. note) supposes, that also in those cases, where an Indian $h$ corresponds to a Greek $\gamma$, a spirant may have been the original sound: this theory however is quite uncertain and would not even seem very probable. In wo case we can accept Kozlovskij's theory about an idg. $\chi$ (Arch. f. slav. phil. 11, 383 sqq.): see my remarks on this subject (Arch. f. slav. phil. 16, 380 sq .).

## Semivowels.

§ 35. Idg. i. The idg. $i$ remained unchanged in Indian except in the tautosyllabic combinations $a i, e i, o i$, which in Aryan fell together in $a i$ and in Indian were contracted to $e$ (see § 7, 9, 11):
idg. *ió-s: skr. yá-s, who, gr. ös.
idg. *iè $q_{0}-t$, genit. *ieqnós: skr. yákrt (with a instead of " by the influence of the casus obliqui, cf. avest. yākarĕ), liver, gr. 萨 $\pi \alpha \rho$, lat. jecur.


 goth. jūs.

idg. *tréies: skr. tráyas, three, gr. тןsĩs, lat. trēs, goth. preis (from *priiiz).
idg. *médhio-s: skr. mádhya-s, gr. $\mu$ é $\sigma \sigma o \varsigma, \mu$ '́roos, lat medius, goth. midjis.
idg. ${ }^{*}$ gińa, ${ }^{*}$ gizata: skr. jyáa, bowstring, lith. gija, thread, cf. gr. Bós, bow.

In Vedic there was an exchange of $y$ and $i y$ after conso-
nants, which doubtlessly goes back to the Indogermanic period (see Brugmann 1, 112 sq. 115 sq. ).

Before $\breve{\imath}$ at the beginning of words $\underset{\sim}{i}$ seems to have disappeared (cf. § 36).
§ 36. Idg. un. The idg. $\underset{\sim}{u}$ remained unchanged in Indian, except in the tautosyllabic combinations au, eu, ou, which in Aryan fell together in au and in Indian were contracted to o (see § 7, 9, 11):
idg. *uéqos, *uéqes-: skr. vácas, word, gr. émos.

idg. *ubida: skr. véda, I know, gr. oid $\alpha$, goth. wait.
idg. ${ }^{*}{ }_{\imath}$ orikio-s: skr. veça-s, house, gr. ofxos, ef. lat. vicus, goth. weiks and skr. víq-, people.
idg. * néuo-s: skr. náva-s, new, gr. véoç, lat. novus, cf. ved. návya-s, gr. עeĩoc, lat. Novius, goth. niujis.
idg. *bhāghheues: skr. bāhávas, arms (plur. of bāhú-s), gr. $\pi \dot{n} \chi \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon, \pi \dot{n} \chi \varepsilon!\varsigma$.
idg. *̂̂ures-: skr. çvásimi, I breathe, I sigh, lat. queror.
idg. *sūādú-s: skr. svādú-s, sweet, gr. भौ̇ús, lat. suāvis (after the femin. idg. *suādut̂, cf. lat. tenuis: skr. tanú-s), ags. swéte, os. swöt $i$.

In Vedic there was an exchange of $v$ and $u v$ after consonants, which doubtlessly goes back to the Indogermanic period (see Brugmann, 1, 140, 143).

Before $\breve{u}$ (idg. $\breve{u}, \partial$ ) at the beginning of words $u$ was lost in Indian: examples of this rule (úrana-s, ūrdhvá-s, $\bar{u} r m i ́-s, \bar{u} r n \bar{u})$ are mentioned in § 13. Osthoff (Morphol. unters. 4, X sq. note) assumes an analogous loss of $\underset{\sim}{i}$ before $\check{\imath}$ (perf. iyája, desiderat. źyakṣ-: yájati, worships; intens. iyasyate: yásyati, gets tired with working).

In some Indian dialects $v$ seems to have been changed to $m$. Traces of this change are perhaps ved. áma-s, this: avest. ava-, oslav. ovŭ and skr. cyāmá-s, dark: cyā̃áa-s, oslav. sivŭ. But it can be hardly doubted, that sometimes there were forms with $m$ by the side of the original with $u$ in the motherlanguage. About the suffixes with $u$, resp. $m$ see Brugmann 2, 189, 379 \&c.: most striking is ved. dámane, gr. סó $\mu \varepsilon \nu \alpha!$ : ved. dāváne, gr. סofévaı. Cf. also skr. drámāmi, I run, gr.


## Nasals.

§ 37. Idg. m. In general the idg. $m$ remained unchanged. Perhaps we may assume, that $m r$ at the beginning of words became $b r$ in Indian (skr. brávīti, speaks: avest. mraoiti, see Osthoff, Morphol. Unters. 5, 130 sqq., but with mr skr. mriyáte: lat. morior and other words).

Instances of idg. $m=\mathrm{skr} . m$ :
idg. ${ }^{*}$ mātēe $(r)$ : skr. mätá, mother, gr. $\mu$ и'тиp, lat. māter, ohg. muoter.
idg. *mntó-s: skr. matá-s, thought, gr. - $\mu \alpha \tau 05$, lat. -mentus, goth. munds.
idg. *mrti-s: skr. mrti-s, death, lat. morr, genit. mortis.
idg. *mnā-: skr. mnāta-s, mentioned, gr. $\mu \iota \mu \nu \dot{\gamma} \sigma \kappa \omega, \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$, $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \nu и \mu \alpha$.
idg. ${ }^{*} m \bar{\alpha}-:$ skr. $m l a ́ a \bar{a} m i, ~ I ~ w i t h e r, ~ g r . ~ \beta \lambda \frac{1}{\alpha} \xi$, genit. $\beta \lambda \bar{\alpha} x \delta_{\xi}$, slack, lazy, stupid ( ${ }^{*} m(\bar{a}-l-s-s)$.

 lat. mirus (ikkr. vi-smayate, is astonished), engl. smile.
idg. * ghormó-s: skr. gharmó-s, warm, warmth, heat, lat. formus, goth. warms, cf. gr. Aepubg.
idg. *augmen-: ved. ojmán-, strength, power, lat. augmen, augmentum.
idg. *gómbho-s: ved. jámbha-s, set of teeth, tooth, gr. ró ${ }^{\prime} \Phi \frac{1}{}$, ohg. chamb, engl. comb.
idg. *to-m: skr. tám, gr. $\tau \delta \downarrow$, lat. is-tum, goth. pan-a, acc. pron. dem. *to-
idg. *bhérontōm: skr. 3 pers. plur. imperat. med. bhárantām, they must bear, gr. 3 pers. plur. imperat. act. $\phi \varepsilon \rho \dot{\delta} \nu \tau \omega v$.

Before $t$ and $\underset{\sim}{u}$ the idg. $m$ became $n$ :
idg. *géntu: ved. gántu, he must go.
idg. * ge д̆pmú̀s: ved. jaganván, having gone (cf. § 18).
The idg. combination $m s$ is represented by $n$ at the end of words, by $\dot{m} s$ between vowels:
idg. *dems: ved. dán, of the house, gr. $\delta \varepsilon \varsigma$. in $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \pi \dot{\delta} \tau \eta=$ (ved. pátir llén, dámpati-s).
idg. *ómso-s: skr. ámsa-s, shoulder, goth. ams, cf. gr. ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \mu \mathrm{\mu}=\mathrm{s}$ and lat. umerus.
§ 38 Idg. n. In general the idg. $n$ remained unchanged:
idg. *náu-s: skr. nátu-s, ship, gr. ขaũs, cf. lat. nāvis and icel. nó- (see Streitberg, Zur germanischen sprachgeschichte, Strassburg 1892, 49 sqq.).
idg. *nébhos: skr. 廿ábhas, sky, gr. véФоs, cf. gr. ขєФ'́̇ди, lat. nebula, ohg. nebul.
idg. *nómn: skr. náma (n), lat. nōmen, cf. gr. övoua and goth. namē.
 and goth. sincigs.
idg. "uidmenaí: ved, vidmíue, to know, gr. i $i \not \mu \varepsilon \nu \alpha_{l}$.
idg. *suépuo-s, *supnó-s: skr. suápna-s, sleep, lat. somnus, icel. svefu, with idg. $u$ gr. シ̈ $\pi v o=$.
idg. *bhéndhono-m: skr. bán"thana-m binding, band, bond,
goth. bindan, cf. skr. bándhu-s, connection, relative, friend, gr. $\pi \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho \delta_{s}$, father in law, and lat. offendix, offendimentum.
idg. *tékpon: skr. voc. táksan, carpenter, gr. téktov.
After $c$ and $j$ (idg. $q, k$, resp. $g, g, g$ ) $n$ was palatalized: so idg. *iagno-s became skr. yaj $\tilde{n} \tilde{\alpha}-s$, sacrifice. The only instance of $\vec{n}$ (idg. $n$ ) after $c$ is skr. $y \bar{a} c \bar{n} \bar{a}$, request: $y$ ácate, asks.

After $s, r, r, \bar{r}$ the dental nasal was turned into the lingual $n$ :
idg. *krsno-s (*qrsno-s?): skr. kŗsnáa,s, black, opruss. kirsna-,

 $\bar{u} r o \overline{0}$. Cf. lith. usnis, thistle.
idg. *érnos: ved. árnas, flood, stream, cf. ohg. ernust, ags. eornost (see my Etym. wb. der got. sprache s. v. arniba).
idg. *mrnámi: ved. mrnámi, I crush, gr. med. $\mu \alpha ́ \rho \nu \alpha \mu \propto ı . ~$
idg. ${ }^{*} t_{g} n o-m:$ skr. tŕna-m, grass, cf. goth. paúrnus.
Not only if $s, r, r, \bar{r}$ immediately preceded, the dental $n$ was lingualized: the change of $n$ to $n$ took place, at whatever distance from it the lingual consonant or vowel might be found, unless there intervened a palatal affricate, a $\varepsilon$, a dental or a lingual (see Whitney § 189 sqq.). Instances:
skr. dvésāni, l pers. sing. praes. conj. (imperat.) of dvésmi, I hate.
ved. cáksana-m, sight, appearance: cáste, appears, sees, looks.
skr. Rudréna, instr. sing. of Rudrá-s.
skr. váriṇe, dat. sing. of vári, water.

skr. cikir scamāna-s, part. praes. of cikirsate, desires to do (desid. of karóti, does).

The idg. combination $n s$ is represented by $n$ at the end of words (cf. Lorentz, Bezz. Beitr. 21, 173 sqq.), by $\dot{m} s$ between vowels:
idg. *úlqons: skr. acc. plur. vヶfkān, wolves, cf. gr. גúxous, lat. equōs, lupōs, goth. wulfans, dagans (also skr. acc. plur. agnîn, paraçı́n, cf. goth. anstins, sununs \&e.).
idg. ${ }^{*}$ ghans-: skr. ha $\dot{m} s \dot{a}-s$, goose, swan, gr. x'́y, ohg. gans, cf. lat. anser.

About idg. $\ln (r n)$, skr. $n$ see $\S 44$.
§ 39. Idg. $\dot{\mathrm{n}}$. The idg. $\dot{n}$ remained unchanged, unless the following guttural (resp. velar) was palatalized by the influence of a palatal vowel:
idg. *oñko-s: skr. añká-s, lap, hook, gr. öryos, lat. uncus, cf. goth. hals-agga.
idg. *bhonigo-s, *bhongā: skr. bhañgá-s, wave, lith. banga.
But $\dot{n}$ became $\tilde{n}$, if the following guttural (resp. velar) was changed into a palatal affricate:
idg. *pénqe: skr. páñca, five, gr. $\pi$ śvтє, lat. quinque, goth. fimf.
§ 40. Idg. $\overline{\mathrm{n}}$. The idg. $\tilde{n}$ remained unchanged before idg. $g$, skr. $j$, bat it became $\dot{i n}$ before idg. $\hat{k}$, skr. $c$ and before idg. $\hat{g} h$, skr. $h$. In other words: if the following palatal guttural became a spirant, $\bar{n}$ was reduced to anusvāra.
idg. *ënón $\hat{k} a$ : skr. ānániça, I attained (perf. of açnómi, idg.
 nanciscor.
idg. *ánghos: ved. ámihas, distress, lat. angus- in angustus, cf. gr. ${ }^{\alpha} \gamma \chi \omega$, lat. angō \&c.

## Liquids.

§ 41. The liquids in general. Nowadays no one doubts any more, but that the mother-language, possessed at least two liquids, viz. $r$ and $l$. Since the clever article of Fortunatov on the representation of dental + liquid in ludian, it
may be called very probable, that the ancient difference between $r$ and $l$ still existed in the Aryan period. In Iranian $r$ and $l$ fell together into $r$ and in the Vedic dialect of Indian $l$ is but rare: in most cases epic and class. $l$ is represented by ved. $r$. Therefore we need not be surprised, that the older linguists considered $l$ as younger than $r$.

Yet it can not be denied, that in as early a period as the Indogermanic there often stood forms with $r$ and $l$ side by side with each other ( $l: r$ in suffixes see Brugmann 2, § 62, 74-77, 98, 107, 119—122; in root-syllables Persson, Studien zur lehre v. d. wurzelerweiterung \&c., Upsala 1891, 59—67, cf. P. B. Beitr. 17, 437 sqq. and my Etym. wb. der got. sprache s. v. alhs, baírhts, biraubōn, gras, grēdus, hrūk, kalbō, stilan). This Indogermanic change of $r$ and $l$ does not properly belong to the proviuce of Indian phonetics and so we may leave it unnoticed. Likewise such cases as skr. bhunákti: goth. brūkjan, skr. bhanákti: goth. brikan are to be explained by phonetic rules of the motherlanguage.
§ 42. Idg. r. In general the idg. $r$ remained unchanged. Instances are:
idg. *réǵżō: skr. rájyāmi, I am (get) red, affected with a strong feeling, gr. $\beta \dot{\varepsilon} \zeta \omega$.
idg. ${ }^{*} r e \bar{e}-s: ~ s k r . ~ r a t-s, ~ w e a l t h, ~ l a t . ~ r e ̄ s . ~$
idg . ${ }^{*} r \bar{e} \hat{k}-s$, stem ${ }^{*} r e \bar{g} \bar{g}$ : skr. rât (instead of ${ }^{*} r \bar{a} k$ from ${ }^{*} r \bar{a} k s$, which was to be expected), lat. rēx.
idg. *reud-: skr. ródimi, I weep, lat. rūdō, ags. réotan.
idg. *bhérō: skr. bhárāmi, I bear, gr. Фépo, lat. ferō, goth. baíra.
idg. *péri: skr. pári, around, gr. $\pi$ ह́pl, lat. per, goth. faíridg. *smérō: skr. smárāmi, I remember, cf. lat. memor.
idg. *dedór̂̂ka: skr. dadârça, I saw, gr. סédopxa.

idg. *grasó : skr. grásāmi, I eat, I devour, gr. rpác.

idg. ${ }^{*} m$ âter: skr. vocat. mátar, mother, gr. $\mu \tilde{\tau} \tau \varepsilon \rho$.
About the combinations of $r$ with dentals see $\S 44$. Unexplained is the $l$ in cases as skr. luñcāmi, I pull out, I pull off, I husk: gr. bov́ $\sigma \sigma \omega$, lat. runcāre; skr. humpámi, I break (already ved., by the side of rúpyāmi, I have belly-ache): lat. rumpō, ags. réofan, and many others. In some of them we must assume an Indogermanic change of $r$ and $l$.
§ 43. Idg, l. In Vedic the idg. $l$ has fallen together with $r$, except when followed by a dental. The words, containing $l$ are to be considered as loans from an other dialect. In the epic and classical language the original $l$ is represented in some cases by $l$, in other cases by $r$; often the same word occurs in two forms, with $l$ and with $r$. The distribution of the two liquids in the Brähmana's is nearly the same as in classical Sanskrit. I am inclined to believe, that the idg. $l$ regularly remained unchanged in Sanskrit: the forms with $r$ may have been borrowed from other dialects. Hence it appears, that the Sanskrit of Madhyadeça is not a younger form of the western dialect, in which the hymns of the Rgveda have come down to us.

Idg. $l=$ skr. $l$ :
idg. *lambetaif: skr. lámbate, hangs down (already Çat. Br., but Rgv. rámbate), cf. lat. lābor.
idg. *léíghmi: skr. léhmi, I lick (but ved. réhmi), cf. gr.入eíx $\omega$, lat. lingō and goth. -laigōn.
idg. ${ }^{*}$ limpó : skr. limpámi , I smear (already Athv. and Brāhm., but Rgv. rip - except however 1, 191, 1-4 alipsata), cf.
 laiba, liban.
idg. *lubh-, *leubhr-: skr. híbhyāmi, I desire (already Athv. aud Brāhm., even Rgv. 10, 103, 12 lobháyantī), lat. lubet, goth. liufs.
idg. *talấ: skr. tulấ, balance (already Vājasaneyi-samihitā and Brāhm.; with unexplained $u$ instead of $i$ ), cf. gr. $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \nu \tau o \nu$, $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \mu \omega \dot{\nu}$, lat. tollō, goth. pulan.
idg. *alpo-s (*olpo-s?): skr. álpa-s, small, cf. lith. alpti, to languish, to swoon away, alpnas, weak, fainted (also gr. $\left.\dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \pi \alpha \delta \nu \sigma_{s} ?\right)$.
ldg. $l=$ skr. $r$ :
idg. *léksō, *aléksō: skr. rákssāmi, I guard, I protect, gr. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \xi_{\omega}$.
idg. *lelớqqa: skr. riréca, I left, gr. $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \lambda o \iota \pi \alpha$, goth. laihw, perf. of skr. riñácmi, gr. $\lambda \varepsilon i \pi \omega$, goth. leihwa.
idg. *léudhō: skr. róhāmi (ved. also ródhāmi, which is the


idg. ${ }^{*}$ pel-, ${ }^{*} p l \overline{e ̄-: ~ s k r . ~ p i ́ p a r m i, ~ I ~ f i l l, ~ g r . ~} \pi i \mu \pi \lambda и \mu \iota, \pi \lambda и \rho и ̆ s$, lat. im-pleō, plēnus, cf. with $l$ goth. fulls.
idg. *pléthetai: skr. práthate, broadens, cf. prthí-s, broad, gr. $\pi \lambda \alpha \pi u^{\prime}$.

About the combinations of $l$ with dentals see $\S 44$.
§ 44. Combinations of $\mathbf{r}$ and 1 with dentals. Fortunatov (Bezz. Beitr. 6, 215 sqq.) has given the following rule: $r+$ dental remained unchanged, but in the combinations of $l$ and a following dental the $l$ disappeared and the dental was lingualized. It is to be noticed, that the word dental is taken here in its widest sense. Bechtel 382 sqq., Windisch (Kuhn's Zeitschr. 27, 168), Darbishire (Relliquiae philologicae, Cam-
bridge 1895 , 202 sqq. 241 sqq.) and many other scholars have accepted this rule, but Brugmann 1, 213, Bartholomae (Idg. forschungen 3, 157 sqq.), J. Schmidt (Kritik der sonantentheorie 1 sq . note) and Wackernagel 1, 171 prefer to believe, that all those linguals, which go back on a combination of liquid + dental are due to Prācritic influence.

Examples of $r+$ dental:
idg. *leértō: skr. kártāmi (epic, the classical praesens is kerntámi), I cut, lith. kertu.
idg. *uértō: skr. vártāmi (more common med. várte), I turn, move, go on, abide, exist, am, am present, lat. vertō, goth. waírpa.
idg. * mérdō: skr. márdāmi, I press, squeeze, crush, destroy, cf. lat. mordeō ( $=$ skr. causat. mardáyāmi).
idg. *pérdetaí: skr. párdate (Dhātup.), breaks wind, gr. $\pi \varepsilon \rho \delta \varepsilon \tau \alpha t$, cf. hg. firzen, furzen.
idg. *ardho-s (* ${ }^{*}$ ordho-s?): skr. ardhá-s, half, cf. lith. ardyti, to separate.
idg. *ghordho-s: skr. gardha-s, desire, avidity, cf. goth. grēdus, hunger.
idg. *kórno-s: skr. kárna-s, ear.
idg. *uórno-s: skr. várna-s, cover, outside, color, caste, sound, akin to vrṇómi, l cover, várūtha-m, cover, protection, gr. हैpuotal, goth. warjan \&c.
idg. *hhérsō: skr. dhársāmi (more common dhrṣnómi), I dare, cf. gr. $\theta \times \rho \sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \omega, \theta \alpha \rho \rho \varepsilon \dot{\prime} \omega, \theta \alpha \rho \sigma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \sigma_{c}, \theta_{\rho} \alpha \sigma u ́ s ~ \& c$., goth. ga-dars.

Examples of $l+$ dental:
idg. *palto-s: skr. pata-s, woven stuff, cloth, garment, cf. oslav. platĭno, russ. polotnó, linen, perhaps also skr. paṭála-m,
cover, veil, mass, gr. (thrac.?) $\pi^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \tau \eta$, a little shield, and icel. feldr, cover.
idg. *paltu-s: skr. patu-s, sharp, cf. gr. $\pi \lambda \alpha \tau \dot{\prime}$, saltish, brack.
idg. *sphalt-: skr. sphátāami, I burst (Dhātup. visarañe), ohg. spaltan (from spaldan with germ. $d$ from $p$ by Verner's law).
idg. *ualto-s: skr. vata-s (vaṭa-m, vatī), snare, cf. lith. valtis, thread, net, russ. vóloť̃, thread.
idg. *ghơlto-: skr. hätaka-m, gold (also "Goldland", a certain country in India, the inhabitants of which were called Hätakāss), oslav. zlato, russ. zobloto, cf. with l goth. gulp.
idg. *gelth- (*gelth-?): skr. jathára-m, belly, womb, goth. kilpei (cf. with r skr. jartú-, vulva, Un̄ādisūtra).
jdg. *kulth-: skr. kuthära-, axe, lat. culter.
idg. *kalno-s: skr. kina-s, callosity, lat. callus (callum).
idg. *kulni-s, *kulno-s: skr. kuni-s, lame of one arm, gr. жи $\lambda \lambda \dot{o ́ g}_{\text {. }}$
idg. *ṑlni-s: skr. $\bar{a} n i-s(a n i-s)$, the leg immediately above the knee, linch-pin, cf. gr. ผ̀ $\lambda$ évy, lat. ulna, goth. aleina and ohg. lun, os. lunisa, ags. lynes, linch-pin (with lu from !?).
idg. *pălni-s: skr. pănń-s, hand, cf. gr. талд́ки, lat. palma and ags. folm.
idg. *pélno-s: skr. pana-s, wager, stake, prize, wages, a coin \&c., lith. pelnas, wages, oslaจ. plénŭ, booty, cf. gr. $\pi \omega \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ and obg. fāli.
idg. *uălni: ved. vánī, reed, rush, cf. goth. walus.
idg. *bhăls-: skr. bhásạate, speaks, bhásati, barks, lith. balsas, voice, tone, icel. bjalla, engl. bell.
idg. *lals-: skr. lásāami, I desire, cf. lālasa-s, desirous, gr. גı入aioual and goth. lustus (lu from l?).
 $\lambda i \theta 0 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{cf}$. ohg. felis.

The apparent exceptions to Fortunatov's rule may be explained as prācritisms. Such cases are:
skr. bhata-s, soldier: bhrtá-s, hired (cf. gr. Фé $\rho \omega$ ).
skr. káta-s, mat: kartana-m, spinning (cf. gr. xúpтos).
skr. katú-s, sharp: *kartú-s (cf. lith. kartus).
skr. nata-s, dancer, actor: nartaka-s, dancer (nfrtyämi, I dance).
By no means all linguals go back on $l(r)+$ dental, but they are often original dentals, which are lingualized by Prācritic influence. Instances are skr. atā $m i$, I roam, I wander about: ved. átāmi; skr. bhanāmi, I speak: ved. bhánāmi \&c.
§ 45. Metathesis of r. On this subject see Brugmann 1, 214 and Persson, Studien zur lehre v. d. warzelerweiterung 218 sqq . Instances of ra:ar: fut. draksyámi, perf. 2 pers. sing. dadrásṭha, inf. drástum from the root darc-, idg. ${ }^{*}$ der $\hat{k}$-, to see; perf. 2 pers. sing. tatráptha (tatárptha) from the root tarp-, idg. *terp-, to be satisfied; fut. srapsyâmi (sarpsyâmi), aor. ásrāpsam (ásärpsam) from the root sarp-, idg. *serp-, to creep.

> Labial explosives.
§ 46. Idg. p. Idg. $p=$ skr. $p$ :
idg. *potèt $(r)$ : skr. pitát, gr. $\pi \alpha \tau$ й $\rho$, lat. pater, goth. fadar.
idg. *paros: skr. purás, in front, forward, before, gr. $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho o \varsigma$, cf. goth. faúra.
 filhan, filigri.
idg. *prîni-s: skr. pŕçni-s, speckled, cf. gr. $\pi \varepsilon \rho x \nu \dot{d} s$ and perhaps ohg. forhana, trout.
idg. ${ }^{*}$ perut: skr. parut, last year, cf. gr. $\pi \notin \rho \cup \sigma \iota$, dor. $\pi t \in \cup \tau \iota$, mhg. vert.
idg. ${ }^{*}$ pró: skr. prá-, forward, onward, gr. $\pi \rho \dot{\rho}$, goth. fra-, cf. lat. prōd, prō.
idg. *plééuō: skr. plávāmi, I float, I swim \&c., gr. $\pi \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \omega$, cf. lat. pluit and with suffix $-d$ ags. Aéotan.
idg. *népōt-s: ved. nâpāt, descendant, grandson, lat. nepōs, ohg. nefo.
idg. *suépno-s: skr. svápna-s, sleep, dream, lat. somnus, icel. svefn, ef. gr. シ̈ $\pi$ vos.
idg. *sérpō: skr. sárpāmi, I creep \&c., gr. ${ }_{\text {®̈ }}^{\rho} \boldsymbol{\rho} \pi \omega$, lat. serpō.
idg. ${ }^{*}$ spéte-s: ved. spát (instead of ${ }^{*}$ spalc, stem spac-), lat. -spex in auspex, haruspex, cf. skr. pácyämi (Dhātup. also spágāmi), lat. specio, ohg. spehōn.

How far the Aryan $p h$ (skr. ph, iran. $f$ ) goes back on idg. $p h$, is still unsettled (cf. Hoffmann, Bezz. Beitr. 18, 154 sqq.). Instances of skr. ph, iran. $f$ :
skr. kapha-, phlegm, avest. kafa-.
skr. çaphá-, hoof, avest. safa-.
§ 47. Idg. b. Idg. $b=$ skr. $b$ (cf. P. B. Beitr. 18, 236 sqq. 20, 325 sqq.):
idg. *bolo-m: skr. bála-m, strength, power, cf. oslav. bolij, greater, larger, lat. dē-bilis, powerless, weak (cf. von Grienberger, Zeitschr. für deutsche philologie 27,453 sqq. and Osthoff, Idg. forschungen 6, l sqq.).
idg. *bālo-s ( $\bar{a}$ or $\bar{o} ?$ ): skr. bālá-s, young, foolish, whiteruss. bal, liar, cf. also russ. balooáti, to dally, to fondle, to spoil.
idg. *balbal-, *barbar-: skr. balbalā-karomi, I stammer, bar-bará-s, stammering, gr. $\beta \alpha_{\rho} \beta \alpha \rho 05$, cf. lat. balbus with broken reduplication.
idg. *bal-: ved. balbalīti, whirls (Uccāir dhūnah paramayā jūtyā balbaliti, Çat. Br.), cf. gr. $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda / \zeta \omega$.
idg. *buk-: skr. buk-kāra-s, roar, bellow, gr. $\beta$ úxтия, $\beta \cup x d ́ v \eta$, lat. būcina.
idg. *belk-, *blek-: skr. bárkara-s, kid, slov. blekati, to bleat, blekaš, bleating buck.
idg. *buli-s: skr. buli-s, cunnus, buttock, lith. bulis, buttock, cf. lat. bulla, bubble, lith. bumbulas, knob, knot, bumbulys, turnip, russ. builka, roll (bread), dutch peul, husk, pulse, puilen, to swell (in speaking of eyes: to start from the head).
idg. *bāl- (with $\bar{a}$ or $\bar{o}$ ?): skr. jam-bāla-s, pool, mud (jam-, earth, $+-b \bar{a} l a-s)$, ags. pól, swed. pöl, cf. lith. bala and oslav. blato, russ. bolóto.
idg. *pibō: skr. píbāmi, I drink, lat. bibō (irish ebaim proves, that the first consonant was an idg. $p$ ).
idg. "lamb-, "lāb-: skr. lambate, hangs down, lat. lābitur, cf. goth. slēpan, ags. sláppan, to sleep, ohg. slaf, dutch slap, slack, oslav. slabŭ, weak.
idg. ${ }^{*}-b d-$ : ved. upa-bdá-s, stamping, trampling, gr. ṡmi $\beta \delta x \iota$, the day after a feast, cf. $\pi \varepsilon \delta \alpha^{\prime}$, immediately after, and skr. pât, foot, gr. moús \&c.
§ 48. Idg. bh. Idg. $b h=$ skr. $b h$ :
idg. "bhérō: skr. bhárāmi (bíbharmi), I bear, gr. Ф́̇fa, lat. ferō, goth. baira.
idg. *bhéūō: skr. bhávāmi, I am, cf. gr. Фúa, lat. fui, ohg. būan.

 * $\dot{\alpha} \Phi \rho \tilde{u}$, cf. Schmidt, Kuhn's Zeitschr. 32, 376 sqq.), cf. oslav. brŭvă, obrŭv̆̆ and ohg. brāwa.
 lat. nebula, ohg. nebul.
idg. ${ }^{*}$ gombho-s: skr. jámbha-s, set of teeth, tooth, gr. $\gamma^{\dot{j} \mu 甲 o s, ~}$ ohg. chamb.

When followed by an aspirate, bh lost its aspiration:
idg. *bhéưdhō: skr. bodhämi, I perceive, goth. biuda, cf. gr. $\pi v v$ dívopat $^{2}, \pi \varepsilon \dot{v} \theta o \mu a t$.
idg. *bhndhnámi: skr. badhnáámi, I bind, cf. bándhana-m, binding, band, bond, goth. bindan: to this root belong also skr. bándhu-s, connection, relative, friend, gr. $\pi \varepsilon v \theta \varepsilon \rho \rho^{\prime}$ and lat. offendimentum, offendix.
Idg. $b h+t$ is represented by $b d h$ : see $\S 49$.
We must assume with von Bradke (Zeitschr. der D. Morgenl. Ges. 40,657 sqq.), that the not very numerous cases, in which the idg. $6 h$ is represented by skr. $h$, are loans from a Prāeritic dialect. So we find skr. gṛhnámi: ved. grbhanâmi, I seize; skr. hárāmi, I bear, I take: bhárāmi, I bear. Of. prâkr. pahu-: skr. prabhú, lord; prākr. hodi, hoï: skr. bhávati, is, \&e.

> Dental explosives.
§ 49. Idg. t. Idg. $t=$ skr. $t$ :
idg. *tinnéumi: skr. tanómi, I stretch, ef. gr. चcivo, lat. tendö, tentus, goth. -panjan. To the same root skr. tanús, thin, gr. тavv-, lat. tenuis, ohg. dunni.
idg. *tudót: skr. tudâmi, I push, I strike, cf. ved. tunadate, tundāná-, lat. tundō and goth. startan.
idg. *tréies: skr. tráyas, three, gr. $\tau \rho \varepsilon \bar{\tau} \varsigma$, lat. trēs, goth. preis.
idg. *pétõ: skr. pátāmi, I fly, I fall, lat. petō, cf. gr. $\pi \notin \tau 0-$ $\mu \alpha t, \pi i \pi \tau \omega$.
idg. *uértetain: skr. vártate, turns, rolls, goes, abides, cf. lat. vertō, goth. wairpa.

Idg. $t n$ remained unchanged in Indian:
idg. *potnī: skr. pátnī, lady, mistress, wife, cf. gr. пótuıa.
idg. *rotnó-m ( ${ }^{*}$ retno-m?): skr. ratna-m (by the side of ratná-m), riches, treasure (ved.), jewel, pearl, cf. § 13.

After $s$ the dental $t$ was lingualized:
 octō, goth. ahtau.
idg. *ustó-s: skr. usṭ̂́-s, burned, lat. ustus.
Before $c$ the dental $t$ was palatalized:
idg. ${ }^{*} u t k o-$, ${ }^{*} u t k e-: ~ s k r . ~ u c c a-(f r o m ~ * u t c a-), ~ h i g h, ~ d e r i v e d ~$ from idg. ${ }^{*} \breve{u} d$, skr. uid, up, forth, out, goth. üt, ags. út.

Akin to the assimilation of $t c$ to $c c$ was the transition of tç to cch: so pacchás, in hemistichs, goes back on *pat-çás, *pad-çás, derived from pad-, pād-, foot, quarter of a stanza.

The idg. combination $l t$ became $t$, see $\S 44$.
Very difficult are the combinations of mediae aspiratae with $t$, which seem to be changed to mediae $+d h$ before the end of the Indogermanic period.

Idg. $b h+t=$ skr. $b d h$ :
ved. dabdhár-s, harmed, hurted, deceived: dabhnómi.
skr. labdhá-s, seized, taken, got: lábhe.
Idg. $d h+t=$ skr. $d d h$ :
skr. baddhâ-s, bound: badhnámi.
skr. buddhá-s, perceived, awake: bódhāmi.
Idg. $g h+t$ and $g h+t=$ skr. $g d h:$
skr. dagdhá-s, burned: dáhāmi.
Idg. gh $h+t=$ skr. $d h$ :
ved. sādhá-s, conquered: sáhāmi.
skr. $\bar{u} d h a ́-s, ~ c a r r i e d: ~ v a ́ h a ̄ m i . ~$
How far the Aryan th (skr. th, iran. p) represents an idg. th, is not sufficiently clear. A certain case of idg. th is the suffix of the 2 pers. sing. perf. -tha:
idg. *ubittha: skr. véttha, thou knowest, gr. oioda, cf. goth. waist and see Zubatý, Kuhn's Zeitschr. 31, 4.

Instances of skr. th, iran. $p$ :
skr. rátha-, chariot, avest. rapa-.
skr. prthhí, wide, avest. pёrĕpu-.
§ 50. Idg. d. Idg. $d=$ skr. $d$ :
idg. ${ }^{*} d i d \bar{o} m i: ~ s k r . ~ d a ́ d a ̄ m i, ~ I ~ g i v e ~(* d i d \bar{a} m i), ~ g r . ~ \delta i \delta \omega \mu i, ~ c f . ~$ lat. dō.
idg. *dék̂m: skr. dáça, ten, gr. ১̇́ккa, lat. decem, goth. taíhun.
idg. *dru-: ved. $d r u$-, wood, gr. $\delta_{\rho \cup \tau}^{\prime} \mu \circ \varsigma, \delta_{\rho} \tilde{G}_{G}$, cf. goth. triu (idg. *dreur-), gr. $\delta o ́ \rho u$ (idg. *dóru), skr. dáru (idg. * dóru).
 cf. goth. twai.
idg. *sédos: skr. sádas, seat, gr. ésoc: skr. root sad-, to sit, lat. sedē, goth. sitan \&c.
idg. ${ }^{*}$ skhind-: skr. chindánti, they cut off, gr. $\sigma \chi ı \nu \alpha a \lambda \mu \delta$, splinter, lat. scindō (cf. goth. skaidan, idg. root *skhait-).

During or more likely long before the Aryan period $d d$, $d d h$ were changed to $z d, z d h$. In Iranian the $z$ of these combinations was preserved, but in Indian it disappeared after having developed an $i$. Example:
idg. *deddhí (or already *dezdhí): ar. *dazdhi, avest. dazdi, skr. dehí (instead of $\left.{ }^{*} d e d h i ́ f r o m ~ * d a i d h i,{ }^{*} d a i z d h i ́\right)$, give.

In certain cases $z d$ became $z d$ in Aryan, from which skr. $d$ (see § 63):
idg. *nizdó-s: skr. nīdácos, nest, lat. nīdus, ohg. nest.
About the lingualization of $d$ in $l d$ see § 44.
Idg. $d n$ was assimilated in Indian to $n n$ (cf. however Bartholomae, Studien zur idg. sprachgeschichte 2, Halle 1891, 94 sqq.):
idg. *édno-m: skr. ánna-m, food, rice, cf. gr. द̇ठavós from *edanó-s.
idg. *bhidnó-s: skr. bhinná-s, split: bhinádmi, I split.
idg. *tudnó-s: skr. tunná-s, pushed: tudámi, I push.

Finally it should be mentioned, that skr. $d j$ became $j j$. An instance of this rule is ved. újjiti-s, victory, from udand $j i t i-s$.
§ 51. Idg. dh. Idg. $d h=\mathrm{skr} . d h$.
 ©عраітия, goth. gadars.
idg. *dhūmó-s: skr. dhūmá-s, smoke, gr. ब̄̄几ós, lat. fūmus.
idg. *dhoir ${ }_{2}^{\partial}$ (root *dhēi-): skr. dháyāmi, I suck, goth. daddja,
 same root belongs idg. *dhēlu-s: ved. dhārú-s, sucking, gr. өиँ $\lambda \nu 5$.
idg. *dhidhēmi: skr. dádhāmi, I put (instead of *didhāmi), gr. тiөици, cf. ags. dón.
idg. * médhu: skr. mádhu, honey, gr. $\mu \in ̇ \theta u$, ohg. meto.
idg. *îludhí: ved. çrudhí, hear, imperat. of çrṇómi, I hear, gr. x $\lambda u^{\prime} \omega$ \&c.
idg. *médhi̊o-s:- skr. mádhya-s, middle, gr. $\mu$ évos, lat. medius, goth. midjis.

In certain cases $z d h$ became $y d h$ in Aryan, from which skr. ${ }^{2} h$ (see § 63).
idg. *mizdhó-: ved. mĩdhá-m, prize, contest, gr. $\mu l \sigma \theta \dot{o}^{\prime}$, cf. goth. mizdō.

Idg. dhn remained unchang'ed in Indian:
idg. *bhudhno-s: ved. budhná-s, bottom, cf. gr. $\pi v \theta \mu \dot{\eta} v, \pi \dot{v} \delta \alpha \xi$, lat. fundus and ohg. bodam.

When followed by an aspirate, $d \hbar$ lost its aspiration:
idg. *dhidhēmi: skr. dádhāmi, I put, gr. тiӨицı.
Idg. $d h+t$ is represented by $d d h:$ see $\S 49$.
In many Sanskrit words and forms, when $d h$ might be expected, we find however $h$. Instances:
idg. ${ }^{*}-d h i$, ved. $-d h i,-h i$, skr. $-h i$, suff. 2 pers. sing. imperat. act., gr. - $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{1}$.
idg. *-medhai, *-medha: ved. \& skr. -mahe, -mahi, suff. 1 pers. plur. med., avest. -maidēe, gr. $-\mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$.

Of. von Bradke (Zeitschr. der D. Morgenl. Ges. 40, 657 sqq .), who explains such cases by Prācritic influence.
Guttural explosives.
§ 52. General remarks. A few years ago only two series of gutturals were assumed, viz. a palatal and a velar series. Bezzenberger (Bezz. Beitr. 16, 234 sqq.) has proved, that there was a third series - different from the palatals and velars -, which may be called the middle gutturals. In the western Indogermanic languages, viz. in Greek, Italic, Germanic and Celtic, the velars were labialized and the middle gutturals have fallen together with the palatals. In Aryan, Armenian, Phrygian-Thracian, Albanian and Balto-Slavonic the velars were not labialized, but have fallen together with the middle gutturals: here the palatals were changed to spirants. The velars and middle gutturals being represented in Aryan by one series, it is preferable not to separate them in a book on Sanskrit phonetics.
§ 53. The palatalization-rule, The palatalization-rule concerns the velars and middle gutturals in general: therefore it is to be treated in a separate paragraph, the more so as the discovery of this rule has had a decisive influence on the modern vowel-theories. It has been the strongest, if not the only argument for adopting, that the multiplicity of vowels in the European languages and in Armenian is more original than the Aryan simplicity.

This rule, which was discovered by several scholars at the same time (see Schmidt, Kuhn's Zeitschr. 25, 1-179), may be formulated as follows:

During the Aryan period, before the change of $\check{e}$ to $\breve{a}$, the gutturals (idg. middle gutturals and velars), were palatalized and changed to palatal affricates, when followed by idg. $\check{\text {, }}$ ${ }_{i}, i$, and were preserved as gutturals in any other position (cf. however Meillet, Mém. de la Soc. de Ling. 9, 376 sqq.).

Instances of skr. $c, j, h$ (from $j h$ ), going back on gutturals before $\breve{e}, \breve{\imath}, i$ :
idg. ${ }^{*} q e$ : skr. $c a$, and, gr. $\tau \varepsilon$, lat. que.
idg. *qeru-s, skr. carú-s, kettle, pot, icel. hverr.
idg. *qetừres: skr. catvắras, four, goth. fidwōr, cf. gr.

idg. *pénqe: skr. páñca, five, gr. $\pi \varepsilon \in y \tau \varepsilon$, lat. quinque, goth. fimf.
idg. *léúuketai: skr. rócate, shines, pleases, cf. gr. גeuxós, lat. lūcet, goth. liuhap.
idg. * géretaí: ved. járate, crackles, invokes, cf. ohg. quirit.
idg. *áuges-: skr. ójas, strength, power, cf. ugrá-s, mighty, terrible and lat. augeō, goth. aukan.
idg. *ghénmi: skr. hánmi, I slay, cf. gr. $\begin{gathered}\text { sivv. }\end{gathered}$
idg. * ghéros: ved. háras, heat, gr. AÉpos.
idg. *-qiti-s: skr. ápa-citi-s, reward, homage, punishment,

idg. ${ }^{*}$ qid: skr. cid, -cunque, gr. $\tau i$, lat. quid.
idg. *lcuki-s: skr. çúci-s, light, clear, pure, cf. çukrá-s, clear, bright.
idg. *kiééuetaí: skr. cyávate, moves, falls, cf. gr. $\sigma \varepsilon \dot{\omega} \omega$.
Instances of gutturals, which were not followed by $\check{e}, \check{z}, i$ and therefore remained unchanged:
idg. *kakŭd-: skr. kakút (d), Kakúdmān (nt), top, summit, lat. cacūmen (from *cacūdmen).
idg. *kark-: skr. karká-s, karkaṭa-s, crab, gr. xхpxivos, lat. cancer (from *earcer or *carcen).
idg. *skandò: skr. skánd̄̄mi, I spring, lat. scandō.
idg. *kālo-s: skr. kāla-s, dark, black, cf. gr. килis (* $к \bar{\alpha} \lambda i \prime s)$,
 lat. cālĭgo, mist, darkness.
idg. *k $\bar{a} r u-s: ~ v e d . ~ k a ̄ r u ́-s, ~ p r a i s e r, ~ s i n g e r, ~ c f . ~ g r . ~ х и ̆ ~ \rho \bar{u} \xi, ~ d o r . ~$

idg. *qâsetain: skr. kấsate, coughs, cf. ag's. hwósta, dutch hoest. That the radical vowel was $\bar{a}$ (not $\bar{o}$ ), is seen from lith. kosèti, to cough.
idg. *égāt: skr. ágāt, went, gr. $\varepsilon^{\xi} \beta \eta$, dor. ${ }_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \beta \bar{\alpha}$.
idg. *qotero-s: skr. katará-s, who (from two), gr. $\pi \dot{\prime} \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ s$, ion. xóтepos, goth. hwapar.
idg. *kokko-s, *kóksā: skr. kákssa-s, region of the girth, girdle \&c., underwood, kakksa, girdle, circular wall, lat. coxa, mhg. hahse.
idg. *jug $6-m$ : skr. yugá-m, yoke, age, gr. ऍuróv, lat. jugum, goth. juk.
idg. * ghono-s: skr. ghaná-s, slayer (ved.), compact \&c., gr. Фóvos: skr. hánmi, I slay, gr. Geiva.
idg. *dalgh $\delta-s:$ skr. dīrghá-s, long, cf. gr. סo入ıxós.
idg. * gó ${ }_{c}-\mathrm{s}: ~ \mathrm{skr}$. gáu-s, cow, gr. ßoũs \&c.
idg. *garú-s: skr. gurú-s, heavy, important, worthy of honor, cf. gr. Bapús, goth. kaúrus and lat. gravis.
idg. *gari-s: skr. girí-s, mountain, cf. lith. giria, forest, oslav. gora, mountain.
idg. * gaytó-s: skr. gatá-s, gone, gr. ßatós, lat. -ventus.
idg. ${ }^{*} \dot{\imath} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{c} q r^{-t}$ : skr. yákert (*yákrt, cf. avest. yākarĕ), liver, gr. 苂 $\pi \alpha \rho$, lat. jecur.
idg. *leréuəz: ved. Kravis, raw flesh, gr. up'́as, cf. lat. cruor and ags. hréa, icel. hrár.
idg. * $\hat{k} u k r \delta-s, * k u k l \sigma-s: ~ s k r ., ~ c ̧ u k r a ́-s ~(v e r y ~ c o m m o n ~ i n ~ V e d i c), ~$
çuklá-s, clear, bright, white, cf. comparat. çóciyān ( $\dot{n} s$ ) and çúci-s, light, clear, pure.
idg. *ugró-s: skr. ugrás, mighty, terrible, ef. comparat. ójīyān (ìs), akin to lat. augeō, goth. aukan \&c.
idg. ${ }^{*}$ stighnutain: skr. stighnuté, ascends (Dhātup. āskandane), cf. gr. $\sigma \tau \varepsilon i \chi \omega$, goth. steiga.
idg. *peniqti-s: skr. panikti-s, row of five, row, cf. gr. $\pi \varepsilon \in \pi \tau 0 \varsigma$.
idg. *siséqti: ved. síşakti, follows, accompanies, cf. sácate, gr. ${ }^{\ell \prime} \pi \varepsilon \tau \alpha!$, lat. sequitur.
§ 54. Idg. $\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}$. In Aryan the idg. $q$ and $k$ have fallen together. Before palatal vowels and the semivowel $\underset{\sim}{i}$ they were palatalized, in all other cases they are represented by $k$.

Instances of idg. $q, k$, skr. $k$ :
idg. *qásetaị: skr. kắsate, coughs, cf. ags. hwósta.
idg. *qotero-s: skr. katará-s, who (from two), gr. по́тєpos, ion. xótepos, goth. hwapar.

idg. *periqti-s: skr. panikti-s, row of five, row, cf. gr. $\pi \frac{\xi}{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \tau 0 \xi$.
idg. *kaǩ̌d-: skr. kakút (d), kakúdmān (nt), top, summit, lat. cacūmen.
idg. *kark-: skr. karká-s, karkaṭa-s, crab, gr. xapxivos, lat. cancer.
idg. *kókso-s, *kóksā: skr. káksa-s, region of the girth \&c., kákisā, girdle, circular wall, lat. coxa, mhg. hahse.
idg. *kréuəs: ved. kravís, raw flesh, gr. xpéa s, cf. lat. cruor \&c.
Instances of idg. $q, k$, skr. $c$ :
idg. *qeru-s: skr. carú-s, kettle, pot, icel. hverr.
 lat. quatuor.
 goth. fimf.
idg. *qid: skr. cid, -cunque, gr. $\tau$, lat. quid.
idg. *léűketai: skr. rócate, shines, pleases, cf. gr. $\lambda$ euxós, lat. lūcet, goth. liuhap.
idg. *द̂kuki-s: skr. çúci-s, light, clear, pure, cf. çukráa-s, bright, light, clear.
idg. *liééuetai: skr. cyávate, moves, falls, cf. gr. $\sigma \varepsilon \dot{\cup} \omega$.
§ 55. Idg. qh, kh. Certain examples of idg. qh, kh are very rare:
idg. "sqhaló: skr. sthâtāmi, I stumble, cf. gr. $\sigma \not \subset \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \omega$

idg. *̂̂onikho-s: skr. çavikhá-s, shell, gr. xóy хọ, cf. lat. congius.

Instances of skr. $k h$, iran. $\chi$ :
skr. khára-, ass, avest. xara-.
skr. $\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{s}$, well, avest. $\chi a n-, \chi \bar{a} \rho$.
skr. sálhā, friend, avest. haxa.
In skr. kumbhá-, pot, the initial $k$ was originally aspirated, cf. avest. $\chi u m b a$-. The loss of the $h$ was caused by dissimilation.

Concerning the combination $c c h(c h)$ it is not yet certain, whether it should be explained from idg. skh before palatal vowels or from idg. sîh. In the former case gácchāmi, icchámi, prcchámi would have cch by analogy, because idg. *gmskhó, *isskhō, *ppôkskh'̄́o would have given *gaskhāmi, *iṣkhāmi, *prṣ̂chāmi; the cch of gácchatà, iccháti, prccháti regularly would represent the idg. skh before idg. e: idg. * dmskkheti, *isskhéti, *prôêsthéti. In the latter case gácchāmi, icchámi, prcchámi also would be organic forms. Cf. on this question Zubatý, Kuhn's Zeitschr. 31, 9 sqq. and Bartholomae, Studien zur idg. sprachgeschichte 2, 3 sqq. Instances:
skr. chinádmi, I cut off, cf. avest. sid- (s from ski), gr. $\sigma \chi \zeta \zeta \omega$, lat. scindō, lith. skëdžiu (lith. idg. sc).
skr. chāyáa, shade, shadow, cf. pers. sāya (s from $s \hat{k}$ ), gr.

skr. iccháti, wishes, cf. avest. isaiti (s from sk), lith. jëszkoti, oslav. iskati (lith. szk, slav. sk, idg. sk), ohg. eiscon.
skr. gácchati, goes, cf. avest. jasaiti (s from skik), gr. ßג́бxw.
skr. prccháti, asks, cf. avest. pĕrĕsaiti (\& from sk̂), lat. poscō, ohg. forscōn.
§ 56. Idg. $g$, g. In Aryan the idg. $g$ and $g$ have fallen together. Before palatal vowels and the semivowel $\underset{i}{i}$ they were palatalized, in all other cases they are represented by $g$.

Instances of idg. $g, g$, skr. $g$ :
idg. * gotur-s: skr. gáu-ṣ, cow, gr. ßoũc \&c.
idg. *garû-s: skr. gurú-s, heavy, cf. gr. $\beta \alpha \rho v^{\prime} s$, goth. kaúrus (and lat. gravis).
idg. *éggāt: skr. ágāt, went, gr. ${ }^{\text {E }} \beta$ r.
idg. * agntó-s: skr. gató-s, gone, gr. $\beta \alpha \tau o ́ c$, lat. -ventus.
idg. *jugó-m: skr. yugá-m, yoke, age, gr. 乡uróv, lat. jugum, goth. juk.
idg. *ugró-s: skr. ugrá-s, mighty, terrible, cf. lat. augeō, goth. aukan.

Instances of idg. $g, g$, skr. $j$ :
idg. * géretain: ved. járate, crackles, invokes, cf. ohg. quirit.
idg. *auges-: skr. ójas-, strength, power, cf. ugrá-s, mighty, terrible, lat. augeo, goth. aukan.
§ 5\%. Idg. gh, gh. In Aryan the idg. $g h$ and $g h$ have fallen together. Before palatal vowels and the semivowel $i$ they became $j h$, in all other cases they are represented by $g h$. In Indian $j h$ became $h$.

Instances of idg. $g h, g h$, skr. $g h$ :
idg. *ghono-s: skr. ghaná-s, slayer (ved.), compact \&c., gr. Фóvos, cf. ohg. gundea, ags. gúä.
idg. *dəlgh $\delta-s:$ skr. dīrghá-s, long, cf. gr. סonsós.
idg. *stighnutai: skr. stighnuté, ascends, cf. gr. $\sigma \tau \varepsilon i \chi \omega$, goth. steiga.

Instances of idg. $g h, g h$, skr. $h$ :
idg. * ghénmi: skr. hánmi, I slay, cf. gr. $\theta_{\text {l }} / \mathrm{va}$.
idg. *ghéros: ved. háras, heat, gr. Aépos.
idg. *dhrughes: ved. drúhas, harming spirits, cf. skr. drúhyāmi, I harm, avest. druj-, ohg. triogan.

When followed by an aspirate, $g h(j h)$ lost its aspiration:
idg. *ghrdhiō: skr. gŕdhyāmi, I am eager, cf. goth. grēdus, grēdags.
idg. *ghe ghofna: skr. jaghána, I have slain: hánmi, I slay, gr. $\theta \varepsilon l v \omega$.

Idg. $g h+t$ and $g h+t$ are represented by $g d h:$ see § 49.
Palatal explosives.
§ 58. General remarks. Besides the velars and middle gutturals there was a third series of guttural explosives, formed at the foremost part of the hard palate. These foremost gutturals are called palatals. In Aryan, Armenian, PhrygianThracian, Albanian and Balto-Slavonic the palatal explosives were changed to palatal spirants: in the same dialect-groups the velars and middle gutturals fell together into one series of guttural explosives. In Greek, Italic, Germanic and Celtic, where the velars were labialized, the palatals fell together with the middle gutturals. According to the manner, in which the gutturals were treated, the Indogermanic languages may he divided into two groups, a western, where the difference between palatals and middle gutturals has been effaced, and an eastern, where the velars have fallen together with the middle gutturals, but where the palatal explosives have become
spirants. Von Bradke has called the western group that of the centum-languages, the eastern group that of the satěmlanguages. It may be supposed, that the centum-languages descend from an other dialect of the motber-tongue than the satĕm-languages. Cf. von Bradke, Ueber methode und ergebnisse der arischen alterthumswissenschaft (Giessen 1890), 63.
§ 59. Idg. $\widehat{\text { F. }}$ During the Aryan period the idg. $\hat{k}$ became $c$. This voiceless palatal spirant remained unchanged in Indian:
 goth. hund, lith. szimtas (cf. § 18).
 cf. skr. çádkhā, branch, lith. szaka (also goth. hōha?).
idg. *̂̂énsō: skr. çámsāmi, I recite, I praise, I announce, cf. lat. censeō.
idg. *危ūro-s: skr. çảra-s, mighty, bold, hero, gr. -xūpos in ${ }^{\alpha}$-x $x \bar{u} \rho \rho s$, not valid.
 cf. goth. hunds.
idg. ${ }^{*}$ suek̂kro-s: skr. quáçura-s, father in law, gr. éxupós, cf. lat. socer and goth. swaîhra. Oslav. svekrŭ has idg. $k$ or $q$; on the contrary lith. szeszuras regularly has $s z$ from $\hat{k}$. The feminine of *suekuro-s is *suektrùs: skr. çvaçrùs, mother in law, lat. socrus, oslav. svekry (with $k$ as svekrŭ), cf. gr. غ̇xupó (probably an analogous formation to exupós) and goth. swaíhrō.
idg. *útîho-s: skr. veça-s, house, gr. oixxos, lat. vīcus, cf. goth. weiks.
idg. *dñ $\hat{k} \delta{ }^{\circ}: ~ s k r . ~ d a ́ c ̧ a ̄ m i, ~ I ~ b i t e, ~ c f . ~ g r . ~ \delta a ́ x \nu \omega ~(a l s o ~ o h g . ~$ zangar, sharp).
idg. *ák̂ru: skr. áçru, tear, cf. açrám (of course to be written with $c$, not with $s$ ), tear, lith. aszara (with an initial $d$ gr. $\delta \alpha \dot{x} \times \rho$, lat. lacruma, goth. tagr).
idg. ${ }^{*} a \hat{k} m \bar{o}(n):$ ved. $a_{g} m \bar{a}(n)$, stone, gr. ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \approx \mu \omega \nu$, lith. pl. tantum aszmens, edge, but with $k$ or $q$ lith. akmů, oslav. kamy, stone (cf. obg. kamar, icel. hamarr, originally, „a weapon of stone" ).
idg. *dedórk̂a: skr. dadárga, I have seen, gr. סغ̇ঠopxa.
The idg. combination $\hat{k} t$ regularly became $g t$ in Aryan, that is represented in Indian by $s t$ :
idg. *ô̂tố(z) : skr. asṭtá (ved.), asṭáu, eight, gr. bxזшं, lat. octō, goth. ahtau.
idg. *dik̂ti-s: skr. distct-s, indication, prescription, destiny, ohg. -ziht in inziht, accusation, cf. lat. dictiō and with strong vocalism gr. $\delta_{e \epsilon}$ E!cs.
idg. ${ }_{\text {*uékitit }}$ : skr. vassti, is willing, cf. uçánt., willing, gr. éxúv. The first person is raçmi from *ué̂̀mi.

Brugmann 1, 299 assumes, that sk became cch (ck) in Indian: cf. however § 55 and $\S 62$.

The idg. combination $\hat{k} s$ is represented by $k s$ :
idg. *uêk̂ssi: skr. váksis , thou art willing, cf. vágmi, I am willing, gr. $\varepsilon x \omega \dot{\omega}$.
idg. *dékisino-s: skr. dáksina-s, right, southern, clever, able, oslav. desĭnŭ, lith. desziné (fem. of the $\dot{e}$-class, right hand), cf. gr. $\delta \delta \xi \xi_{10}{ }^{\prime}$, lat. dexter, goth. taîhswa.
$\S 60$. Idg. $\widehat{\mathrm{g}}$. During the Aryan period the idg. $\hat{g}$ became a voiced palatal spirant ( $\left.{ }^{( }\right)$), which was changed in Indian to an affricate ( $d z$, written $j$ ):
idg. ${ }^{*}$ génos: ved. jánas, race, family, gr. yévos, lat. genus, ef. goth. kuni: skr. janáyāmi, I beget, I produce, gr. rizvo $\alpha$, lat. gigno \&c. Avest. zan- proves, that the $j$ of janáyämi is an idg. $\hat{g}$.
idg. "gotnu: skr. jánu, knee, cf. gr. róvv, lat. genu and with loss of the root-vowel ved. $j \tilde{n} u$ - in $j \tilde{n} u-b$ ádh -, bending
the knees, gr. $\gamma v u-$ in $\gamma \nu u \pi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon i v$, goth. kniu. Avest. zanva, knees.
idg. *ĝnōtó-s: skr. jñātá-s, known, gr. ryштós, lat. nōtus:
 cnáwon, ohg. chnāan (with idg. $\bar{e}$ ) and ohg. einchnuadil, cnuodelen. That we have to do with idg. $\hat{g}$, appears from lith. žinoti, oslav. znati \&c.
idg. ${ }^{*}$ gus * $\mathfrak{e}$ éus ō, gr. $\gamma \varepsilon v^{\prime} \omega$, goth. kiusa and idg. *ĝustu-s, lat. gustus, goth. kustus. Avest. zaos̆a- (= skr. jósa-), wish.
idg. *bhərĝo-s: skr. bhürja-s, birch, cf. ohg. pirihha. The $\hat{g}$ appears from oslav. brếza, lith. beržas.
 lat. mulgē, ohg. milchu \&c. Lith. melžu, oslav. mlŭza prove, that we have $g$.

The idg. combination $\hat{g} b(h)$ regularly became in Aryan $z b(h)$, from which skr. $\downarrow b(h):$ cf. § 63. Example:
idg. *uighhis: skr. vidbhis, instrum. pl. of vic-, nom. vit, settlement, community, clan, tribe, people, cf. gr. oixos, lat. vīcus \&c.
§ 61. Idg. ghh. During the Aryan period the idg. $g h$ became zh. This aspirated spirant is in general represented by skr. $h$. The intermediate $j h$ is preserved in skr. ujjhitá-s, left, from *ud-jhita-s: jáhāmi, I leave (ujjhāmi has been formed by analogy). Instances of idg. $g h$, skr. $h$ :
idg. *ĝhéinuen-: ved. héman-, winter, gr. $\chi \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} \mu \alpha, \chi \varepsilon ı \mu \dot{\mu}$, cf. skr. himá-m, snow, gr. xióv, lat. hiems. The gh appears from lith. žëma, oslav. zima \&c.
idg. *ghanso-s: skr. hámsí-s, goose, swan, cf. gr. xýv, lat. anser, ohg. gans. Lith. zqsis proves, that we have $g h$; surprising is the $g$ of oslav. ggš̌ (perhaps an ancient loan from Germanic).
idg. *éléghmi: skr. léhmi, I lick, cf. gr. גeí $\omega \omega$, lat. lingō, goth. -laigōn. The $g h$ appears from lith. lëziu, oslav. lizq \&c. idg. *bhāgh hu-s: skr. bāhú-s, arm, gr. ォ ั̃хuc, ohg. buog. Avest. bāzu-
idg. *údéghō: skr. váhāmi, I carry, lat. vehō, goth. -wiga. Lith. vezul, oslav. vezq, \&c. prove, that we have to do with gh. Idg. $g h+t$ became skr. $\quad . h$, sometimes with modification of a preceding vowel:
idg. *léégh-ti: skx. lédhi, licks, cf. gr. $\lambda$ кíđ $\omega$.
idg. *lighh-tós: skr. l̄idhhó-s, licked: lédlhi.

 make firm.
idg. *sēgh-tó-s: skr. sādháás, overpowered \&c.: sáhāmi, I overpower, l withstand \&c., gr. है $\chi \omega$.
idg. *थégh-tum: skr. vód̉hum, to carry: váhāmi, I carry.
idg. *ségh-tum: skr. sódhum, to withstand \&c.: sáhāmi, I withstand \&c.

When followed by an aspirate, $g h$ became $j$ :
idg. * ${ }^{\text {gh }} \mathrm{h} i \mathrm{~g} h \bar{a} m i$ : skr. jáhāmi, I leave. The $i$ of the redupli-cation-syllable was replaced by $a$ before the end of the Aryan period, cf. avest. zazäiti, leaves.

## Spirants.

§ 62. Idg. s. In general the idg. $s$ remained unchanged:
idg. *septn: skr. saptá, seven, gr. غ́ $\pi \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$, lat. septem, cf. goth. sibun. Lith. septyni proves, that we have to do with $n$, not with $n$.
idg. *séno-s: ved. sána-s, old, gr. fem. ̌vи, cf. lat. senex, goth. sineigs.
 ohg. stroum.
idg. *véstain: skr. váste, clothes one's self, puts on, cf. vásana-m,
 wasjan, wasti.
idg. *dōsicio: skr. dāsyámi, gr. $\delta \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega$.
idg. * ${ }^{\text {génos, gen. *génesos: ved. jánas, gen. jánasas, race, }}$ family, gr. yغ́vos, lat. genus.
idg. *ék̂ưo-s: skr. áçva-s, horse, gr. ï $\pi \pi o s$, lat. equus, germ. *éxwoz, icel. jór, ags. eoh.

Already during the Aryan period $s$ was changed to $\delta$ after $\breve{v}, \breve{u}, i, u, r, r, q, k, \vec{k}$. In the same conditions ss became ss. In Indian the $\delta$ is lingualized and $s_{s}$ has become $k s$ (cf. however Wackernagel 1, 137). I can not agree with Hirt (Idg. forschungen 4, 44), Zubatý (Arch. f. slav. phil. 16, 404 note), Pedersen (Idg. forschungen 5, 33 sqq.) and Wackernagel 1, 231, who assume a connection between the Aryan change of $s$ to $s$ and the Slavonic transition of $s$ to $c h$ (see Arch. f. slav. phil. 16, 368 sqq.; Museum 4, 50).
idg. ${ }^{*}$ sthisthāmi: skr. tísṭhāmi, I stand, gr. ï $\sigma \tau \eta \mu l$, cf. lat. sistō.
idg. *ustó-s: skr. usțtá-s, burned, lat. ustus.
idg. *utgoisu: skr. vṛ̂kesu, loc. plur. of vṛka-s, wolf, oslav. vlŭсёсһй.
idg. *'geuster-: ved. josṭár-, jợṭar-, loving, cf. gr. үєuбтйpıov.
idg. *duéissi: skr. dvéksi (from *dváísší), thou hatest, 2 pers. of dvésmi.
idg. *suussiì : skr. çoksyâmi (from *sausşyámi), I shall dry up, gr. $\alpha \ddot{\sigma} \sigma \omega$.
idg. *dhrsnéumi, *dhérsō: skr. dhrṣ̣ómi, dhárṣāmi, I dare, cf. gr. Aapptén \&c.
idg. *Fars-: skr. çirsáa-m, çirṣán-, head, cf. çiras, head, gr.
 hjarse, ohg. hirni.
idg. *uersā : skr. varşá, rain, gr. $\varepsilon_{\rho} \rho \sigma и, \frac{\gtrless}{\varepsilon} \rho \sigma и$.
idg. *ueqsìō: skr. vaksyámi, I shall say: vácmi, I say, cf. vath, voice, lat. vōx \&c.
idg. *dheksī̄: skr. dhakş̧ámi, I shall burn: dáhāmi, I burn, lith. degu, cf. goth. dags.
idg. *ué̂̉si: skr. váksi, thou art willing, cf. váçmi, I am willing, gr. éxáv.

It is to be noticed, that skr. $s$ was turned back into $s$, when immediately followed by $r$ or $r$ :
idg. *tisres, ${ }^{*}$ tisgbhis \&c.: skr. tisrás, fem. three, instrum. tisf̣bhis, cf. avest. tišarō, irish teora.

Brugmann 1, 412 and Bartholomae (Studien zur idg. sprachgeschichte 2,5) assume, that the idg. sq, sk before palatal vowels became çc in Aryan, cf. ved. paçctat, behind, later, west, arest. pasca (originally an instrumental, ending in idg. $\vec{e}$ ). Against this opinion Meillet, Mém. de la Soc. de Ling. 9,375 sq.

It would seem, that the idg. sqh, skh before palatal vowels are represented in Indian by $c c h$ (ch), but Bartholomae (Studien zur idg. spracbgeschichte 2,3 sqq.) has tried to prove, that $c c h(c h)$ groes back on a combination of $\hat{k}$. Of. § 55 , where examples of cch are given.

The idg. ss after $a$-vowels became ts in Indian. In most cases however ss had been simplified before the end of the Indogermanic period, cf. skr. asi, thou art, avest. ahi, gr. $\varepsilon l$, idg. *ési from *és-si. Instances of idg. ss, skr. ts:
idg. *vessiō: skr. vatsyámi, I shall put on, gr. ${ }_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \sigma \sigma \omega$, fut. of idg. *ves-
idg. *uessiō: skr. vatsyấmi, I shall dwell, fut. of vásāmi, I dwell, goth. wisan.
idg. *uiduéssu: skr. vidvátsu, loc. pl. of vidvắn, knowing, wise, cf. gr. عiठف́s and goth. weitwöps.

Between explosives $s$ was lost: utthâtum, to stand up, to rise up, from *utsthátum ( $u d+$ sthátum); átāpta, you were warm, from *átāpsta (aor. of tápāmi).

In the neighbourhood of $q$ and $s$ the idg. $s$ became assimilated to $\varphi$ :
idg. *suê̂uro-s: skr. çváçura-s, father in law, gr. £́xupós, cf. with a similar assimilation lith. szeszuras.
idg. *smâ̂rru: skr. çmáçru, beard, cf. lith. smakra, chin (with idg. $k$ ), and perhaps lat. maxilla.
idg. *suskó-s: skr. çuṣká-s, dry, avest. huśka-, cf. lith. sausas, oslav. suchŭ, ohg. sör.
idg. *kasó-s: skr. çaçá-s, hare, cf. ohg. haso, ags. hara, opruss. sasnis.
§ 63. Idg. z. Osthoff (Kuhn's Zeitschr. 23, 87-89) has proved, that during the Indogermanic period $s$ before voiced consonants had become voiced. In Aryan this voiced sibilant (z) was preserved after $a$-vowels, hut changed to $\dot{z}$ after $\check{z}$, $\breve{u}, \underset{\sim}{i}, \underset{r}{u}$. In Indian the $\dot{z}$ became lingual. Afterwards it disappeared in any position, as well as the unchanged $z$.

Idg. $a z d(h), \operatorname{ezd}(h), \operatorname{azd}(h)=$ ar. $a z d(h)=\mathrm{skr} \cdot e d(h):$
idg. *sezdî̀tet: ved. sedyât, 3 pers. sing. optat. perf. of the root sad-, to sit, avest. hazdyāp.
idg. *ezdhi, *zdhi: skr. edhi, imperat. of the root as-, to be, avest. $z d \bar{i}$, cf. gr. " $\sigma \theta$ ı.

Idg. $\bar{a} z d(h), \bar{e} z d(h), \bar{o} z d(h)=$ ar. $\bar{a} z d(\hbar)=\operatorname{skr} . \bar{a} d(h):$
idg. *ēzdhuai: skr. ádhve, you sit, cf. ásste, sits, gr. ท̃ $\sigma \tau \alpha$.
idg. * $\hat{k} \bar{a} z d h i ́: ~ s k r . ~ c ̧ a \bar{a} d h i, ~ i m p e r a t . ~ o f ~ t h e ~ r o o t ~ c ̧ a ̄ s-, ~ t o ~ r u l e, ~$ to punish \&c.

idg. *nizdó-s: skr. mīdó-s, nest, lat. nīdus, ohg. nest.
 goth. tuz-.
idg. *éstauzdluuam: ved. ástollhvam, 2 pers. plur. aor. med. of stấumi, I praise.

Idg. $z b(h)$ after $a$-vowels $=\operatorname{ar} . z b(h)=\mathrm{skr} . d b(h):$
idg. *uidưezbhis: skr. vidvádbhiṣ, instrum. pl. of vidván, knowing.
idg. *mēzbhis: ved. mādbhís, instrum. pl. of más, moon, month.

Idg. $z b(k)$ after $\check{\imath}, \breve{u}, \underset{\sim}{i}, \underset{\sim}{u}=$ ar. $\dot{z} b(k)=\vec{d}(h)$ :
idg. *duizbhis: skr. dvidbhis, instrum. pl. of dviss, nom. dvit, hating.
idg. *uipruzbhis: skr. viprúdbhis, instrum. pl. of viprús., nom. viprút, crumb, spot, spark.

Idg. $z g(h)$ after $a$-vowels, not followed by a palatal vowel or $\underset{\sim}{i},=\operatorname{ar} . z g(h)=\operatorname{skr} . d g(h):$
idg. *mezgú-s: skr. madgur-s, a kind of waterfowl, cf. lat. mergus: skr. májjati, see below.

Idg. $z g$ after $a$-vowels, followed by a palatal vowel, $=$ ar. $z j(z d Z)=$ skr. $j j$ :
idg. *mézgeti: skr. májjati, sinks under, dives, lat. mergit.
Between explosives $z$ is lost in Indian: ved. ámugdhvam from *ámugzdhvam, 2 pers. pl. aor. med. of muñcáti, releases, loosens.
§ 64. Idg. j. The idg. spirant $j$ has fallen together with the semivowel $\underset{\sim}{i}$ in all Indogermanic languages except Greek: idg. *jugó-m: skr. yugá-m, yoke, gr. 乌uróv, lat. jugum, goth. juh.
idg．＊jésō：skr．yasami（the common form in literature is

idg．＊jéuo－s：skr．yáva－s，corn，barley，cf．gr．乌eıai，spelt， lith．javai，corn．
idg．＊jūs－：ved．$y$ 市s－，$y \bar{u} s a ́ n-$ ，skr．$y \bar{u} s a-s, y \bar{u} s a-m$ ，broth，lat． jūs，oslav．jucha，cf．gr．乌úßy．

Perhaps also：
idg．＊Réjétain：skr．çéte，lies，gr．xeĩ $\alpha \alpha 1$.
idg．＊tje g－：skr．tyájāmi，I leave，tyaktá－s，left，gr．$\sigma \in \mathfrak{B} \beta \circ \mu \alpha$, $\sigma \varepsilon \pi \tau \dot{\sigma}{ }^{\text {．}}$

See Brugmann 1，454．If çéte and tyájāmi originally con－ tained the semivowel $\underset{\sim}{i}$ ，a gradation $c ̧ e-: * \subset ̧ i$ ，tyaj－：＊tij－might have been expected．
§ 65．Idg．v．The mother－language seems to have possessed a spirant $v$ ，which already in Aryan had fallen together with the semivowel $u$ ．The most sertain criterion of idg．$v$ is the absence of weaker forms containing $u$（Brugmann，1，409）． Instance：
idg．＊véstaí：skr．váste，clothes one＇s self，puts on，cf．


§ 66．Idg．$\gamma$ ．Von Fierlinger（Kuhn＇s Zeitschr．27， 478 note）supposes，that there was a voiced palatal spirant $\gamma$ in the mother－language，represented by skr．$h$ ，avest．$z$ ，gr．$\gamma$ ， lat．$g$ ，germ．$k$ ．Though this theory may not claim a high degree of probability，it will be useful to mention the few cases，where skr．$h$ seems to correspond to gr．$\gamma$ \＆c．：
 and lat．gena．
idg．${ }^{*} \gamma e:$ skr．$h a$ ，enclit．particle，gr．$\gamma \varepsilon$ ，goth．$-k$ in mik， put，sik．
idg. *rosto-s, *әosto-s: skr. hásta-s, hand, trunk (of an elephant), paw \&c., gr. xंyootós (see de Saussure 53).
 goth. ik.
idg. *dhurətē(r): skr. duhitá (r), daughter, gr. बur $\dot{\alpha} \tau и \rho$, cf. goth. daúhtar.
 rúrus.
idg. *mèz-: skr. mahán (nt), great, cf. ved. máhi, nom. acc. neutr. great, adv. very, subst. greatness, gr. $\mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \alpha$ (idg. * mérə).
§ 67. Idg. $p, \not \partial$. In general skr. $k s$ corresponds to gr. $\xi$, but in some cases we find gr. $x \tau, \chi \theta$ or $\phi \theta$ instead of $\xi$. We must assume, that the skr. $s$, which is represented by gr. $\tau, \theta$, groes back on dental sounds, which were different from $s$ and from the ordinary idg. dental explosives. Perhaps these dental sounds were spirants $(p, \vec{d})$. See Brugmann 1, 409 sq. and Kretschmer, Kuhn's Zeitschr. 31, 429 sqq.

Instances of skr. ks, gr. $x \tau$, idg. $k p, \hat{k} p$ :
ved. kẹémi, I dwell, skr. ksẹtra-m, field, kisitti-s, dwelling, abode, earth, ved. pl. tribes, gr. rhod. xtolva, dwelling-place,
 that the initial guttural was $\hat{k}$.
 yeiti proves, that the original anlaut was $k$.
skr. kṣanómi, I hurt, gr. xteiva. Opers. -xśata- $=$ skr. $k s a_{a} a_{1}$.

skr. tákṣā ( $n$ ), carpenter, gr. т $\tau^{\prime} x \tau \omega \nu$, cf. lat. texere. Avest. tast-.
Instance of skr. ke, gr. $\phi \theta$, idg. $q p h$ :
skr. ksinámi (ved.), later kṣinómi, kṣáyāmi, I destroy, kṣities,

skr. $k s$, gr. $\phi \theta$ here goes back on a combination of voiceless consonants.

Instances of skr. $k s$, gr. $x^{\theta}, \phi \theta$, idg. $\hat{g} \nexists h, g \nexists \hbar \hbar:$
ved. kṣ̂́ç, dwelling-place, earth, pl. ksámas, gr. $x \omega^{0} v$, cf. gr. xaцкi, lat. humus, avest. zëm-, lith. zemé, oslav. zemlja, which prove, that skr. ks, gr. $\chi^{\theta}$ here represents an idg. combination of voiced consonants.
skr. kṣárāmi, I flow, melt away, perish, gr. ФAsíp. Avest. ržaraiti proves, that the initial group of consonants was originally voiced.

> B. The relation of the Indian conbonants to the Indogermantc.

Semivowels.
§ 68. Skr. y. In skr. $y$ have fallen together the idg. $i$ and $j$.

Skr. $y=$ idg. $i:$
skr. yórs, who, gr. ö́s.
skr. yákṛ̂t, liver, originally *yákrt, gr. ทัँ ${ }^{2} \alpha \rho$, lat. jecur.
skr. tráyas, three, gr. т $\rho \varepsilon i_{G}$, lat. trēs, goth. preis.
skr. mádhyars, middle, gr. $\mu$ śros, lat. medius, goth. midjis.
skr. jyđt, bowstring, cf. gr. ßıós.
Skr. $y=$ idg. $j$ :
skr. yugá-m, yoke, gr. گuróv, lat. jugum, goth. juk.
skr. yásāmi (yásyāmi), I seethe, I boil, gr. そ' ' $\omega$, cf. obg. jesan.
skr. yáva-s, corn, barley, cf. gr. Ceıai, lith. javai.
skr. tyájāmi, I leave, cf. gr. $\sigma \varepsilon \in \beta o \mu \alpha s, \sigma \varepsilon \mu v \delta_{s}$.
§69. Skr. v. In skr. $v$ have fallen together the idg. $\underset{\sim}{u}$ and $v$.

Skr. $v=$ idg. $u$ :
skr. vácas, word, vátl, voice, gr. घ̀ $\pi 0 \varsigma$, lat. vōx.
skr. véda, I know, gr. oifa, goth. wait.
skr. veça-s, house, gr. oixos, lat. vieus, cf. goth. weihs.
skr. náva-s, new, gr. véoc, lat. novus, cf. ved. návya-s, goth. niujis \&c.
skr. svādú-s, sweet, gr. मुठús, cf. lat. suāvis and os. swōti.

Skr. $v=$ idg. $v$ :
 vasjan.

Nasals.
§ 70. Skr. m. Skr. $m=$ idg. $m$ :
skr. mātóa, mother, gr. $\mu ท ่ \tau и \rho, ~ l a t . ~ m a ̄ t e r, ~ o h g, ~ m u o t e r . ~$
skr. mrti-s, death, lat. mors, cf. goth. maurpr.
skr. mnāta-s, mentioned, cf. gr. $\mu \iota \mu \nu \dot{\prime} \sigma x \omega$.
skr. mláyāmi, I wither, cf. gr. $\beta \lambda \bar{\alpha} \xi$.
skr. vámāmi, vámimi, I vomit, cf. gr. $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\prime} \omega$, lat. vomō.
 mirus, engl. smile.
skr. gharmá-s, heat, lat. formus, ohg. warm, cf. gr. $\begin{gathered}\text { ep } \mu o ́ g . ~\end{gathered}$ ved. jámbha-s, set of teeth, tooth, gr. $\gamma^{\prime} \mu \emptyset \circ \varsigma$, ohg. chamb.
skr. tám, acc. pron., gr. тóv, lat. is-tum, goth. pan-a.
§ 71. Skr. n. Skr. $n=$ idg. $n$ :
skr. náau-s, ship, gr. ע夫ũG, cf. lat. nāvis, icel. nó-.
 ohg. nebul.
skr. náma (n), name, lat. nōmen, cf. gr. ơvouce and goth. namō.
ved. sánars, old, gr. fem. ह゙yn, cf. lat. senex and goth. sineigs.
ved. vidmáne, to know, gr. í $\delta \mu \varepsilon \nu \alpha$.
skr. voc. tótiṣan, carpenter, gr. тє́xтov.
In some cases the skr. $n$ goes back on idg. $m$ :
ved. gántu, 3 pers. sing. imperat. of gam-, to go. Idg. mt became $n t$.
ved. dán, of the house, gr. $\delta \varepsilon \varsigma-$ in $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \pi \delta \partial ч \varsigma$, cf. ved. dáma-s, gr. Só 105 \&c. At the end of words idg. ms became $n$.
§ 72. Skr. n. Skr. $n$ often goes back on idg. $n$ : in most cases the lingualization is due to the influence of neighbouring lingual consonants. In an other group of words $n$ is the representative of idg. $\ln$.

Skr. $n=$ idg. $n$ :
skr. krsna-s, black, opruss. kirsna-.
skr. uṣná-s, hot: óṣāmi, gr. \&ขّ̃, lat. ūrō.
ved. mrnámi, I crush, gr. med, $\mu \dot{\alpha} \rho \nu \alpha \mu \alpha ı$.
skr. tṛna-m, grass, cf. goth. paúrnus.
skr. dvésāṇi, 1 pers. sing. praes. conj. (imperat.) of dvéṣmi, I hate.

In loans from Prakrit dialects, not in the neighbourhood of linguals:
skr. mani-s, jewel, os. meni, cf. lat. monile.
skr. bhanāmi, I speak, ved. bhánāmi.
Skr. $n=$ idg. $\ln$ :
skr. kina-s, callosity, lat. callus (callum).
skr. kuni-s, lame of one arm, cf. gr. xuגdo's.
skr. $\overline{a n} i-s$, the leg immediately above the knee, linch-pin, cf. gr. ต̉גévy, lat. ulna and goth. aleina.
skr. pānís, hand, cf. gr. $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu$, lat. palma, ags. folm.

In loans from Prākrit dialects $n$ may represent the idg. combination mn :
skr. ganá-s, troop, cf. gr. ${ }^{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon l \rho \omega$.
§ 73. Skr. $\dot{\text { n. }}$ Skr. $\dot{n}=$ idg. $\dot{n}:$
skr. anikd-s, lap, hook, gr. b̈rxos, lat. uncus.
skr. blangäas, wave, cf. lith. banga.
§ 74. Skr. ñ. Before palatal affricates, which represent idg. velars or middle gutturals, skr. $\vec{n}$ goes back on idg. in. Before $j$, idg. $\hat{g}$ the $\vec{n}$ is original.

Skr. $\bar{n}=$ idg. $n$ :
skr. páñca, five, gr. $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \tau \varepsilon$, lat. quinque, goth. fimf.
§ 75. Nasalization of vowels. The nasalization of vowels is a remainder of idg. nasals. In Sanskrit all nasals before original or secondary spirants were reduced to anusvāra:
skr. amisa-s, shoulder, goth. ams, cf. gr. ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \mu \mathrm{oc}$ and lat. umerus.
skr. hamisá-s, goose, swan \&c., cf. gr. x'y, ohg. gans and lat. anser.
 cf. gr. ที้ $\mathrm{y} \gamma \mathrm{xov}$, lat. nanciscor.
ved. ámhas, distress, lat. angus- in angustus, ef. gr. ${ }^{\circ} \gamma \chi^{\prime} \omega$, lat. angō and goth. aggwus.

Liquids.
§ 76. Skr. r. Skr. r regularly corresponds to idg. $r$, but in many cases it goes back on idg. $l$.

Skr. $r=$ idg. $r$ :
skr. rajygāmi, I am (get) red, affected with a strong feeling, gr. $\dot{\beta} \epsilon \xi_{\omega}$.
skr. rat-s, riches, wealth, lat. reès.
skr. rât $\left({ }^{*} r a ̄ k\right.$ from $\left.{ }^{*} r a \bar{a} k s\right)$, king, lat. rēx.
skr. bhárāämi, I bear, gr. Фદ̣̣̂a, lat. ferō, goth. baíra.
skr. pári, around, gr. $\pi$ épı, lat. per, goth. faír-
skr. smárāmi, I remember, cf. lat. memor.
skr. voc. mâtar, mother, gr. $\mu$ и̃тєค.
Skr. $r=$ idg. $l$ :
skr. riréca, I left, gr. $\lambda e ́ \lambda o!\pi \alpha$, goth. laihw.
skr. ráksāmi, I protect, cf. gr. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \omega$.
ved. rámbate, hanga down, skr. lámbate (already Çat. Br.), cf. lat. lābor.
ved. réhmi, I lick, skr. léhmi, cf. gr. $\lambda \varepsilon i \chi \omega$, lat. lingō, goth. -laigōn.

About the relation of the Vedic dialect to Sanskrit (the Brāhmana's and the epic poems included) see § 43.
§ 77. Skr. l. Skr. $l$ regularly corresponds to idg. $l$ :
skr. lámbate, hangs down, cf. lat. lābor.
skr. léhmi, I lick, cf. gr. $\lambda \varepsilon i ́ \chi \omega$ \&c.
skr. limpámi, I smear, cf. gr. $\lambda i \pi o s, \lambda i \pi \alpha \rho o ́ s ~ \& c$.
skr. lúbhyāmi, I desire, cf. lat. lubet, goth. liufs.
skr. álpars, small, cf. lith. alpnas, weak.
But we often find skr. $l=$ idg. $r$ (cf. § 42):
skr. luñāami, I pull out, I pull off \&c., cf. gr. ỏpúvoळ, lat. runcāre.
skr. lumpámi, I break, cf. lat. rumpō, ags. réofan.

## Labial explosives.

§78. Skr. p. Skr. $p$ 〒idg. $p$ :
skr. purás, in front \&c., gr. $\pi \alpha ́ p o s, ~ c f . ~ g o t h . ~ f a u ́ r a . ~$
skr. paraçú-s, axe, gr. $\pi \varepsilon \hat{\lambda} \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \cup$, cf. goth. filhan.
skr. pr̛çi-s, speckled, cf. gr. $\pi \varepsilon \rho x \nu{ }^{\prime} g$ and perhaps ohg. forhana.
skr. parut, last year, cf. gr. $\pi \varepsilon^{\prime} f \cup \sigma t, \mathrm{mhg}$. vërt.
skr. próa, forward, gr. $\pi \rho \dot{\text {, }}$, goth. fra-, cf. lat. prō(d).
skr. plávämi, I float \&c., gr. $\pi \lambda \epsilon \in \omega$, of. lat. pluit and ags. Aéotan.
ved. nápāt, descendant, lat. nepōs, ohg. nefo.
skr. sárpämi, I creep \&c., gr. ${ }^{\circ} \rho \pi \omega$, lat. serpō.
§ 79. Skr. ph. Skr. $p h=$ idg. $p h($ cf. § 46 )?
skr. phéna-s, foam, cf. oslav. pêna and ohg. feim.
skr. phalgu-s, weak, worthless, useless, cf. gr. $\emptyset \varepsilon \lambda \gamma \dot{u}$ er $\cdot$

skr. çaphá-s, hoof, cf. ohg. huof.
§ 80. Skr. b. Skr. $b=$ idg. $b$ :
skr. bála-m, strength, power, cf. oslav. bolij and lat. dēbilis.
skr. bukikāra-s, roar, bellow, cf. gr. $\beta \dot{u} x \tau y \varsigma, ~ \beta u x d ̇ \nu \eta, ~ l a t . ~$ bū̄ina.
skr. lámbate, hangs down, cf. lat. lābitur.
ved. upa-bdá-s, stamping, trampling, cf. gr. $\overline{z \pi} / \beta \delta \alpha ı$.
In the neighbourhood of a following aspirate $b$ often represents idg. $b h$ :
skr. bobdhämi, I perceive, goth. biuda, cf. gr. $\pi$ evidoual, тuvà́vouxi.
ved. budhná-s, bottom, cf. gr. $\pi v \theta \mu \dot{\eta} v, \pi \dot{v} v \delta \alpha \xi$, lat. fundus and ohg. bodam.

In younger texts we often meet with dialectic words, in which $b$ is written instead of $v$.
§ 81. Skr. bh. Skr. $b k=$ idg. $b k$ :
skr. bhárāmi, I bear, gr. Ф́spo, lat. ferō, goth. baira.
skr. bhávämi, I am, cf. gr. $\Phi \dot{\cup} \omega$, lat. fui, ohg. būan.
skr. bhrı̀̀s,s, eye-brow, cf. gr. $b \Phi_{\text {püs }}$ and ohg. brā̃oa.
 ohg. nebul.
skr. jámbhars, set of teeth, tooth, gr. yó $\mu$ ¢ó, ohg. chamb.

## Dental explosives.

§ 82. Skr. t. Skr. $t=$ idg. $t$ :
skr. tanú-s, thin, gr. tavu-, cf. lat. tenuis, ohg. dunni. skr. tudắmi, I push, I strike, cf. lat. tundō and goth. stautan. skr. tráyas, three, gr. трعĩs, lat. trēs, goth. preis.
skr. pátämi, I fly, I fall, lat. petō, cf. gr. $\pi$ ह́ $\tau 0 \mu \alpha \iota, \pi i \pi \tau \omega$. skr. Kártāmi (more common krntámi), I cut, lith. kertu. skr. pátni, lady, mistress, wife, cf. gr. тóтvic.
In the combination ts the skr. $t$ often goes back on idg. $s$ : skr. vatsyắmi, 1 shall put on, gr. ${ }^{\text {en }} \sigma \sigma \omega$.
skr. vidvátsu, loc. plur. of vidvámis-, knowing.
§ 83. Skr. th. Skr. $t h=$ idg. th (cf. § 49):
skr. véttha, thou knowest, gr. oĩ $\sigma \theta a$, cf. goth. waist.
In Sanskrit there are very few words beginning with th: these few partly have an onomatopoetic character and partly. are borrowed from other dialects.
§ 84. Skr. d. Skr. $d=$ idg. $d$ :
skr. dádàmi, I give, gr. $\delta / \delta \omega \mu t$, cf. lat. dō.
skr. dáça, ten, gr. סéxa, lat. decem, goth. taíhun.
skr. $d v a ̂ u, ~ v e d . ~ d v a ́, ~ t w o, ~ g r . ~ \delta u ́ w, ~ l a t . ~ d u o ̄, ~ c f . ~ g o t h . ~ p l . ~ t w a i . ~$
skr. ádmi, I eat, cf. gr. $\tilde{\varepsilon} \delta \omega$, lat. edō, goth. ita.
skr. chinádmi, I cut off, cf. gr. $\sigma \chi i \zeta \omega, \sigma \chi\llcorner\nu \delta a \lambda \mu \dot{\rho}$, lat. scindō.
Before $b \hbar$ the skr. $d$ sometimes goes back on idg. $z$ :
ved. mādlhtis, instr. pl. of máss, moon, month.
Skr. ed in some cases represents ar. azd (see §63):
ved, sedyăt, 3 pers. sing. optat. perf. of sad-, to sit, avest. hazdyāp.

In the neighbourhood of a following aspirate $d$ often goes back on idg. $d h$ :
skr. dádhāmi, I put, gr. тiӨnut.
§ 85. Skr. dh. Skr. $d h=$ idg. $d h:$

skr. dhūmá-s, smoke, vapor, gr. 6ū $\mu \dot{\sigma}_{s}$, lat. fūmus.
skr. dháyāmi, I suck, I drink, goth. daddja, cf. gr. बйन大al, lat. fēlàre, fēmina.
skr. mádhu, honey, gr. $\mu$ ćtu, ohg. meto.
skr. mádhya-s, middle, gr. $\mu$ éroc, lat. medius, goth. midjis.
In the combinations $b d h, d d \hbar, g d h$ the skr. $d h$ often goes back on an original $t$ :
ved. dábdhum, to burt: dabhnómi, I hurt.
ved. baddhá-s, bound: badhnámi, I bind.
skr. dágdhum, to burn: dáhāmi, I burn.
Skr. edh sometimes represents ar. azdh (see § 63):
skr. edhi, imper. of as-, to be, cf. avest. $z d \bar{\imath}$ and gr. $\imath \sigma \theta_{\imath}$.

Lingual explosives.
§ 86. Skr. t. Skr. $t$ may correspond to idg. $t$ (after $s$ and in präcritisms), to idg. $l t$ and in loans from Prakrit dialects to idg. $r$ t.

Skr. $t$ (after $s$ ) $=$ idg. $t$ :
skr. asṭáu, ved. asṭá, eight, gr. ỏx $\frac{1}{}$, lat. octō, goth. ahtau.
skr. usṭá-s, burned, lat. ustus.
Skr. $t$ (in prācritisms) $=$ idg. $t$ :
skr. ațāmi, I roam, I wander about, ved. átāmi.
skr. catā $\overline{m i}$, I hide myself, ved. cátāmi.
Skr. $t=\mathrm{idg}$. lt :
skr. pata-s, woven stuff, cloth, garment, cf. oslav. platĩno, russ. polotnó, linen.
skr. pátu-ş, sharp, cf. gr. $\pi \lambda \alpha \tau u ́ g$, saltish.
skr. sphátāmi, I burst, cf. ohg. spaltan.

Skr. $t$ (in prācritisms) $=$ idg. $r t:$
skr. bhaṭa-s, soldier: bhrtá-s, hired (cf. gr. Фépw).
skr. káta-s, mat: kartana-m, spinning (cf. gr. xúpтos, xג́p$\tau \alpha \lambda 0 \varsigma$, lat. crātēs, goth. haúrds).
skr. kațu-s, sharp: kart-, to cut (cf. lith. kartus).
The $t$ in the nom. sing. and loc. pl. of the stems ending in $\varsigma, j, s$ is to be explained by analogy:
skr. vit, clan, people, nom. of viç, cf. veça-s, house, gr. oixos, lat. vīcus and goth. weiks. The regular nominative of vig- would have been ${ }^{*}$ vik (from ${ }^{*} v i k s$, idg. ${ }^{*} u i \hat{k}-8$ ).
skr. rát , king, nom. of rāj-, cf. lat. rēx, gen. rēgis. Here also might have been expected a nominative ending in $k$, viz. ${ }^{*} r \bar{a} k$ (from ${ }^{*} r \bar{a} k \stackrel{s}{c}$, idg. $\left.{ }^{*} r e \bar{e} k-s\right)$.
skr. dvit, hating, nom. of dvis. The regular nominative would have been ${ }^{*} d v i k$ (from ${ }^{*} d v i k s$, idg. $\left.{ }^{*} d u i s-s\right)$.

In the $b h$-cases of these stems $d$ was regular: vidbhis from idg. ${ }^{*} u i \hat{g} b h i s, ~ r a ̄ d b h i s$ from idg. ${ }^{*} r \bar{e} \hat{g} b h i s, d v i d b \hbar i s$ from idg. *duizbhis, see § 60 and § 63. These cases made it possible, that a locat. pl. and a nom. sing. with $t$ were formed.
§ 87. Skr. th. Skr. $t$ th $=$ idg. $7 t h:$
skr. jathara-m, belly, womb, cf. goth. kilper.
skr. kuthāra-s, axe, lat. culter.
In präcritisms th sometimes occurs instead of th. At the beginning of words $t h$ is very rare.
§ 88. Skr. d. Skr. $d$ may represent idg. $l d, z d, z, \hat{g}$ and in prācritisms idg. $d$ and $r d$.

Skr. $d=$ idg. $l d:$
skr. jaḍa-s, cold \&c., cf. lat. gelu, gelāre, icel. kala \&c. (?).
Skr. $\underset{d}{ }=$ idg. $z d$ (after $\check{\imath}, \bar{u}, \underset{i}{i}, u$ ):
skr. nīdács, nest, lat. nīdus, ohg. nest.
Skr. $\underset{\sim}{d}=$ idg. $z$ (after $\breve{\imath}, \breve{u}, \underset{\sim}{i}, \underset{\sim}{u}$ before $b h$ ):
skr. dvidbhis, instr. pl. of dvis-, hating.
Skr. $d=$ idg. $\hat{g}$ (before $b h$ ):
skr. vidbhís, instr. pl. of viç-, clan, people.
§ 89. Skr. dh. Skr. $d h$ may represent idg. $l d h, z d h, g h+t$
and in prācritisms idg. rdh and $d h$.
Skr. $d h=$ idg. $l d h:$ no certain example.
Skr. $\quad d h=\mathrm{idg}$. $z d h$ (after $\breve{\imath}, \breve{u}, \underset{\sim}{i}, \underset{\sim}{u}$ ):
ved. ástodhvam, 2 pers. pl. aor. med. of stáumi, I praise.
Skr. $d h=$ idg. $g h+t:$
skr. lédhi, licks: léhmi, I lick.
skr. drdhá-s, firm: ḍ̣hyāmi, I make firm.
skr. vódhum, to carry, váhāmi, I carry.

## Guttural explosives.

§ 90 . Skr. k. In general skr. $k$ represents the idg. $q$ and $k$.
Skr. $k=$ idg. $q$ :
skr. Káásate, coughs, cf. ags. hwósta.
skr. katará-s, who (from two), gr. пóтspos, goth. hwapar.
skr. yákṛt, liver, cf. gr. $\hat{y}^{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho$, lat. jecur.
skr. paniktís, row of five, row, cf. gr. $\pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \tau \circ$.
Skr. $k=$ idg. $k$ :
skr. kakút (d), summit, cf. lat. cacūmen.
skr. karkías, crab, cf. gr. xapxives, lat. cancer.
ved. kravís, raw flesh, gr. epś $\alpha \varsigma$, cf. lat. cruor.
The combination ks may go back on different consonantgroups.

Skr. $k s=$ idg. $k s(q s):$
skr. dhaksyámi, I shall burn, lith. deksiu, cf. skr. dáhāmi, I burn, lith. degu (also skr. ni-d̄̄ghá-, beat, summer, opruss. dagis, summer, goth. dags \&c.).
skr. váksici, 2 pers. of vácmi, I say, cf. vácas, word, vâk, voice, gr. $\bar{\epsilon} \pi 0$, $\bar{\delta} \pi-$, lat. vōx \&c.
skr. vaksyámi, fut. of vácmi, I say.
 \&c. Lith. auksztas, high, proves, that we have to do with idg. $k s$.

Skr. ks = idg. ks:
skr. vákssi, 2 pers. of váçmi, I will, cf. gr. Exáv.
skr. ákṣa-s, axle, cf. gr. ák ${ }^{\alpha} \nu$, lat. axis, ohg. ahsa, lith. aszis, oslav. os亢̆.
skr. dákssinars, right, southern, avest. dašina-, oslav. desĭnŭ, lith. fem. desziné, cf. gr. $\delta \varepsilon \xi \leftarrow{ }^{\circ} \varsigma$, lat. dexter, goth. taihswa.

Skr. $k s=$ idg. $s s($ after $\check{\imath}, \breve{u}, \underset{i}{i}, u)$ :
skr. dvéksi, 2 pers. of dvésmi, I hate.
skr. çoksyámi, I shall dry up, gr. av́ $\sigma \omega$.
Skr. $k s=$ guttural $+\beta(\bar{d}):$ see $§ 67$.
§ 91. Skr. kh. Skr. $k h=$ idg. $k h(q h):$
skr. çanikhá-s, shell, gr. xórzos.
skr. skhátāmi, I stumble, cf. gr. $\sigma \emptyset \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ ?
§ 92. Skr. g. Skr. $g$ corresponds to idg. g, $g$.
Skr. $g=$ idg. $g$ :
skr. gátu-ṣ, bull, cow, gr. ßoũc, lat. *vōs (bōs), ohg. chuo.
skr. guriz-s, heavy, cf. gr. ßapús, goth. kaúrus (also lat. gravis).
skr. ágät, went, gr. ${ }_{\varepsilon}^{\beta} \beta n$.
Skr. $g=$ idg. $g$ :
skr. yugdo-m, yoke, age, gr. گuróv, lat. jugum, goth. juk. skr. ugrá-s, mighty, terrible, cf. lat. augeō, goth. aukan.
In the neighbourhood of a following aspirate we often find $g$ from $g h$ or $g h$ :
skr. gŕ̛dhyāmi, I am eager, cf. goth. grēdus.
§ 93. Skr. gh. Skr. gh goes back on idg. ggh or gh.
Skr. gh = idg. gh:
skr. ghaná-s, slayer (ved.), compact \&c., gr. đóvos, cf. ohg. gundea, ags. gút.

Skr. $g h=$ idg. $g h:$
skr. dīrghá-s, long, cf. gr. סonıxós.

## Palatal affricates.

§ 94. Skr. c. Skr. $c$ in general represents idg. $q, k$ before palatal rowels and $i$.

Skr. $c=$ idg. $q$ :
skr. carú-s, pot, kettle, icel. hverr.
skr. catváras, four, goth. fidwōr, cf. gr. $\tau \varepsilon \in \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$, lat. quatuor.
skr. páñca, five, gr. $\pi \xi \in v \tau \varepsilon$, lat. quinque, goth. fimf.
Skr. $c=$ idg. $k$ :
skr. cyávate, moves, falls, cf. gr. $\sigma$ \&̀́a.
skr. rócate, shines, pleases, cf. gr. $\lambda \varepsilon u x \delta_{s}$, lat. lūx , lūcet, goth. liuhap.

In cases as ucca-, high, the first $c$ is an assimilated $t$ (here originally $d$ ).
§ 95. Skr. ch. On the origin of skr. ch see § 55. Instances:
skr. chinádmi, I cut off, cf. avest. sid-, gr. $\sigma \chi 1 \zeta \omega$, lat. scindō.
skr. gácchati, goes, cf. avest. jasaiti, gr. $\beta \dot{\alpha} \sigma x \omega$.
§ 96. Skr. j. Skr. $j$ represents the idg. $g, g$, before palatal vowels and $i$, as well as the idg. $g$.

Skr. $j=$ idg. $g$ :
ved. járate, crackles, invokes, cf. ohg. quirit.
Skr. $j=$ idg. $g$ :
skr. Gjas, strength, power, cf. ugrá-s, mighty, terrible, lat. augeō and goth. aukan.

Skr. $j=$ idg. $\hat{g}$ :
ved. jánas, race, family, gr. révos, lat. genus, cf. goth. kuni.
skr. januu, knee, cf. gr. rdyv, lat. genu, goth. kniu.
skr. juṣámi, I take pleasure, I relish, cf. gr. $\gamma \in \dot{U} \omega$, goth. kiusa and lat. gustus, goth. kustus.
skr. bhūrja-s, birch, cf. ohg. pirihha.
skr. mrjámi, I rub, wipe, strip, cf. gr. $\dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \lambda \gamma \omega$, lat. mulgeō, ohg. milchu.
ln cases as ved. ujjiti-s, victory, the first $j$ is an assimilated $d$.

In the neighbourhood of a following aspirate $j$ may represent the idg. aspirates $g h, g h, g h$ :
skr. jagráha, seized: gŗhnámi.
skr. jáhāti, leaves, avest. zazāiti.
§ 97. Skr. jh. In skr. ujjhitá-s, left, from $u d+{ }^{*} j h i t a ́-s$, the skr. $j h$ goes back on idg. $\hat{g} h$ : cf. jáhāmi, I leave. Most words containing $j h$ are not clear.

## Spirants.

§ 98. Skr. ẹ. In general skr. $c$ corresponds to idg. $\hat{k}$, but in some cases it is an assimilated $s$.

Skr. $\varsigma=$ idg. $k$ :
skr. çatá-m, hundred, gr. é-xaríy, lat. centum, goth. hund.
skr. çankú-s, wedge, oslav. sqkŭ, branch.
skr. çámsämi, I praise, I recite \&c., cf. lat. censeō.
skr. veça-s, house, gr. olxos, lat. vicus, cf. goth. weihs.
skr. dáçāmi, I bite, cf. gr. $\delta \dot{\alpha} x \nu \omega$.
ved. áçmā ( $n$ ), stone, gr. $\ddot{\alpha} x \mu \omega \nu$.
skr. dadárça, I have seen, gr. Jeঠopxa.
Skr. $\varsigma=$ idg. $s$ :
skr. ģáçura-s, father in law, gr. éxupós, cf. lat. socer and goth. swaihra.
skr. çaçá-s, hare, cf. ohg. haso.
§ 99. Skr. s. Skr. \& lingualized from idg. $s$ :
skr. tisṭthāmi, I stand, gr. \%'бтиц!.
skr. usṭá-s, burned, lat. ustus.
skr. vệkesu, loc. pl. of ṿ̂k $k a-s$, wolf, cf. oslav. vlǔcéchü.
ved. jostar-, loving, cf. gr. увuбтйpıov.
skr. dvéksi, 2 pers. of dvésmi, I hate.
skr. dhrṣnómi, dhárṣămi, I dare, cf. gr. बapp $\frac{1}{\omega} \omega$ and goth. gadars.

In the combination st the skr. $s$ often represents idg. $\hat{k}$ :
skr. asṭ̂a (ved.), asțtau, eight, gr. öx ${ }^{\prime} \omega$, lat. octō, goth. ahtau.
skr. distiv-s, indication \&c., cf. ohg. inziht, lat. dictio, gr. $\delta \varepsilon \pi \xi\llcorner\varsigma$.

How the $s$ of sat, six, is to be explained, is yet uncertain: probably an initial consonant has been lost, cf. avest. $x^{s}$ s.ass.

Skr. $s=$ idg. $p, \vec{a}:$ see $\S 6 \%$.
§ 100. Skr. s. Skr. $s=$ idg. $s:$
skr. saptá, seven, gr. $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$, lat. septem, cf. goth. sibun.
ved. sána-s, old, gr. fem. ěvn, cf. lat. senex and goth. sineigs.
skr. sáhas, strength, might, violence, goth. sigis.
skr. suásā (r), sister, lat. soror, goth. swistar.
skr. srávāmi, I flow, I stream, gr. j $\ddagger \omega$, cf. ohg. stroum.
 lat. vestis, goth. wasjan.
§ 101. Skr. h. Skr. $h$ may go back on idg. $g h, g h$ before palatal vowels and $i$, further on idg. $g h$ and in dialectic words and forms on idg. $b h$ and $d h$.

Skr. $h=$ idg. $g h:$
skr. hánmi, I slay, cf. gr. $\theta$ Eivo and skr. hatyā, slaughter, ohg. gundea, ags. gúä.
ved. háras, heat, gr. aśpos.
Skr. $h=$ idg. $g h$ :
ved. drúhas, harming spirits, cf. drúhyāmi, I harm, ohg. triogan.

Skr. $h=\mathrm{idg} . \hat{g} h:$
ved. héman-, winter, gr. $\chi \varepsilon \tau ̃ \mu \alpha, \chi \not \approx \mu \omega \dot{\nu}$, cf. gr. $\chi \not \omega v$, lat. hiems \&c.
skr. hamisá-s, goose, swan \&c., cf. gr. x'ry, lat. anser, ohg. gans.

skr. léhmi, I lick, cf. gr. $\lambda \varepsilon i \chi \omega$, lat. lingō, goth. -laigōn.
Skr. $h=\mathrm{idg}$. $\quad$ b E :
skr. hárāmi, I bear, I take, cf. bhárāmi, I hear, gr. Фépo \&c.
skr. grhnámi, I seize, cf. ved. grobhạámi.
Skr. $h=$ idg. $d h$ :
Skr. - $k i$, suffix of the 2 pers. sing. imperat. act., cf. $-d h i$, gr. - $\theta 1$.
skr. -mahe, -mahi, suffixes of the 1 pers. pl. med., gr. $-\mu \varepsilon \theta a$.
In some words the skr. $h$ seems to correspond to gr. $\gamma$, goth. $k$ : see § 66 .

## PART III.

## SANDHI-SYSTEM.

§ 102. General remarks. The rules, which govern the changes of the initial and final sounds of words in the sentence and in composition, are called sandhi-rules. Of course already in the mother-language the final sounds of preceding: words and the initial sounds of following words had a mutual influence on each other, but it is impossible to reconstruct a complete tableau of this Indogermanic sandhi. Yet there are reasons to suppose, that already in the Indogermanic period the explosives and spirants were voiced or voiceless according to their position before voiced or voiceless explosives and spirants. The double forms gr. $\pi \rho o \pi i=$ skr. práti and gr. $\pi \rho o^{\prime} s=$ skr. práty may prove, that in the mother-language $i$ before sonants became a semivowel, which suggests the supposition, that this was also the case with $u$. The simultaneous existence of the Iudian dual-endings $-\bar{a} u=$ goth. -au (in ahtaus) and $-\bar{a}=$ gr. $-\omega$ allows the conclusion, that yet in a time of dialectic continuity the idg. diphthong - $\bar{o} u$ before certain consonants or groups of consonants was simplified to $-\bar{o}$. Gr. $\check{\alpha} x \mu \omega \nu$ and skr. ácmā, gr. $\pi \alpha \tau y^{\prime} \rho$ and skr. pitá stand in a similar relation to each other. By the side of roots
beginning with $s$ we often find doublets without that initial consonant (skr. sthágāmi: lat. tegō, goth. stautan: skr. tudámi) and hence we may conclude, that before the end of the Indogermanic period the initial $s$ was lost, when preceded by a word ending in $s$. About these and other phenomena, which are explained by Indogermanic sandhi, see Brugmann 1, 490 sqq.

The Indian sandhi has gradually developed itself from that of the mother-language, because of which only a historical treatment of the sandhi-rules may claim a scientific value, but the uncertainty, which till now prevails in the history of the initial and final sounds, makes it preferable to arrange them systematically.
§ 103. Final and initial vowels. It is a rule in composition as well as in the sentence, that $-\breve{a}+\breve{a}$ - are contracted to $\bar{a},-\breve{\imath}+\bar{\imath}-$ to $\bar{\imath},-\breve{u}+\bar{u}-$ to $\bar{u}$. An $a$-vowel ( $(\bar{a})$ combines with an $i$-vowel ( $(\underset{z}{ }$-) to $e$, with an $u$-vowel ( $u$-) to $o$, with $r$ - to ar, with $e$ - and $\bar{a} i$ - to $\bar{a} i$, with $o-$ and $\bar{a} u$ - to $\bar{a} u$, but $-\bar{\nu}$, $-\breve{u}$ and $-r$ before a dissimilar vowel or diphthong are changed each to its corresponding consonant ( $y, v, r$ ). The original, but contracted diphthongs $-e$ and -o remain unchanged before $a$-, which however disappears; before all other vowels they become $a$ (we seldom find $-a y$ and $-a y$, which of course are the regular representatives of the antevocalic ar. $-a i$ and $-a u$ : see §7). The diphthongs $-\bar{\alpha} i$ and $-\bar{\alpha} u$ before all vowels may be retained unchanged (if so, they are written $-\bar{a} y,-\bar{a} v$ ), but it is also permitted to change them to $-\bar{u}$.

About some liberty in Sanskrit as to the treatment of final and initial vowels see Whitney § $127 a, \S 129 c, \S 133 a$, § $134 c$ and cf. Kern, Taal en letteren 6, 332. The peculiarities of the Vedic vowelsandhi are noticed in Whitney's grammar, to which it will suffice to refer.

Contraction of similar vowels:
$n a+$ asti $=n \bar{a} s t i$, not is.
tatra $+\bar{a} y \bar{a} t a h=$ taträy $\bar{a} t a h$, there arrived.
gatvā + abravīt $=$ gatvābravit, having gone he (she) said.
$r \bar{a} j \bar{a}+\bar{a} d a d \bar{a} t=r \bar{a} j \bar{a} d a d \bar{a} t$, the king took.
asti $+i h a=$ astiha, is here.
$a d h i+i g v a r a h=a d h i g{ }^{2}=a r a h$, over-lord.
devī + iyam $=$ devīyam, this goddess.

$s u+u k t a m=s \bar{u} k t a m$, hymn.
$b \bar{u} h u-+\bar{u} r u-=b \bar{a} h \bar{u} r u-$, arms and thighs.
Combination of $\breve{a}$ with dissimilar vowels:
tisṭha $+i h a=$ tisṭtheha, remain here.
ratha- $+\bar{i} s ̣ \bar{a}=$ rathes $\bar{a}$, shaft of a chariot.
$b h \bar{a} r y \bar{a}+i v a=b \hbar \bar{a} r y e v a$, as a wife.
$k \bar{a}+\bar{i} d r c ̧ \bar{\imath}=k e d r c ̧ \bar{\imath}$, who (fem.) is such a one.
huta- + ucchisstam $=$ hutocchistam, remains of a sacrifice.
vrka- + udara- = vrkodara-, who has a wolf's belly (epithet of Bhīma).
sahasā $+u t t h a ̄ y a=s a h a s o t t h a ̄ y a$, hastily arisen.
rambhā- $+\bar{u} r u-=$ rambhoru- who has thighs as a banana.
sapta + rsayah $=$ saptarsayah, the seven sages, the seven stars of the Great Bear.
mahā- + rssabhah = maharsabhah, a great (large) bull.
$e k a-+e k a h=e k a ̄ i k a h$, each.
$m \bar{a}+e v a m=m \bar{a} i v a m$, not so (prohibitively).
$j a b a-+o k a s-=j a l \bar{a} u k a s-$, whose dwelling-place is the water, aquatic animal, leech.


mahā- + āiçvaryam $=$ mahāiçvaryam, great dominion.
rüpa $+\bar{a} u d \bar{a} r y a-=r \bar{u} p \bar{u} u d \bar{a} r y a-$, beauty and generosity. yath $\bar{a}+\bar{a} u c i t y a m=$ yathāucityam, properly (according to custom).

Change of $\breve{\Sigma}, \breve{u}, r$ to $y, v, r$ before dissimilar vowels:
$i t i+u k t v \bar{a}=i t y u k t v a \bar{a}$, having spoken thus.
$k u t t a n \bar{\imath}+\bar{a} h a=k u t t a n y \bar{a} h a$, the procuress said.
madhu $+i v a=$ madhviva, as honey.
pitr- + artham $=$ pitrartham, for the father's sake.
Treatment of $e$ and $o$ before vowels:
tathägate + api $=$ tathāgate'pi, even under such circumstances, nevertheless.
$b \hbar \bar{a} n o+a t r a=b h \bar{a} n o ' t r a$, sun (vocat.), here.
$t e+\bar{a} g a t \bar{a} h=t a \bar{a} g a t \bar{a} h$, they have arrived. nagare $+i \hbar a=$ nagara iha, here in the town.
$\operatorname{sun} n o+e h i=s \bar{u} n a(v) e h i$, son (vocat.), come.
Treatment of $\bar{a} i, \bar{a} u$ before vowels:
tasmāa $i+a d a d \bar{a} t=\operatorname{tasma}(y)$ adadāt, he (she) gave him. $t \bar{a} u+\bar{u} c a t u h h=t \bar{a}(v) \bar{u} c a t u h$, those two said.
Certain final vowels maintain themselves unchanged before any following vowel (Whitney § 138):
$1^{\circ}$ the vowels $i, \bar{u}$ and $e$ as dual endings, hoth in nominal and in verbal forms;
$2^{\circ}$ the pronoun $a m \bar{i}$ (nom. pl.), those;
$3^{\circ}$ the vowels of interjections as aho, he \&c.
Some other exceptions to the vowel-sandhi are of less importance.
§ 104. Simplification of consonant-groups. The final $s(s)$ and $t$ disappeared, when preceded by one or more consonants. If there remained after the dropping of $s(\S)$ or $t$ an other combination than $r+$ explosive, even that was simplified. About probable traces of postconsonantic $t$ and $s$ see $\S 105$.

Dropping of postconsonantic $s(s)$ :
$v \bar{a} k$ from ${ }^{*} v a \bar{a} k-s$, , voice, cf. avest. vāx ${ }^{\xi}$, lat. vōx.
adhok from *adhokss, 2 pers. sing. impf. of dohmi, 1 milk. pāt from ${ }^{*} p a \bar{t}-s$, foot, cf. gr. dor. $\pi \omega \dot{s}$.
açāt from *açāts, older ${ }^{*}{ }_{c}{ }_{c} \bar{a} s-s, 2$ pers. sing. impf. of $c ̧ a \bar{a} m i$, I rule \&̌c.: see § 62 . The form açāt as 2 pers. does not seem to occur in literature, but is warranted by its ts from ss. The 3 pers. is also açāt, but here the $t$ is not regular: *açass-t would have given *açās. It is not surprising, that the organic paradigm açăsam, açāt, açās has been changed by analogy to açāsam, açās, açāt.
ajāis (ajāih, see § 109) from *ajaist!, 3 pers. sing. aor. of jayāmi, I conquer.
ahan from *ahan-s, 2 pers. sing. impf. of hanmi, I slay. vrkān, agnīn, paraçūn from *vpkăns, *agnŭns, *paraç̆ns, acc. pl. of $v r k a-s$, wolf, agni-s, fire, paraçus, axe, cf. goth. dagans, anstins, sununs, gr. cret. גúxovg, tpive, viúvs \&e.
akar from *akar-s, 2 pers. sing. aor. of karomi, I make.
Dropping of postconsonantic $t$ :
adhok from *adhok-t, 3 pers. sing. impf. of dohmi, I milk. ahan from *ahan-t, 3 pers. sing. impf. of hanmi, I slay.
akar from *akar-t, 3 pers. sing. aor. of karomi, I make.
Dropping of two or more consonants:
präni from *pränk-s, eastern.
bharan from *bharants, bearing, cf. goth. bairands.
achän from *achānts-t, 3 pers. sing. aor. ( 1 pers. achāntsam) of chand-, to appear, to please.
Examples of $r+$ explosive remaining unchanged after the loss of a final $s(s)$ :
$\bar{u} r k$ from ${ }^{*} \bar{u} r k-\varepsilon \varepsilon$, strength, vigor.
suhärt from *sulärts, having a good heart.

In cases as vit, dvit \&c. the $t$ is not organic: see $\S 86$.
§ 105. Final nasals. In general it is a rule, that final nasals, as to the place of their articulation, are assimilated to a following consonant, but before labials and gutturals $-n$ is not modified.

The $-n,-n$ (from $-n$ ), $-n$ (from $-n$ ) before a following $t$ ( $t h-$ ), $c$ - ( $c h-$ ), $t$ ( $t h-$ ) usually insert a sibilant: its mode of articulation depends on the following initial consonant. Before the inserted sibilant the nasal becomes anusvāra. The origin of this rule is probably due to the circumstance, that the idg. -ns was simplified to $-n$ (see § 104), except before those voiceless dentals, palatal affricates and linguals.

The combination of $-n+\xi^{-}$is $-\vec{n} c_{-}$as well as $-\vec{n} c h$. In the same way $-n+s$ - and $-n+s$ - are often combined to $-n t s$-, -nt $s$-.

The $-n$ before $l$ is assimilated to $-l$, after nasalizing the preceding vowel. The labial nasal $-m$ before $y-v-, r-, l, h-$ and sibilants becomes anusvāra.

All nasals except $-m$, when preceded by a short vowel, are doubled before any initial vowel.

Partial assimilation of nasals to initial consonants:
tam + daridram $=$ tan daridram, that poor man (acc.).
apaçyam + dākinim $=$ apaçyan dāaininin, I saw a witch.
imam + kumāram $=$ imañ kumāram, this boy (acc.)
pānim $+j a g r a \bar{a} h a=p \bar{a} n i \bar{n}$ jagrāha, I (he) seized the hand.
paksin + dayase $=$ paksin dayase, bird (voc.), thou art flying.
$t \bar{a} n+j a n \bar{a} n=t \bar{a} \bar{n}$ janān, those people (acc.).
Examples of $-n$ before voiceless dentals, palatal affricates and linguals:


In many cases this $s(f, s)$ has an etymological value: tan goes back on idg. *tons (gr. cret. tovg, goth. pans) and so tāins tarün regularly represents an original *tons teruns.

Examples of $n$ before sibilants:
$t \bar{a} n+c ̧ a t r \bar{u} n=t \bar{a} \tilde{n}$ çatrūn or $\operatorname{ta} \bar{n}$ chatrūn, those enemies (acc.). mahān $+\operatorname{san}=$ mahān san or mahānt san, heing great. $t \bar{a} n+s a t=t \bar{a} n$ ssat or tānt sat, those six (acc.)
Example of $-n+l$ :
$t \bar{a} n+l o k \bar{a} n=t \bar{a} \dot{m} l l l o k \bar{a} n$, those worlds (acc.).
Examples of $-m$ before semivowels, liquids, $h$ - and sibilants: $t \bar{a} m+y \bar{a} t r a \bar{a} m=t \bar{a} \dot{m}$ yātrām, that festive train (acc.).
gahanam + vanam $=$ gahanaì vanam, a thick forest.
$b a h u m \bar{u} l y a m+$ ratnam $=$ bahumūlyaim ratnam, a precious jewel.
tam + lagudam $=$ tam lagudam, that cudgel (acc.).
vrkam + hanmi $=$ vrkam hanmi, I slay a wolf.
jalam + sravati $=$ jalam sravati, the water flows.
$v \bar{\imath} r \bar{a} n \bar{a} m+c^{\bar{a}} u r y a m=$ vīrānā̀m çāuryam, the valor of heroes.
çonitam + sthîvati $=$ çonitam sțthīvati, he (she) spits blood.
Gemination of nasals after a short vowel:
gacchan + apatat $=$ gacchann apatat, going, he fell.

But:
annam + icchati $=$ annam icchati, he (she) desires food.
§ 106. Voiced and voiceless explosives. In general Sanskrit has maintained the Indogermanic rules. Voiced explosives become voiceless before voiceless consonants and in pausa; voiceless explosives become voiced hefore voiced consonants and (a specific Sanskrit phenomenon) before vowels. The change of tenues to mediae before vowels is to be explained by analog'y: see Brugmann 1, 494.

Change of mediae to tenues before voiceless consonants and in pausa:
tad + phalam $=$ tat phalam, that fruit.
tasmāa + samudrād $=$ tasmāt samudrāt, from that ocean.
Change of tenues to mediae before voiced consonants and vowels:

avasat + vārānasyām $=$ avasad vārānasyām, he (she) dwelt in Benares.
akrināt + annam $=$ akrinād annam, he (she) bought food.
§ $10 \%$. Explosives before nasals. Final explosives, when followed by an initial nasal, are converted to their homorganic nasals. Only the assimilation of $-d$ to $-n$ is in harmony with the general phonetic rules of Sanskrit (see § $\$ 0$ ).

Examples:
$v \bar{a} k+$ mama $=v \bar{a} n$ mama, my voice.
$v i d-+m \bar{u} t r a-\quad$ vinmūtra-, faeces and urine (the $n$ is to be explained by assuming, that from the bh- cases of vis- a stem vid- was abstracted, which afterwards was composed with mütra-. The nom. vit is a similar analogous formation).
tad + nagaram $=$ tan nagaram, that town.
§ 108. Assimilation of dental explosives to palatal affricates, to c , to linguals and to 1 . The dental explosives are totally assimilated to the initial consonant of the following: word, if this consonant is a nasal (see § 107), a palatal affricate, a lingual or a $l$. With an initial $\rho$ - the dental explosives are combined to cch.

Examples:
$t a d+c a=t a c c a$, and that.
bhavat- $+j \bar{a} m a \bar{a} t \bar{a}=b h a v a j j a ̄ m a \bar{a} t a$, your son in law.
atādayat + dindimain $=$ atãdayad dindimam, he beat the drum.
tad + loham $=$ tal loham, that iron.
tad + crnomi $=$ tac chrnomi, I hear that.
§ 109. Treatment of -s and -s. Only after the Aryan a and $\bar{a}$ the $-s$ was preserved unchanged, after $\check{c}, \breve{u}$ and diphthongs it had become $-\xi$ before the end of the Aryan period. In Indian this $-s$ was lingualized ( $-s$ ). About $-s(-s)$ after consonants see § 104. The sandhi-rules concerning $-s$ and $-s$ may be formulated as follows:

Before $t$ - ( $t h$-) the original -s remains unchanged, but $-s$ is converted to $-s$; before $t$ - ( $t h-$ ) the $-s$ remains unchanged, but $-s$ becomes $-s$; before $c$ - (ch-) the dental and the lingual sibilants are both palatalized, i. e. converted to $-c$. As to the conversion of $-s$ to $-s$ before dentals ( $t-$, th-) it is to be remarked, that in the Veda often occur cases as agnis țvā, çúcis ṭ̂ám, in which the assimilation has followed an opposite direction: here the final $-s$ has assimilated the initial dental (agnis, fire, $+t v \vec{a}$, thee; çúciṣ, clear, pure, + tvám, thou), which is in harmony with the treatment of $s+t$ in internal combination (see § 49). Before $k(k h), p(p h)$ and in pausa $-s$ and -s become visarga: yet in the Veda cases as vástos pátin, áyus kṛotu are not rare (vâstos, gen. of vấstur, house, + pátih, lord: áyus, long life, + krnotu, may he make). Before sibilants $-s$ and $-s$ are either assimilated or converted to visarga. Before voiced consonants, except $r$-, and before vowels $-s$ becomes $-r$, but before $r$ - the $-s$ disappears after lengthening a preceding $i$ or $u$. Before voiced consonants and before $a$ - the very common ending -as becomes -o, but before other vowels it becomes $-a$; in $-\bar{\alpha} s$ the $-s$ is dropped before voiced consonants as well as before vowels without leaving any trace. After the -o, wbich goes back on -as, an initial $a$ - disappears. On the probable course of development of this system see Brugmann 1, 495.

The unchanged $-s$ before $t$-:
nrpas + tusyati $=$ nrpas tusyati, the king is satisfied (pleased). namas tasmā$i=$ namas tasmāi, reverence to him.
Dentalization of $-s$ before $t$-:
agnis + tisṭhati $=$ agnis tisṭhati, a fire stands.
gurus + tādayati $=$ gurus tādayati, the teacher beats.
The unchanged $-s$ before $t$-:
paraçus + țanikaç $c a=$ paraçus tanikaç $c a$, hatchet and pickaxe.
Lingualization of $-s$ before $t$-:
tittibhas $+t i t t+i b h \bar{\imath} c a=t i t t i b h a s$ tittitibhi ca, male and female of Parra jacana.

Palatalization of $-s$ and $-s$ before $c$ - (ch-):
kumbhilas + corayati $=$ kumbhilaç corayati, the thief steals.
${ }_{\text {çatrus }}+$ chinatti $=$ çatruc $^{\text {chinatti, the fe cuts off. }}$
Change of -8 and $-s$ to visarga before $k-, k h-p-, p h-$ :
amātyas + kupyati $=$ amätyah kupyati, the minister is angry.
tarus + kampate $=$ taruh kampate, the tree is trembling, being shaken.

paçus + khidyate $=$ paçuh lehidyate, the animal is being teased.
khecarās + patanti $=k h e c a r a ̄ h$ patanti, the birds fly. mrtyos $+p \bar{a} c ̧ a s=m r t y o h \quad p \bar{a} c ̧ a h$, the bond of death. apaças + phalāni $=$ apaçyah phalāni, thou sawest fruits. Change of $-s$ and $-s$ to visarga in pausa:
yudhyante $+k$ siatriyās $=y u d h y a n t e ~ k s a t r i y \bar{a} h$, the noblemen fight.
raksati + nrpas $=$ raksati nrpah, the king protects.
 of the C $\bar{u} d r a$ 's.
tarati $+n \bar{a} u s=$ tarati nāuh, the ship crosses.

Treatment of $-s$ and $-s$ before sibilants:
nrpas + sĩdati $=n r p a h$ sìdati or nrpas sidati, the king sits. cakssus + sphurati $=$ cakssuh sphurati or cakssus sphurati, the eye twitches.
$b \bar{a} l a s+c ̧ e t e=b \bar{a} l a h$ çete or bālaç çete, the boy is lying (on a couch \&c.).
khadyotās + sat!padāç ca = khadyotāh satpadāç ca or khadyotās satpadāc ca, fire-flies and bees.

Change of $-s$ to $-r$ before voiced consonants:
agnis + dahati $=$ agnir dahati, the fire burns.
sādhus + yacchati $=$ sādhur yacchati, the good man gives.
$n \bar{a} u s+b a d h y a t e=n \bar{a} u r$ badhyate, the ship is being bound.
Change of $-s$ to $-r$ before vowels:
$r s i s+u v \bar{a} c a=r s s i r ~ u v \bar{a} c a$, the sage said.
vişnus $+i v a=v i s!n u r$ iva, as Vishnu.
gires + upatyak $\bar{a}=$ girer upatyak $\bar{a}$, the land lying at the foot of the mountain.
gāus + iyam $=$ gãur $i y a m$, this cow.
Change of $-i s$ and $-u s$ to $-\bar{\imath}$ and $-\bar{u}$ before $r$ :
dāacarathiṣ + rāmas $=d \bar{a} c ̧ a r a t h \bar{i} ~ r a \bar{a} m a h, ~ R a ̄ m a, ~ t h e ~ s o n ~ o f ~$ Daçaratha.
çiçus + roditi $=c ̧ i c \bar{u}$ roditi, the child weeps.
Change of -as to -o before voiced consonants:
açvas + dhāvati $=$ aq̧o $d \bar{a} \bar{a} v a t i$, the horse runs.
mrgas + mriyate $=$ mrgo mriyate , the antilope dies.
Change of -as to oo before $a$-:
tustas + aham $=$ tusto ${ }^{\circ}$ ham, I am satisfied (pleased).
yas + anrtain vadati $=y o^{3} n$ rtam vadati, who speaks untruth.
Change of $-a s$ to $-a$ betore other vowels than $a$ :
$k \bar{a} u l i k a s+\bar{a} h a=k \bar{a} u l i k a \quad \bar{a} h a$, the weaver said.
lomaças $+u v \bar{a} c a=$ lomaça uvāca, Lomaça said.

Change of $-\bar{\alpha} s$ to $-\bar{\alpha}$ before voiced consonants and before vowels:
vihagās + dayante $=$ vihaga dayante, the birds fly.
narās + vadanti $=$ narā vadanti, the men say.
$b \bar{a} l \bar{a} s+$ annam prcchanti $=b \bar{a} l \bar{a}$ annam prcchanti, the children ask food.

In particular we must notice the treatment of the pronouns sa, that, and esa, this. Though originally they do not end in $-s$, yet their pausa-form is $\operatorname{sah}$, esah and before $a$ - they appear as so, eso, after the -0 of which forms the initial $a$ disappears.

Examples:
$s a+$ dadarça $=$ sa dadarça, he saw.
$s a+p u m a \bar{a} n=s a$ pumān, that man.
$s a+$ avadat $=s^{\circ} v a d a t$, he said.
mūrkhas $+s a=m \bar{u} r k h a h s a h$, he is a fool.
The exclamation bhos before vowels and before voiced consonants appears as bho (instead of *bhor): the cause of this irregularity is the origin of the word, which is a contracted form of bhavas, voc. of bhavant-, your honor (pron. pers. used in reverent allocution).
§ 110. Treatment of -r. The sandhi-rules concerning -r are but partly the organic result of phonetic processes: much in the sandhi of $r$ is due to analogy. I shall simply give an account of the rules without trying to trace them to their origin.

Before $c$ - (ch-) the $-r$ is turned into $-\xi$; before $t-(t h-)$ into $-s$; before $t-(t h)$ into $-s$. Before $k(k h), p(p h)$, before sibilants and in pausa the $-r$ hecomes visarga. Before an initial $r$ - the final $-r$ disappears after lengthening a preceding short vowel. In any other case $-r$ remains unchanged.

Substitution of sibilants for $r$ before $c-(c h-), t-, t$ : punar + cacāra $=$ punag cacāra, again he wandered.
 the shadow.
pitur + tanikas $=$ pitus tañkah, the father's pickase. $\bar{u} c u r+t e=\bar{u} c u s t e$, they said.
Change of $-r$ to visarga before $k(k h), p(p h)$, sibilants and in pausa (only in pausa the change seems to have been organic, see Brugmann 1, 494):
punar + karoti $=$ punal karoti, again he (she) does.
dadur $+{ }^{2} h a \bar{a} n i=$ dadulı phalāni, they have given fruits. $b a b h \bar{u} v u r+$ samāje $=b a b h u ̈ v u h$ samāje, they were at the assembly.
vanam + vicerur $=$ vanami viceruh, they wandered through the forest.

Change of $-u r,-a r$ to $-\bar{u},-\bar{a}$ before $r$ :
$\bar{u} c u r+r a \overline{m a m}=\bar{u} c \bar{u} \bar{u}$ āmam, they said to Rāma.
punar + raksati $=$ punāa raksati, again he protects.
The unchanged $-r$ before voiced consonants and before vowels:
pitar + dehi $=$ pitar dehi, father (voc.), give.
punar + jagāma $=$ punar jagāma, again he went.
punar $+\bar{a} h a=$ punar $\bar{a} h a$, again he said.
dadur + annam $=$ dadur annam, they gave food.
§ 111. Changes of initial consonants. In § 108 we saw, that $\varphi$ - with a preceding dental combines to cch. An initial ch- becomes cch-, when preceded by a short vowel, the preposition (prefix) $\bar{a}$ or the prohibitive adverb $m \bar{a}$. Finally we mention the rule, that au initial $h$ - is changed to the aspirate of a preceding media, whether this media is original or a softened tenuis (see § 106).

Change of ch- to cch-:
$\bar{a} h a r a+$ shattram $=\bar{a} h a r a$ cchattram, bring the parasol. $\bar{a}+c h \bar{a} d i t a s=\bar{a} c c h \bar{a} d i t a h$, covered.
Change of $h$ - to the aspirate of a preceding media: prthak + haranti $=$ prthag gharanti, they take separately. $t a d+h a s t a s=t a d d h a s t a h$, his hand.

## PARTIV.

## ACCENT.

§ 112. The accent of the Indogermanic period. Verner's law (Kuhn's Zeitschr. 23, 97 sqq.) has been an evident proof of the fact, that the Indian stress, as it is handed down to us in some Vedic books and by ancient Indian grammarians, generally fell on the same syllables as in the Indogermanic mother-language. In Indian, Balto-Slavonic and Ur-Germanic the so-called free accent prevailed, i. e. that neither by the number of syllables nor by the quantity of any syllable the stress was bound to a certain place. Therefore we must assume, that the accent of the mother-language was also a free one. Concerning the accent of the sentence we may learn from the comparison of the Indogermanic languages, that already in a period of dialectic continuity some conjunctions, the interrogative pronouns, when used as indefinites, the personal pronouns, when not used emphatically or antithetically, in many cases also the vocatives and the verbum finitum in an independent clause were deprived of their stress by the preceding word.

Long before the separation of the Indogermanic dialects
all vowels of so-called toneless syllables were weakened: Strachan (Bezz. Beitr. 14, 173 sqq.) and Kretschmer (Kubn's Zeitschr. 31, 325 sqq.) have shown, that this rule is not to be restricted to pretonic vowels. Many scholars therefore assume, that the Indogermanic accent of an early period must have been a strong expiratorical one (but cf. Finck, Ueber das verhältnis des baltisch-slavischen nominalaccents zum urindogermanischen, Marburg 1895, 29 sqq.) and that it became less expiratorical or even musical towards the end of the proethnic period.

Now there is no longer any doubt, but that the motherlanguage had two accents of different quality, one of which is represented by the Sanskrit udātta and the Greek $\dot{b} \xi \pi \alpha$, while the other has left its traces in the dissyllabic value of some long vowels in the Vedic dialect, the Greek $\pi \varepsilon \rho 1 \sigma \pi \omega \mu \epsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \nu \eta$ and the Lithuanian schleifton. See Bezzenberger, Bezz. Beitr. 7, 66 sqq.; Hanssen, Kuhn's Zeitschr. 27, 612 sqq.; Hirt, ldg. forschungen 1,1 sqq. 195 sqq.

To Hirt we owe an excellent monography on all questions concerning the lndogermanic accentuation (Hirt, Der indogermanische akzent, Strassburg 1895).
§ 113. Accentual agreement between Sanskrit and Greck. The liberty of accent, which prevailed in the mother-language, was restricted in Greek by many special rules (see Hirt, Der idg. akzent 24 sqq.). Yet there are many words - dissyllabic and trisyllabic -, which have the same accent in Indian and in Greek.

A few examples will suffice:
skr. paddús, gr. $\pi 0 \delta o{ }^{\prime} s$, gen. of skr. pât, foot, g'r. dor. $\pi \alpha^{\prime} \varsigma$. skr. tráyas, three, gr. тpeĩs, but loc. skr. triṣú, cf. gr. тpiri. skr. páñca, five, gr. $\pi$ čv $\begin{gathered}\text {, goth. fimf. }\end{gathered}$
skr. dáça, ten, gr. $\begin{gathered}\text { ézx } \\ \text {, goth. taíhun. }\end{gathered}$
skr. saptá, seven, gr. $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$.

skr. ffks $\alpha-s$, bear, gr. ${ }^{2} \rho x \tau o s$.
skr. jambha-s, set of teeth, gr. $\gamma \dot{\delta} \mu ф о \varsigma$.
ved. dáma-s, house, gr. Jó $\mu 0$ s.
skr. áqua-s, horse, gr. í $\pi \pi 0 \varsigma$, goth. aihwa-.

ved. mídh $\alpha$-( $n n$ ), prize, contest, gr. $\mu / \sigma \theta \delta-(\varsigma)$.
skr. dhūmá-s, smoke, gr. बü~óç.
skr. yajñ $\alpha-s$, sacrifice, gr. © órvós.
skr. çrutí-s, heard, heard of, gr. x $\lambda \nu \tau o ́ s$.
skr. jā̄ātá-s, known, gr. yuatóc.
skr. gurri-s, heavy, gr. $\beta$ 人pús.
skr. svādú-s, sweet, gr. ijús.

skr. nábhas, sky, gr. ขéФos.
vè. jánas, race, gr. y'́vos.
skr. mánas, mind, gr. $\mu$ '́vos.
skr. ándhas, herb, gr. a้vOos.
skr. çrávas, fame, gr." kiéoc.
skr. sádas, seat, gr. Ě8os.
ved. bhárma ( $n$ ), bearing, gr. Фép $\mu x$.
ved. hóma ( $n$ ), pouring, oblation, gr. $\chi \varepsilon \tilde{u} \mu \propto$.
ved. vásma ( $n$ ), cover, gr. lesb. rt $\mu \mu \alpha$.
skr. pitá, father, gr. $\pi \alpha \tau \dot{\gamma} \rho$, goth. fadar.
skr. devá, brother in law, gr. $\delta \bar{\alpha} \bar{\varphi}^{\prime} \rho$.
skr. bhrâtā, brother, gr. $\emptyset_{\rho} \frac{1}{\alpha} \tau \omega_{\rho}$, goth. bröpar.
skr. svásā, sister, cf. gr. हैopcs.
In trisyllabic words and forms:
skr. úttara-s, further, left \&c., gr. Úбтepos.
skr. dúhitar, gr. Gúzatcp, voc. of skr. duhitá. daughter, gr. Өurátир.
skr. svádīyas neutr., sweeter, gr. Ǩठıv.
ved. astá áp $\bar{a} t$, with eight feet or parts, gr. $\delta x \tau \omega \dot{\pi} \pi 0 \cup s$.
skr. pitáras, fathers, gr. $\pi \alpha \tau$ ќpss.
skr. janitá, father, gr. y $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \tau \dot{y} p$.
ved. isisíá-s, strong', quick \&e., gr. iєpós.
skr. bakulä-s, numerous \&c., gr. $\pi \alpha \chi u \lambda$ ós.
§ 114. Accentual agreement between Sanskrit and Germanic. It may be useful to give some examples of Verner's law, which agree with the accent of the identical Sanskrit words and forms:
skr. pitá, father, goth. fadar, germ. ${ }^{*}$ fadér from ${ }^{*}$ fapér.
skr. ātmá ( $n$ ), breath, soul, os. $\bar{a} \not{\not}{ }^{\circ} o m$, ohg. ātum, germ. *èmén- from *épmén-.
skr. ketú-s, brightness, light, beam, banner, goth. haidus, germ. ${ }^{*}$ xaidû-s from ${ }^{*}$ xaipui-s.
skr. nakhára-s (nakhará-s), nail, claw, ohg. nagal, germ. *naylb-s from *naxlb-s.
skr. vrkí, she-wolf, icel. ylgr, germ. *ulyuí-s from *ulqữ-s.
skr. snuṣáa, daughter in law, ohg. snura, germ. *snuzáa from *snusá.
skr. mā̀msá-m, flesh, meat, goth. mimz, germ. ${ }^{*}$ mimzó-m from ${ }^{*}$ mimsó-m ( ${ }^{*}$ mèmsó-m).

In the verbal system:
skr. svāpáyāmi, I cause to sleep, cf. ohg. int-swebbiu: skr. svápna-s, icel. svefn.
skr. vavrtimá, 1 pers. pl. perf., vavrtāná-, part. perf. med. of the root vart-, to turn \&c., ags. wurdon, worden, ohg. wurtum, giwortan: skr. vártana-m, vb. noun, vavárta, 1. 3. pers. sing. perf., ags. weordan, weart, ohg. werdan, ward.
skr. didiçimá, 1 pers. pl. perf., didiçāná-, part. perf. med. of the root deg-, to indicate \&c., ags. tigon, tigen, ohg. zigum, gizigan: skr. déçana-m, vb. noun, didéça, 1. 3. pers. sing. perf., ags. téon, tâh, ohg. zīhan, zēh.
skr. jujusimá, 1 pers. pl. perf., jujuṣāná-, part. perf. med., jusāná-, part. aor. med. of the root joṣ-, to enjoy, ags. curon, coren, ohg. kurum, gikoran: skr. joṣaņa-m, vb. noun, jujbssa, 1. 3. pers. sing. perf., ags. céosan, céas, ohg. kiosan, kōs.
§ 115. Dissyllabic value of long vowels. Dissyllabic value of long vowels in Vedic, corresponding to the Greek $\pi \in \rho 1-$ $\sigma \pi \omega \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \eta$ and the Lithuanian schleifton:
$a$. Gen. pl., ending in $-\bar{a} m$ (-aam), for instance ved. vŕ̛k $\bar{\alpha} m$ (vṛkaam), cf. gr. $\theta$ gã̃, lith. vilkut, ohg. wolfo (goth. wulfê).
b. Abl. sing. of $-a$ - stems, ending in -ad (-aad), for instance vŕhād (vrkaad), cf. lith. vilkó, goth. adv. paprō.
c. Nom. acc. pl. of $-\bar{\alpha}$ - stems, ending in $-\bar{\alpha} s$ (-aas), for instance áçvās (áçvaas), cf. lith. nom. pl. mêrgôs and goth. gibös.
d. Nom. pl. of $-a$ - stems, ending in $-\bar{a} s(-a a s)$. This form is not preserved in Greek and Lithuanian. With vṛ̂kās (vẹkaas) only goth. wulfos may be compared.

There are yet other cases, where long vowels of the Vedic dialect have (resp. may have) the metrical value of two syllables, but here it is of no use to cite them all: it will suffice to refer to Hirt, Idg. forschungen 1, 5 sqq.
§ 116. Accentuation of texts. The accentuation is marked only in manuscripts of the Samhita's and some other works belonging to the Veda.

The Indian grammarians distinguish the following accents:

1. ud̄ātta-, i. e. raised, corresponding to the Greek $\dot{\xi} \xi \tau i \alpha$.
2. anudatta-, i. e. not raised, corresponding to the Greek $\beta \alpha \rho \varepsilon i ̃ \alpha$.
3. svarita-, see Böhtlingk \& Roth. The svarita is nearly
always found on syllables, in which a vowel, be it short or long, is preceded by a $y$ or $v$ representing an original $i$ or $u$ with udatta-accent. But there is also a dependent svarita-, which falls on all syllables immediately preceded by an udātta, whether in the same or in another word, unless it be followed by a syllable, which bears an udātta or a svarita.

In the hymns of the Rgveda the accent is written as follows:

1. The udatta is not marked.
2. The svarita, independent or dependent, is marked by a short perpendicular stroke above.
3. The anudātta, next preceding an udātta or independent svarita, is marked by a short horizontal stroke below.

In the lexicon of Böhtlingk and Roth (also in Böhtlingk's chrestomathy) the udātta is indicated by a small Sanskrit $u$ above. In transliteration the udātta is written - , the svarita is written 1 .

Examples:
vípràsya (the $i$ bears the udātta and therefore the $a$ of the following syllable has a dependent svarita).
kathám rasáy $\frac{1}{a}$ atarah paya $\frac{1}{n} n s i$ (the first syllable of rasáay $\bar{a}$, though immediately preceded by an udātta, has no dependent svarita, because it is also followed by an udātta).
tanv $\frac{1}{a}$ (with independent svarita, from tanúà).
svàr (with independent svarita, from suiar ).
nadyàs (with independent svarita, from naduìs).
§ 117. Enclisis. The principal rules about enclisis are the following:

1. The vocative is enclitic, except at the beginning of a sentence or a pāda.
2. The verbum finitum is enclitic in an independent clause,
except at the beginning of a sentence or a pāda. In a dependent clause it is accented.
3. Many particles (ca, vā, u, sma, iva, cid, svid, ha \&c.) are always accentless.
4. Many forms of pronominal stems are always enclitic (mā, me, nãu, nas, tvā, te, vām, vas \&c.).

Some restrictions and particularities are to be found in Whitney's grammar (§ 314 and § 591 sqq.).

Enclitic vocatives:
tvám agne vratapá asi.
nákir indra toád úttaro.
á tù na indsa vrtrakann | asmátkam ardhám à gahi.
párvatānaam | khidrám bibharṣi prthivi.
But:
ágne yám yajnám adhvarám | viçvátah paribhửr ási.
ágne náya supâthā rāyé asmán.
váyo yé te sahasríno | ráthāsas tébhir á gahi.
yátah pári jārá ivācáranti | úso dadṛ̂ṣé ná púnar yatîva.
Enclitic verbum finitum:
indrán víģā avivordhan | samudrávyacasain gíraḷ.
agním dūtám vrnimahe.
parjányāya prá gāyata.
asmé indrā varuṇā çárma yacchatam.
But:
gáyanti tvā gāyatriṇo | árcanti arkám arkiṇah.
grbhnámi te sāubhagatváya hástam.
índrasya nú viríāni prá vocam | yáni cakára prathamáni vajrí.
ráthain yé cakrúh suvṛ̛tam̀ nareṣthám.
yó gárbham óṣadhinaam | gávāin kṛnọti árvatām.
yấsu (apsú) rájả váruno yầsu sómah $\mid$ víq̧ve deváa yásu árjam mádanti.
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## Babylonian Magic and Sorcery. See: King.

Bāna's Kadambari. Translated, with Occasional Omissions, with a full Abstract of the Continuation of the Romance by the Author's Son Bhushanabhatta, by C. M. Ridding. 8vo. Cloth. pp. NXIV, 232. ios.

Bāna's Harsa Carita. An Historical Work, translated from the Sanskrit, by E. B. Cowell and F. IV. Thomas. 8vo. Cloth. pp. XIV, 284. IOs.

Bezold (Ch.) - Oriental Diplomacy: being the transliterated Text of the Cuneiform Despatches between the King of Egypt and Western Asia in the XVth. century before Christ, discovered at Tell el Amarna, and now preserved in the British Museum. With full Vocabulary, grammatical Notes, \&c., by Charles Bezold. Post 8vo. Cloth. pp. XLIV, i24. I $8 s$. net.
"For the Assyriologist the book is a servicable and handy supplement to the British Museum volume on the Tell EI-Amarna tablets. The author is specially skilled in the art of cataloguing and dictionary making and it is needless to say that he has done his work well". - The Academy.
"Die in dem Hauptwerke (The Tell el Amarna Tablets in the British Museum with autotype Facsimiles, etc.) vermisstte Transcription des Keilschrifttextes der Tafeln, sowic ein sehr ausfiihrliches, mituntur die Vollständigkeit einer Concordanz erreichendes Vocabulary bietet die Oriental Diplomacy von C. Bezold, das eben deshalb gewissermassen als Schlüssel zu dem Publicationswerke betrachtet werden kann." Liter. Centralblatt.
"Wichtig und sehr niitzlich vor allem wegen der Einleitung und des Wörterverzeichnisses . . . Transkription und kurze Inhaltsangabe der Briefe sehr zweckmässig.... eine anerkennenswerthe Leistung."

Deutsche Littoraturzeitung.
Biblia. - A Monthly Magazine, devoted to Biblical Archaeology and Oriental Research. Vol. I-X. (Vol. XI in progress). Published monthly. Annual Subscription, $5 s$.

Biblical World (The) - Continuing the Old and New Testament Student. Edited by William R. Harper. New Series. Yol. I-X. (Vol. XI and XII in progress). Published monthly. Annual Subscription, ios. $6 d$.

[^0]Bibliographical List of Books on Africa and the East. Published in England. 2 Vols. Vol. I. Containing the Books published between the Meetings of the Eighth Oriental Congress at Stockholm, in I889, and the Ninth Congress in London in 1892. Vol. II. Containing the Books published between the Meetings
of the Ninth Oriental Congress in London, in 1892 , and the Tenth Oriental Congress at Geneva, in 1894. Systematically arranged, with Preface and Author's Index, by C. G. Luzac. 12 mo . each Vol. is.

Bibliotheca Indica. - Messrs Luzac \& Co. are agents for the sale of this important series and keep most of the numbers in stock.

Blackden (M. W.) and G. W. Frazer. - Collection of Hieratic Graffiti, from the Alabaster Quarry of Hat-Nub, situated near Tell El Amarna. Found December 28th. 189i, copied September, 1892. Obl. pp. 1o. ros.
Buddhaghosuppatti; or, Historical Romance of the Rise and Career of Buddaghosa. Edited and translated by James Gray, Professor of Pali. Rangoon College. Two Parts in one. Demy 8vo. Cloth. pp. VIII, 75 and $36.6 s$.
Budge (E. A. Wallis) - The Laughable Stories collected by Bar-Hebraeus. The Syriac Text with an English Translation, by E. A. Wallis Budge, Litt. D., F. S. A., Keeper of the Department of Egyptian and Assyrian Antiquities, British Museum. 8vo. Cloth. 2Is. net. [Luzac's Semitic Texts and Translation Series, Vol. I].

[^1]dement. M. Budge, qui a déja rendu tant de services aux lettres syriaques, vient d'éditer l'ouvrage entier avec une traduction anglaise..... En tous cas, M. B. a eu raison de ne pas faire un choix et de donner l'ouvrage en son entier.... Les aphorismes, écrits dans un style concis et avec, une pointe dont la finesse n'est pas toujours sensible, présentent des difficultés de traduction dont M. B. a généralement triomphé." -

Revuc Critique.
"尤 questo un libro singolare, appartemente ad un genere assai scarso nella letteratura siriaca, quantunque così ricca, cioè a quello dell'amena letteratura. Bar Ebreo scrisse questo libro nella vecchiaia, o furse allora mise insieme e ordino estr atti che avea prese nelle lunghe letture da lui fatte, di tanto opere e cosi svariate.... I cultori degli studi siriaci saraono assai grati al Dr. Budge per questo suo novello contributo; l'edizione per carte e per tipi è veramente bellissima." - La Cultutra.

## Budge, see Luzac's Semitic Text and Translation Series. Vols. I, III, V and VII.

Cappeller (Carl) - A Sanskrit-English Dictionary. Based upon the St. Petersburg Lexicons. Royal 8vo. Cloth. pp. VIII, 672 [Published £ I. Is]. Reduced to I os .6 d .
"Linguistic and other students should hail with satisfaction the publication of a cheap and handy Sanskrit-English Dictionary, such as is now to be found in the new English edition of Prof. Cappeller's San-skrit-German 'Wörterbuch,' recently published by Messrs. Luzac. The book is well adapted to the use of beginners, as it specially deals with the text usually read in commencing Sanskrit; but it will be of use also to philological students - or such as have mastered the Nägari character - as it includes most Vedic words, a great desideratum in many earlier dictionaries, especially such as were founded on native sources. The basis of the present work is, on the contrary, the great lexicon of Boethlingk and Roth with the addition of compound forms likely to be of service to beginners." - Athenezm.
"The English edition of Prof. Cappeller's Sanskrit Dictionary is some thing more than a mere translation of the German edition. It includes the vocabulary of several additional texts; many compounds have been inserted which are not given in the Petersburg lexicons; and some improvements have been made in the arrangement. The errors enumerated by the reviewer of the Academy have for the most part been corrected, though a few still remain. .... The book is certainly the cheapest, and, for a beginner, in some respects the best, of existing Sanskrit-English dictionaries." - Acadeny.
"Professor Cappeller furnishes the Student of Sanskrit, if not with a complete Lexicon, - for that he tells us, was not his object, - still with a handy and yet very full vocabulary of all the words occurring in the texts which are generally studied in that language. His plan is to avoid all unnecessary complications, to give each word in such a manner
as to show its formation, if it is not itself a stem. It is not merely an English version of the author's Sanskrit-German Dictionary, nor merely an enlarged edition of the same; it is a new work, with a distinct plan and object of its own. We can recommend it to the Sanskrit student as a sufficient dictionary for all practical purposes, which will enable him to dispense with larger and more costly and complicated Lexicons till he has acquired a considerable proficiency in this difficult and scientific language." - Asiatic Quarterly Review.

## Ceylon. A Tale of Old..... See: Sinnatamby.

Chakrabarti (J. Ch.) - The Native States of India. 8 vo. Cloth. pp. XIV, 274. With Map. 5s. net.

Cool (W.) - With the Dutch in the East. An Outline of the Military Operations in Lombock, I894, Giving also a Popular Account of the Native Characteristics, Architecture, Methods of Irrigations, Agricultural Pursuits, Folklore, Religious Customs and a History of the Introduction of Islamism and Hinduism into the Island. By Capt. W. Cool (Dutch Engineer), Knight of the Order of Orange Nassau; decorated for important War Services in the Dutch Indies; Professor at the High School of War, the Hague. Translated from the Dutch by E. J. Taylor. Illustrated by G. B. Hoover. Late Lieut. Col. of the Dutch Indian Army; Knight of the Military Order of William; decorated for important War Services in the Dutch Indies. Roy. 8vo. Cloth. 2 Is .

[^2][^3]"The author not only describes the military operations, but gives a full history of Lombock and its people. Much curions information as to a land very much out of the way and little known to English readers is given. In addition the account of the actual warfare is full of incident. The book is freely illustrated." - Yorkshire Daily Post.
"This is a work which will no doubt attract considerable attention, both in the West and throughout the East. Miss Taylor has acquitted herself as a translator with rare ability and taste, and the comprehensive and excellent way in which the work is illustrated adds an additional charm to what is at once the most entertaining and most attractive chapter of Netherlands Indian history." - European Mail.
"Besides containing a great deal of information concerning this hitherto wery slightly known island and its inhabitants, Captain Cool's volume is profusely and excellently illustrated... Miss Taylor's translation of it is fluent and thoroughly readable." - Glasgoz Herald.

## Cowell, E. B., See : Bāna's Harsa Carita.

Cowper (B. H.) Principles of Syriac Grammar. Translated and abridged from the work of Dr. Hoffmann. 8vo. Cloth. pp. 184. 7s. $6 d$.
Cust (R. N.) - The Gospel Message or Essays, Addresses, Suggestions and Warnings of the different aspects of Christian Missions to Non Christian Races and peoples. 8vo. pp. 494. Paper 6s. 6d. Cloth. 7s. 6d.
". . . . There are few objects of controversy in missionary matters which are not very fully discussed by Dr. Cust, and if we not infrequently differ from him we gladly thank him for copious information and the benefits of his long experience". - Guardian.
"It is a big book. it ranges over a very wide field, and it is never: dull or dry". - Expository Times.
"The scheme is so comprehensive as to include almost every detail of the missionary enterprise. Every essay is stamped, of course with the personality of its author, whose views are expressed with characteristie force and clearness". - The Record.

## Cust (R. N.) - Essay on the Common Features which appear in all Forms of Religious belief.

 Post 8vo. Cloth. pp. XXIV, 194. $5 s$."Dr. Cust has put his very considerable knowledge to excellent purposes in this modest little publication. He seems most at home with the faiths of the East, but even the most elementary of savage creeds have not escaped him". - Pall Mall Gazette.

Cust (R. N.) - Essay on Religious Conceptions. Post 8vo. Cloth. pp. V, 148. 5 s.

Cust (R. N.) - Linguistic and Oriental Essays. Fourth Series. From 1861 to 1895 . 8 vo . pp. XXV, 634. Paper Covers. 16s., Cloth. 17s. 6 d .

Dawlatshah's Lives of the Persian Poets. Edited by Edward G. Browne, Lecturer in Persian in the University of Cambridge. Vol. I. Tadhkiratu'sh Sh'arā. Svo. Cloth. 18s. net.

Edkins (Joseph) - China's Place in Philology. An Attempt to show that the Languages of Europe and Asia have a common Origin. Demy 8 vo. Cloth. pp. XXIII, 403. (Published ros. 6d.) 7s. 6 d .
Edkins (Joseph) - Introduction to the Study of the Chinese Characters. Royal 8vo. Boards. pp. XIX, 21I, IOI. (Published 18 s .) 12 s .6 d .

Edkins (Joseph) - Nirvana of the Northern Buddhists. 8vo. pp. 2I. Reprint. $6 d$.
Edkins (Joseph) - Chinese Architecture. Contents. - I. Classical Style. - 2. Post-Confucian Style. - 3. Buddhist Style. - 4. Modern Style. 8vo. pp. 36. is.
Edkins (Joseph) - Chinese Currency. Roy. 8vo. pp. 29. is.
Edkíns (Joseph) - Ancient Symbolism among the Chinese. Cr. 8vo. pp. 26. $6 d$.
Efes Damîm. - A Series of Conversations at Jerusalem between a Patriarch of the Greek Church and a Chief Rabbi of the Jews, concerning the Malicious Charge against the Jews of using Christian Blood. By J. B. Levinsohn. Translated from the Hebrew by Dr. L. Loewe. Roy. 8vo. Cloth. pp. XVI, 208. (Published $8 s$.) Reduced Price $2 s .6 d$.
Eitel (E. J.) - Europe in China. The History of Hongkong. From the Beginning to the year 1882. Svo. Cloth. pp. VII, 575. With Index. I 5s. net.

[^4]"His painstaking volume is really a detailed history of the colony and of the adminstration of successive governors from 1841 down to the present day". - Daily Telegraph.
"This is an interesting book. The subject is full of matter, and Dr. Eitel has, as a rule, treated it successfully. - Athencumm.
> "... The student will find Dr. Eitel's book a very storehouse of information.... has told it with a mastery of fact that vouches for his industry and perseverance" - Saturday Review.

## Gladstone (Right Hon. W. E.) - Archaic Greece and the East. 8vo. pp. 32. Is.

## Gribble (J. D. B.) - A History of the Deccan.

 With numerous Illustrations, Plates, Portraits, Maps and Plans. Vol. I. Roy. 8vo. Cloth. 2 Is."In a style easy and pleasant the author tells the story of the Mohammedan occupation of the Deccan ....the general style of the book and the admirable photographs and drawings with which it is enriched leave nothing to be desired" - Athcnctum.
".llr. J. D. B. Gribble has accomplished a difficult task. He has constructed from original materials a continuous narrative of one of the most confused periods of Indian history. He has also presented it with a lucidity of style which will go far to render it acceptable to the reading public.... The book is illustrated by a number of interesting reproductions of scenery and architecture in Southern India. These and the maps, plans, and clear genealogical tables reflect credit both upon the author and the publisher". - Times.
"Mr. Gribble has brought great industry and knowledge of the country to this compilation... The work is of some historical importance". Saturday Revicu.

## Gray (James). See Buddhaghosuppatti.

Gray (James). See Jinalankara.
Guide to the Dutch East Indies. By Dr. J. F van Bemmelen and G. B. Hooyer. Trans. from the Dutch by the Rev. B. J. Berrington B.A., with 16 Plates, ${ }_{13}$ Maps and Plans, and a copious index. Sm. 8vo. pp. 202. is. 6d.
"For any one going in that direction this remarkably complete little work is indispensable". - Pall Mall Gazette.
"The guide book omits nothing needed by the traveller. It describes the necessary outfit, customs afloat and ashore, mode of living, how to dress, how often to bathe, who to tip, and how much". - The Shipping World.

Guirandon (F. G. de) - Manuel de la langue foule, parlée dans la Sénégambie et le Soudan. Grammaire textes, vocabulaire. 8vo. Cloth. pp. i44. $6 s$.
Halcombe (Charles J. H.) - The Mystic Flowery Land. A Personal Narrative. By Charles J. H. Halcombe. Late of Imperial Customs. China, 8vo. Cloth. gilt. pp. 226. $16 s$.
"This valuable and landsome volume contains thirty long chapters, a frontispiece of the Author and his wife - the latter in her Oriental costume - numerous fine reproductions from photographs, and several beautiful coloured pictures representing many scenes and phases of Chinese life, etchings and comprehensive notes by the Author.
"His pages are full of incident and his narrative offen vivid and vigorous". - Times.
"The illustrations are good and numerous. Many are facsimiles of coloured Chinese drawings showing various industrial occupations: others are photogravures representing huildings and scenery". - Morning Post.
"Handsomely attired in red, yellow and gold, with Chinese characters to give further appropriateness to the outer garb, is this volume of freely illustrated personal experience in China.... Mr. Halcombe gives a graphic description of places and peoples, with their manners and customs". - Liverpool Courier.
"The illustrations are all good, and the Chinese pictures reproduced in colours interesting. We have not seen any of them before". Westminster Review.
Hansei Zasshi. Monthly. Vol. I-XII. (Vol. XIII in progress). Annual subscription. $6 s$.
Hardy (R. Spence) - The Legends and theories of the Buddhists. Compared with History and Science. 8vo. Cloth. pp. 244. 7s. 6 d .
Harîri. - The Assemblies of al Harîri. Translated from the Arabic with an Introduction and notes, Historical and Grammatical, by Th. Chenery and F. Steingass. With Preface and Index, by F. F. Arbuthnot, 2 Vols. 8vo. Cloth. pp. X, 540 and XI, 395. £ I.10s.
Harper (Robert Francis) - Assyrian and Babylonian Letters, belonging to the K. Collection of the British Museum. By Robert Francis Harper, of the University of Chicago. Vols. I to IV. Post 8ro. Cloth. Price of each Vol. ti. 5 s. net.
"The Assyriologist, will welcome them with gratitude, for they offer
him a mass of new material which has been carefully copied and well printed, and which cannot fail to yield important results." - Athenaum.
"The book is well printed, and it is a pleasure to read the texts given in it, with their large type and ample margin." - Acadeny.

Hebraica. - A Quarterly Journal in the Interests of Semitic Study. Edited by William R. Harper and the Staff of the Semitic Department of the University of Chicago. Vol. I-XI. Published quarterly. Annual Subscription. $14 s$.
See American Journal of Semitic Languages, etc.
India. (The Native States of). See: Chakrabarti.
India. (The Armenians in). See: Seth.
Indian Antiquary (The) - A Journal of Oriental Research in Archaeology, Epigraphy, etc. etc. Edited by R. C. Temple. Vol. I-XXVI. (Vol. XXVII in progress). Annual Subscription, $\mathfrak{x}^{5} \mathrm{I}$. I 6 s.
Indian Terms. (A Glossary of). See: Temple.
Indian Wisdom. See: Monier-Williams.
Jastrow's Dictionary of the Targumim, the Talmud Babli and Yerushalmi, and the Midrashic Literature. Compiled by M. Jastrow, Ph. D. Parts I to IX. 4to. pp. 480. 5s. each Part.
"This is the only Talmudic dictionary in English, and all students should subscribe to it. The merits of this work are now too well known to need repetition." - Fewish Chronicle.

Jinalankara or "Embellishments of Buddha", by
Buddharakkhita. Edited with Introduction, Notes and Translation, by James Gray. Two Parts in one. Demy 8 vo. Cloth. 6s.
> "The commendable care with which the volume has been prepared for the use of students is evident throughout its pages. - Athenaum.
> Johnson (Capt. F. N). - The Seven Poems etc. See: Muallakat.

Johnston (C.) Useful Sanskrit Nouns and Verbs. In English Letters. Compiled by Charles Johnston,

Bengal Civil Service, Dublin University Sanskrit Prizeman, India Civil Service Sanskrit Prizeman. Small 4to. Boards. pp. 30. $2 s .6 d$.

Johnston (C.) - The Awakening to the Self. Translated from the Sanskrit of Shankara the Master. Oblong 8vo. Paper covers. $2 s$.

Journal of the Buddhist Text Society of India. Edited by Sarat Candra Das, C. J. E: Vols. I to IV. 8 vo. Calcutta, 1893-1897. £ I. IOs.
Messrs. Luzac \& Co. are the English agents for the above and can supply the Continuation. Subscription. Ios. each Vol.

## Judson (A.) - English-Burmese Dictionary.

 Fourth Edition. Royal 8vo. Half bound. pp. 1752. t. I $2 s$.Judson (A.) - Burmese-Englísh Dictionary. Revised and enlarged by Robert C. Stevenson. Royal 8vo. Paper covers. pp. 1192.

## Kathákoça. See Tawney.

King (Leonard W.) - Babylonian Magic and Sorcery. Being "The Prayers of the Lifting of the Hand". The Cuneiform Texts of a Group of Babylonian and Assyrian Incantations and magical Formulae, edited with Transliterations, Translations, and full Vocabulary from Tablets of the Kuyunjik Collection preserved in the British Museum. By Leonard W. King, M. A., Assistant in the Department of Egyptian and Assyrian Antiquities, British Museum. Roy. 8vo. Cloth. i8s. net.
"We cannot pretend to form an adequate judgment of the merits of Mr. King's work, but it is manifestly conceived and executed in a very scholarly spirit." - Times.
"Mr. King's book, will, we believe be of great use to all students of Mesopotamian religions, and it marks an era in Assyriological studies in England.... A word of special praise is due to Mr. King for the excellence of his autograph plates of text." - Athematm.
"The work will be found a valuable addition to our knowledge of Babylonian history, and to the study of comparative philology."

Morning Fist.

King, L. W. See: Luzac's Semitic Text and Translation Series, Vols. II, IV and VI.
Kıttel (Rev. F.) - A Kannada-English Dictionary. By Rev. F. Kittel, B. G. E. M. Royal 8vo. Half-Bound. pp. L. 1725. £ I. 12 s.
Korean Repository. Vols. I to III. Annual Subscription I 5 s . Post free.
Land (J. P. N.) - The Principles of Hebrew Grammar. By J. P. N. Land, Professor of Logic and Metaphysics in the University of Leyden. Translated from the Dutch by Reginald Lane Poole, Balliol College, Oxford. Demy 8vo. Cloth. pp. XX, 219 (Published 7s. 6d.) Reduced price 5 s.
Lives of the Persian Poets Series. See Dawlatshah.
Loewe (L.) - A Dictionary of the Circassian Language. In two Parts. English-Circassian-Turkish, and Circassian-English-Turkish. 8vo. Cloth. (Published 2 Is.) Reduced price $\sigma s$.

## Loewe (L.) Efes Damim. See: Efes.

Luzac's Oriental List. - Containing Notes and News on, and a Bibliographical List of all new Publications on Africa and the East. Published Monthly. Annual Subscription, 35 . Vols. I to VIII (1890-1897) are still to be had (with Index, half-bound), at £2. I5s.

Vols. I to IV are nearly out of print and can only be sold in the set. Vuls V to VIII are still to be had at $5 s$. each vol.
"It deserves the support of Oriental students. Besides the catalogue of new books published in England, on the Continent, in the East, and in America, it gives, under the heading of "Notes and News" details about important Oriental works, which are both more full and more careful than anything of the sort to be found elsewhere." - Acadenny.
"A bibliographical monthly publication which should be better known." The Record.

## Luzac's Semitic Text and Translation Series. Vol. I: See: Budge.

Vol. 1I. The Letters and Despatches of Hammurabi king of Babylon about B. C. 2250 , to Sin-idinnam, King of Larsa, together with other
royal and official correspondence of the same period: the Cuneiform texts edited with an Introduction and short descriptions by L. W. King, M. A.

This volume will contain about 100 letters relating to a variety of official subjects, and their contents are of great importance for the study of the history of Babylonia, Elam and the neighbouring districts about the time of the patriarch Abraham. These letters reveal the system by which Hammurabi maintained his rule in the remote provinces of his newly acquired empire, and contain some of the orders and directions which he issued for the movements of troops, for the building of canals and waterways, for the food-supply of his capital, and for the regulation of legal tribunals. The letters of Hammurabi are the oldest Babylonian despatches extant. - Ready in $\mathcal{F u n e}$.

Vol. III. The History of the Blessed Lady Mary the Virgin, and the History of the Image of Christ, which the men of Tiberias made to mock at; the Syriac text edited, with an English translation, by E. A. Wallis Budge, Litt. D., D. Lit., etc. - Ready ine October.

This Life of the Virgin is the fullest known to exist in Syriac, and varies in many important particulars from the versions of whicb fragments have already been published. The Life has been copied from an ancient Nestorian MS., to the text of which have been added all the rariants found in the XVIth century MS. in the possession of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain.

Vol. IV. The Letters and Despatches of Hammurabi together with other official and private correspondence of the same period, by L. W. King, M. A.

This volume will contain a number of transliterations and translations of the texts of the 100 letters and despatches which are printed in volume 2 ; to these will be added indexes of proper names etc. and a List of Cbaracters. An attempt will be made to give a description of the circumstances under which these letters were written, and short notes on points of grammar, history, etc. will be added. - In the Press.

Vol. V. The History of Rabban Hormizd by Mâr Simon, the disciple of Mâr Yôzâdhâk; the Syriac text edited, with an English translation by E. A. Wallis Budge, Litt. D., D. Lit., etc.

The text describes the life of this famous Nestorian anchorite, the building of his monastery, and the struggle which went on in the VIIth century between the rival sects of Jacobites and Nestorians in Mesopotamia. This prose version of the life of Rabban Hormizd is, probably, the source from which the metrical versions were drawn; and it is of great importance for the study of the second great development of monasticism in Mesopotamia. - In the Press.

Vol. VI. Babylonian Private Letters written during the period of the First Dynasty of Babylon; the Cuneiform texts edited with Introduction and short descriptions by L. W. King, M. A.

This volume will contain about 200 letters of a private nature which reveal the social condition of the country and incidentally throw much light upon the civilization of the period. From grammatical aud lexi-
cographical points of view these texts are of considerable importance, for they afford numerous examples of unusual words and forms of expression. - In the Press.

Vol. VII. The Life of Rabban Bar-Idtâ by John his disciple; The Syrac text edited, with an English translation, by E. A. Wallis Budge, Litt. D., D. Lit., etc.

Bar-Idtâ was the founder of a famous rule and monastery in Mesopotamia in the VIIth century, and the author of a verv valuable work on monastic history which is quoted with respect by Thomas, Bishop of Margâ. He was a contemporary of Babhai of Mount lzlâ, and of Tacob of Bêth Abbê.

Volumes 5,6 , and 7 will, it is hoped be ready early next year.

## Macnaghten (Sir W. Hay) - Princíple of Hindu

 and Mohammedan Law. Republished from the Principles and Precedences of the same. Edited by the late H. H. Wilson. 8vo. Cloth. pp. 240. $6 s$.
## Margoliouth (D. S.) - Arabic Papyri of the

 Bodleian Library reproduced by the Collotype Process. With Transcription and Translation. Text in $4^{\text {to. pp. }} 7$ and 2 Facsimiles in large folio. $5 s$.Margoliouth (D. S.) - Chrestcmathia Baidawiana. The Commentary of El-Baiâawi on Sura III. Translated and explained for the Use of Students of Arabic. By D. S. Margoliouth, M. A., Laudian Professor of Arabic in the University of Oxford, etc. etc. Post 8 vo . Cloth. I2s.
"The book is as scholarly as it is useful. Of particular importance are the numerous grammatical annotations which give the beginner an insight into the method of the Arabic national grammarians, and which form an excellent preparatory study for the perusal of these works in the original..... The introduction and the remarks in particular show how well Mr. Margoliouth has mastered the immense literatures of Moslim Tradition, Grammar and Kalarm. . . . The perusal of the book affords pleasure from beginning to end." - Fournal Royal Asiatic Society.

Mirkhond. - The Rauzat-us-Safa; or, Garden of Purity. Translated from the Original Persian by E. Rehatser; edited by F. F. Arbuthnot. Vols. I to V. ios. each Vol.
Vols. 1 and 2 contain: The Histories of Prophets, Kings and Khalifs. Vols. 3 and 4 contain: The life of Muhammad the Apostle of Allah. Vol. 5 contains: The Lives of Abí Bakr, O'mar, O'thmàn, and Ali', the four immediate successors of Muhammad the Apostle.

Monier-Williams (Sir Monier) - Indian Wisdom; or Examples of the religious, philosophical, and ethical Doctrines of the Hindus, with a brief History of the chief Departments of Sanskrit Literature, and some account of the past and present Condition of India, moral and intellectual. By Sir Monier MonierWillians, K. C. I. E., M. A., Hon. D. C. L., Oxford. Fourth Edition, enlarged and improved. Post 8 vo. Cloth. pp. 575. £ I. Is.
"His book... still remains indispensable for the growing public, which seeks to learn the outline of Indian literature and thought in a simple and readable form. We are glad to welcome the fourth edition of this eminently readable book." - Daily Chronicle.
"The learned professor's thorough mastery of his subject enables him to deal effectively with his difficult task..... He omits nothing that enters the scope of his work: he is choice in his selections and accurate in his comments, and the result is $\Omega$ work as instructive and sound as it is pleasant to read." - Asiatic Quarterly Revierv.
"For all students of the philosophy of religion, as well as for all especially interested in Indian literature and thought, the work is one of very gieat value." - Glasgow Herald.
"It is a fine volume and contains valuable additions by the author.... this edition will be more than ever prized by students of Indian lore."

Scotsman.
Muallakat. - The Seven Poems suspended in the Temple at Mecca. Translated from the Arabic. By Capt. F. E. Johnson. With an Introduction by Shaikh Taizullabhai. 8vo. pp. XXIV, 238. 7s. 6d.
"This handy volume decidedly supplies a great want for those who make a serious study of Arabic.... The grammatical, historical, geographical and other notes comments and explanations are ample and thorongh". - Imperial and Asiatic Quarterly Revicti.

Müller (F. Max) - Address delivered at the Opening of the Ninth International Congress of Orientalists, held in London, Sept. 5, 1892, 8vo. pp. 66. is. $6 d$.

Mystic Flowery Land. See: Halcombe.
Oriental Translation Fund (New), See: Mirkhond, Tawney, Bana, and Harîri.

Oudemans Jzn. (A. C.) - The Great Sea-Serpent. An historical and critical Treatise. With the Reports of 187 Appearances (including those of the Appendix), the Suppositions and Suggestions of scientific and non-scientific Persons, and the Author's Conclusions. With 82 Illustrations. Royal 8vo. Cloth. pp. XV, 592. £ I. 5s. net.
"The volume is extremely interesting" Athcnaeum.
Reis Sidi Ali. The Travels and Adventures of the Turkish Admiral. In India, Afghanistan, Central Asia and Persia 1553-1556. Translated from the Turkish into English with notes. By H. Vambery. - In the Press.

## Ridding (C. M.) - See: Bana's Kadambari.

Rosen (F.) - A Modern Persian Colloquial Grammar, containing a short Grammar, Dialogues and Extracts from Nasir Eddin Shah's Diaries, Tales, etc. and a Vocabulary. Cr. 8vo. Cloth. pp. XIV, 400. ios. $6 d$,
"Dr. Rosen's learned work will be useful to all who have occasion to go to Persia, Baluchistan, and Afghanistan. The Vocabulary will be a boon to students, especially as it is in the same volume with the grammar and the dialogues." - Putbl. Circular.
"Very useful to students." - Westninster Reviczo.
"Excellent Guide to the acquisition of Persian." - Asiatic Quarterly" Reviez.
Rosthorn (A. de) - On the Tea Cultivation in Western Ssüch'uan and the Tea Trade with Tibet via Tachienlu. 8vo. pp. 40. With Sketch Map. $2 s$. net.
Ruben (Paul) - Critical Remarks upon some Passages of the Old Testament, by Paul Ruben, Ph. D. 4 to. Cloth. pp. II. 24, 14. 3s. $6 d$.

[^5]Sacred Books of the Old Testament. - A critical Edition of the Hebrew Text, Printed in Colours, with Notes. Prepared by eminent Biblical Scholars of Europe and America. Under the editorial direction of Paul Haupt, Professor in the John Hopkins Univ. Baltimore. Edition de Luxe, in 120 numbered Copies only. 4to. Subscription price for the complete Work (20 Parts), む 20.

Prospectuses sent on application. The following Parts have already been issued:
Part 1: Book of Genesis, by C. J. Ball. pp. 120. London. 1896. £ 2.
Part 3: Leviticus, by Prof. S. R. Driver. Pp. 32. 1894. 16s.
Part 6: Joshua, by Prof. W. H. Bennet. pp. 32. 1895. £ i.
Part 8: Samuel, by Prof. K. Budde. pp. 100. 1894. £ i. Ios.
Part II: Jeremiah, by Prof. C. H. Cornill. Pp. 8o. $1895 . £ 1$.
Part 14: Psalms, by J. Wellhausen, pp. 96. 1895. £ 1. Ios.
Part 18: Book of Daniel, by A. Kamphausen, 4to. pp. 44. 1896. £ i. Part 20: Chronicles, by R. Kittel. pp. 82. 1895. £ i. Ios.
A valuable "Edition de Luxe" in 120 numbered copies only, and which may be described as the most splendidly got up Hebrew work in existence.

Each single part is numbered and signed by the editor with his own hand. The single parts will be issued in highly elegant covers. After the conclusion of the work a handsome binding cover will be supplied.

Sankaranarayana (P.) - English-Telugu Dictionary, by P. Sankaranarayna M. A., M. R. A.S., Tutor to their Highnesses the Princes of Cochin. 8 vo . Cloth. pp. 61, 756, ios. 6d.

Sanskrit Phonetics. A Manual of. See: Uhlenbeck.

Sanskrit Nouns and Verbs. See: Johnston.
Sayce (A. H.) - Address to the Assyrian Section of the Ninth International Congress of Orientalists. 8vo. pp. 32. Is.
Sauerwein (G.) - A Pocket Dictionary of the English and Turkish Languages. Small 8vo. Cloth. limp. pp. 298. 3s. $6 d$.
Scholia on passages of the Old Testament. By Max Jacob Bishop of Edessa. Now first edited in the
original Syriac with an English translation and notes by G. Phillip. DD. 8vo. Paper Covers. $5 s$.
Seth (Mesrovb J.) - History of the Armenians in India. From the earliest Times to the present Day. 8vo. Cloth. pp. XXIV, 199. 7s. 6d. net.
"The subject is invested with peculiar interest at the present time by recent events in Asia Minor . . . . his unpretending little work is a valuable reportory of original information never before accessible in print and scarcely even known to exist." - Times.
"The book is happily distinguished among the number of books recently issued concerning Armenia in that it deals strictly with fact..... The volume deserves the attention of every one interested in the history of India and of the hardly treated race which seems to flourish better there than in its own country." - Scotsman.
"Sinnatamby". Letchimey. A Tale of Old Ceylon. 8vo. pp. III, 54. With Photogr. Plates and Illustrations. In the Press.

Stein (M. A.) - Catalogue of the Sanskrit MSS. in the Raghunata Temple Library of His Highness the Maharaja of Jammu and Kashmir. 4to. Cloth. pp. 423. I2s.

Steele's (R.) The Discovery of Secrets, attributed to Geber from the MS Arabic text. 8vo. is.

Stoffel (C.) Studies in English, Written and Spoken. For the Use of continental Students. With Index. First Series. Roy. 8vo. Cloth. pp. XII, 332. 7s. 6d.

Suhrillekha (The); or "Friendly Letter;" written by Lung Shu (Nàgàrjuna), and addressed to King Sadvaha. Translated from the Chinese Edition of I-Tsing, by the late Rev. Samuel Beal, with the Chinese Text. 8vo. pp. XIII, 5I. 5s.

## Swami Vivekananda's Addresses. See: Vivekananda.

Tawney (C. H.) - The Kathákoça; or Treasury of Stories. Translated from Sanskrit Manuscripts. With Appendix, containing Notes, by Prof. Ernst Leumann. 8vo. Cloth. pp. XXIII, 260. ros.

Temple (G.) - A Glossary of Indian Terms relating to Religion, Customs, Government, Land, and other Terms and Words in Common Use. To which is added a Glossary of Terms used in District Work in the N. W. Provinces and Oudh., and also of those applied to Labourers. With an Appendix giving Computation of Time and Money, and Weights and Measures, in British India, and Forms of Address. Roy. 8vo. Cloth. pp. IV, 332. 7 s . 6 d .
"The book is moderate in price and clear in print." - Athencuinn.
"The book is handy, well printed and well got up and no student of lndian subjects should be without it." - Asiatic Quarterly Reviezu.
"Students of Oriental travel may find something servicable in its pages; and those who are engaged in trade in the East Indies might occasionally turn to the volume, with profit, if it were on the office shelf." - The Nation.

Temple (Major R. C.) - Notes on Antiquities in Ramannadesa. (The Talaing Country of Burma.) 4to. pp. 40 . With 24 Plates and a Map. I8s.

Thomas, F. W., See: Bāna, Harsa Carita.

Tiele (C. P.) - Western Asia, according to the Most Recent Discoveries. Rectorial Address on the Occasion of the 318th Anniversary of the Leyden University, 8th February, i893. Translated by Elizabeth J. Taylor. Small 8vo. Bound. pp. 36. 2s. $6 d$.
"An authoritative summary of the results of recent Oriental research and discovery." - The Times.
"The address presents a graphic picture of the political situation in Western Asia in the fifteenth and fourteenth centuries B. C."

Morning Post.
"The professor's grasp of his subject is very evident, and his deductions from the materials commented on worthy of all attention."

Imperial and Asiatic luarterly Reqiai'.
T'oung Pao. - Archives pour servir à l'étude de l'histoire, des langues, de la géographie et de l'ethnographie de l'Asie orientale. (Chine, Japon, Corée, Indo-Chine, Asie Centrale et Malaise.) Rédigées par MM. G. Schlegel et H. Cordier. Vol. I-VIII. Vol. IX in progress). Annual Subscription. $£$ i

Transactions of the Ninth International Congress of Orientalists. London, 5 th to 12 th September, 1892.) Edited by E. Delmar Morgan. 2 Vols. Roy. 8vo. Cloth. \& I. Ifs.
Vol. I. contains: Indian and Aryan Sections. £ i, is.
Vol. II. contains: Semitic, Egypt and Africa, Geographical, Archaic Greece and the East, Persia and Turkey, China, Central Asia and the Far East, Australasia, Anthropology and Mythology Sections. £i, is.

Uhlenbeck. (C. C.). A Manual of Sanskrit Phonetics. In comparison with the Indogermanic mo-ther-language, for students of Germanic and classical philology. 8vo. pp. II5. 6s.

## Ummagga Yataka. See: Yatawara.

Usha. - The Dawn. A Vedic Periodical, edited by Pandit Satya Vrata Samasrami. 8vo. Published monthly. Annual subscription. £ I. is.

Valmiki. - The Ramayan of Valmiki. Translated into English Verse, by R. T. H. Griffith, M. A., C. I. E. Complete in one Volume. 8vo. Cloth. pp. IX, 576. 7s. 6d.

## Vambery, see: Reis Sidi Ali.

Vivekânanda (Swami). - Lectures delivered in London. Nos. 1-12. $6 d$. each.

Vivekânanda (Swami). - Madras Lectures. 8vo. is. $6 d$.

Vizianagram Sanskrit Series. - Under the Superintendence of Arthur Venis, M.A., Oxon, Principal, Sanskrit College, Benares. Different Prices.

West (Sir Raymond) - Higher Education in India: Its Position and Claims. 8vo. pp. 6I. I892. is.

Wildeboer (G.) - The Origin of the Canon of of the Old Testament. An historico-critical Enquiry. Translated by Wisner Baçon. Edited with

Preface by Prof. George F. Moore. Royal 8vo. Cloth. pp. XII, I82. 7 s .6 d .
> "We will only add that we cordially echo the professor's hope that his book may not only be read by professed students but that it may come also into the hands of such as have already left the University." Guardian.

"The method adopted is that of historical investigation: the student is thus enabled to see how the results of critical inquiry have been obtained .... he accompanies a guide who is familiar with the way which leads to them." - Acadeny.
wThe first thing to notice is the translation. This is how a book ought to be translated.... The book must be used, not read merely ... it is independent, painstaking, farseeing.' - Expository Times.
Winckler (H.) -- The Tell-El-Amarna Letters.
Transliteration, English Translation, Vocabulary, etc. Roy. 8vo. Cloth. pp. XLII, 4i6, and Registers 50 pages. $\mathcal{E}$ I. is. net.

The same. In Paper Covers. $£$ I.

## With the Dutch in the East. See: Cool.

Wright (W.) - The Book of Jonah in four Semitic versions. Chaldee, Syriac, Aethiopic and Arabic. With corresponding glossaries. 8vo. Cloth. pp. 148. 4 s .
"It is a book, which every Hebrew student should possess,.... we recommend it for general usefulness, and thank Dr. van den Biesen for giving it to the English reader." - Yewish World.

[^6]Yatawara (J. B.) -- The Ummaga Yataka, translated into English. In the Pross.

## FOREIGN AND ORIENTAL BOOKS.

Messrs. LUZAC \& Co. having Agents in all the principal Towns of the Continent, America and the East, are able to supply any Books not in stock at the shortest notice and at the most reasonable terms.

Subscriptions taken for all Foreign, American and Oriental Periodicals.

## LIST OF <br> INDIAN GOVERNMENT PUBLICATIONS.

Messrs. Luzac \& Co. are Official Agents for the sale of the Indian Government Publications.

Acts of the several Governments in India. Different dates and prices.
Aden Gazetteer. By Captain F. M. Hunter. 1877. 5s.
Adi Granth. By E. Trumpp. 1877. £ 1.
Agriculture, Report on Indian. By J. A. Voelcker, Ph. D. 1893. 3s. 6d.
Annals of the Calcutta Botanic Gardens:
I. Monograph on Ficus. Part 1. 1887. £ 15 s. Part 2. 1888. £ 2.
II. Species of Artocarpus, \&c. I889. \& i 12 s 6 d .
III. Species of Pedicularis, \&c. 1891. £ 3 гоs.
IV. Anonaceæ of British India. 1893. \& 310 s .
V., Part 1. A Century of Orchids. Memoir of W. Roxburgh. 1895. £ 3 3s. coloured, $\mathfrak{£}$ I $12 s .6 d$. uncoloured.
V., Part 2. A Century of New and Rare Indian Plants. I896. £ I 12s. 6 d .
VI., Part I. Turgescence of Motor Organs of Leaves. Parasitic species of Choanephora. 1895. £ I Ios.
VII. Bambuseæ of British India. I896. £ 2.

Anwar-i-Soheli.' By Colonel H. S. Jarrett. I88o. I5s.
Archæological Survey of India. (New Series):
IX. South Indian Inscriptions. By E. Hultzsch, Ph.D. Vol. I. 1890. 4s.
X. $n \gg \Rightarrow$ Vol. II, Part. I. 1891. 3s. 6 d .

South Indian Inscriptions. By E. Hultzsch, Ph.D. Vol. II, Part 2. 1892. 3s. 6 d .

South Indian Inscriptions. By E. Hultzsch, Ph.D. Vol. II, Part 3. 1895. 5s. 6 d .
XI. Sharqî Architecture of Jaunpur. By A. Führer, Ph.D. 1889. $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{I} s .6 d$.
XII. Monumental Antiquities in the North-West Provinces. By A. Führer, Ph.D. 1891. 13s. $6 d$.
XV. South Indian Buddhist Antiquities. By A. Rea. 1894. i2s. 6 d .
XVII. Architectural, \&c. Remains in Coorg. By A. Rea. 1894. $2 s$.
XVIII. The Moghul Architecture of Fatehpur Sikri. By E. W. Smith. Part I. 1894. £ 15 s.
The Moghul Architecture of Fatehpur Sikri By E. W. Smith. Part 2. 1896. 17s. 6d.
XXI. Châlukyan Architecture. By A. Rea. 1896. £ $12 s$.
XXIII. Muhammadan Architecture in Gujarat. By J. Burgess, C.I.E., LL.D. s896. £ ı.
Army List, The Indian. Quarterly. $4^{s}$.
Art Ware, Photographs of Madras and Burmese. 1886. £ I 155.
Arzis: Bengali, Canarese, Hindi, Mahratta, Malayalam, TamiI, Telugu, and Urdu. 7s. 6d. each.

Translations of the above (except Hindi). 7s. 6d. each.
Beer Casks, Destruction of, by a Boring Beetle. By W. F. H. Blandford. 1893. 6 d.

Bibliographical Index of Indian Philosophical Systems. By F.HaII.1859.9s. Bihar Peasant Life. By G. A. Grierson, Ph.D., C.I.E. $1885.6 s$. $6 d$.
Bihari Language, Seven Grammars of. By G. A. Grierson, Pb.D.C.I.E. ( 8 parts). $1883-87$. £ i.
Bihari, The Satsaiya of. Edited by G. A. Grierson, Ph.D., C.I.E. 1896. 7 s. $6 d$.
Bombay Gazetteer, Edited by J. M. Campbell, LL.D., C.I.E.:
I. (Not yet puhlished). - II. Surat and Broach. 1877. 5s. 6d. III. Kaira and Panch Mahals. 1879. 2s. 6d. - IV. Ahmedabad. 1879. 3s. - V. Cutch, Palanpur, and Mahi Kantha. i88o. 4s. VI. Rewa Kantha, Narukot, Cambay, and Surat States. 1880. 3s. VII. Baroda. 1883. 5s. - VIII. Kathiawar. 1884. 6s. 6d. IX. (Not yet published). - N. Ratnagiri and Savantvadi. 1880. 5s. - XI. Kolaba and Janjira. 1883. 5s. - XII. Khandesh. 1880. $6 s$. - XIII. Thana. (2 parts). 1882. 8s. - XIV. Thana: places of interest. 1882. 5s. - XV. Kanara. (2 parts). 1883. 7s. 6 d. XVI. Nasik. 1883. 6s. 6d. - XVII. Ahmadnagar. 1884. 7s. XVIII. Poona. (3 parts). 1885. 15 s. 6d. - XIX. Satara. 1885. 6s. 6d. - XX. Sholapur. 1884. 5s. - XXI. Belgaum. 1884. 6s. XXII. Dharwar. 1884. 7s. 6d. - XXIII. Bijapur. 1884. 6s. 6 d. XXIV. Kolhapur. 1886. 5s. - XXV. Botany of the Presideucy. 1886. 4s. 6d. - XXVI. Materials for a Statistical of Bombay Town and Island, Parts I., II., and III. 1893-94. 5s. each.
British Burma Gazetteer. Edited by H. R. Spearman. ( 2 vols.) 1879 -8o. £ 1 I3s. 6 d.
Buddha Gaya; the Hermitage of Sakya Muni. By Rajendralal Mitra. 1878. £ 3 .

Burmese, Tables for the Transliteration of, into English. I896. Is.

Catalogue of the India Office Library, Vol. I (with Index). r888. Ios. 6 d .
" of the "Arabic MSS. in the India Office Library. By O. Loth. 1877. 15 s.
" of the Mandalay MSS. in the India Office Library. By V .Fausböll. 1897. 2 s.
of the Pali MSS. in the India Office Library. By H. Oldenberg. 1882. 5 s.
of the Sanskrit MSS. in the India Office Library. By Dr. J. Eggeling. (Parts I to V). 1887-96. ios. 6d. each.
of Sanskrit MSS., Bikanir. By Rajendralal Mitra. 1880. 35 .
Tanjore. By A. C. Burnell. i880. £ I ins. 6 d. of MSS. in Oudh. By A. Sprenger 1854. I 5 s.
Chestnuts, Papers on Spanish. With Introduction by Sir George Birdwood, K. C. 1., C. S. I. 1892. is.
Cholera, What can the State do to prevent is? By Dr. J. M. Cunningham. 1884. 3 s.
Coorg Gazetteer. 1884. 5s.
Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum:
I. Inscriptions of Asoka. By Major-General Sir A. Cunningham, K. C. I. E., C.S.1. 1877. 9s. 6 d .
II. (Not yet published.)
III. Inscriptions of the early Gupta King. By J. F. Fleet, C.I.E. 1889. £ 113 s .6 d . with plates. £ I without plates.

Covenanted Civil Servants, Manual of Rules applicable to. Second edition. 1891. 2s. 6 d .
Dictionary of Indian Economic Products. By Dr. Geo Watt, C.I.E. ( 6 vols. in 9). 1889—93. £ 33 s.
Ditto, Index to. 1896.35 .
Durga puja. By Pratapa Chandra Ghosha. 1871. 6s.
English-Sanskrit Dictionary. By Sir M. Monier-Williams, K. C.I.E. 1851. fi 10 .

Fibres. Report on Indian. By C. F. Cross, E. J. Bevan, \&c. 1887. 5s.
Finance and Revenue Accounts of the Government of India. Annual volumes. 2s. $6 \pi$. each.
Forest Working Plans. By W. E. D'Arcy. (Second edition). I8g2. 1s. 6 d .
Fort St. George Diary and Consultation Books: 168I (Selection) 1893. 3s. $6 d .-1682.1894 .4$ s. - 1683. 1894. 5s. 6 d. - 1684.1895. 5s. $6 d .-1685.1895 .7 s$.
Geological Survey Department Publications.
Glossary of Indian Terms. By H. H. Wilson. 1855. £ 1 ros.
Hastings, Warren, Selections from the Records of the Foreign Department relating to the Administration of. Edited by G. W. Forrest, B. A. (3 vols.) 18go. I6s.

The Administration of. (A reprint of the Introduction to the foregoing.) By G. W. Forrest, B. A. 1892. 5s. 6 d .
India Qffice Marine Records, List of. 1896. $5 s$.
Kachin Language, Handbook of the. By H. F. Hertz. I895. Is.

Lansdowne, Lord, The Administration of. By G. W. Forrest, B. A. 1894. 2s. 6 d .

Lepcha Grammar. By Colonel G. P. Mainwaring. 1876. 3 s.
Lighthouse Construction and Illumination, Report on. By F. W. Ashpitel. 1895. £ I 9s. $6 d$.

Madras District Manuals (revised issues:)
South Canara ( 2 vols.) 1894. 4s.
North Arcot ( 2 vols.) 1895. 6 s .
Malabar Manual. By W. Logan. (3 vols.) 189ı. £ $12 s .6 d$.
Manava-Kalpa-Sutra. By Th. Goldstücker. 186r. £ 3.
Manual of Hydraulics. By Captain H. D. Love, R. E. 1890.55.
Marathi Dictionary. By J. T. Molesworth. 1857. 16s.
Marathi Grammar. By the Rev. Ganpatrao R. Navalkar. (Third edition.) 1894. 10s. $6 d$.

Meteorological Department Publications.
Muntakhabat-i-Urdu. (Second edition.) 1887. is. 10 d .
Mutiny, the Indian, Selections from the Records of the Military Department relating to. Edited by G. W. Forrest, B. A. Vol. I. 1893. $12 s$. $6 d$.

North-East Frontier of Bengal, Relations of the Government with the Hill Tribes of the. By Sir Alexander Mackenzie, K. C. S. I. 1884. $6 s .6 d$.
North-West Provinces Gazetteer:

1. Bundelkhand, 1874. 8s. 6d. - II. Meerut Part. I. 1875. 6s. 6d.III. Meerut, Part. II. 1876. 8s. 6d. - IV. Agra, Part. I. 1876. 8s. 6d. - V. Rohilkhand. 1879. 8s. 6d. - V1. Cawnpore, Gorakhpur and Basti. 1881. 9s. - VII. Farukhabad and Agra. I884. 8s. - VIII. Muttra, Allahabad and Fatehpur. 1884. Ios. - IX. Shahjahanpur, Moradabad aud Rampur Native State. 1883. 8s. X. Himalayan Districts, Part. I. 1882. 13s. - XI. Himalayan Districts, Part. II. 1884. 12s. 6d. - XII. Himalayan Districts Part. III. 1886. 12s. - XIII. Azamgarh, Ghazipur and Ballia' 1883. 8s. - XIV. Benares, Mirzapur and Jaunpur. 1884. Ios.

Oudh Gazetteer. (3 vols.) 1877-78. £ i.
Paintings, \&c. in the India Office, Descriptive Catalogue of. By W. Forster. 1893. Is.
Prakrita Prakasa. By E. B. Cowell. 1854. 9s.
Prem Sagar. By E. B. Eastwick. 1851. 155 .
Rajputana Gazetteer. (3 vols.) 1879-80. 15 s .
Rigveda Sanhita. Vols. IV to VI. By Professor Max Miiller. 1862-74. $£ 212 s$. 6 d . per volume.
Index to ditto. £2 5 s.
Rigveda Translations. By H. H. Wilson. Vols 1, III and IV. 185066. 13s. $6 d$. per volume.

Vols. V and VI. i888. i8s. per volume.
Sanskritt MSS. in S. India, First and Second Reports on. By Dr. Hultzsch. 1895-96. Is. 8d. each.
Scientific Memoirs by Medical Officers of the Indian Army:
Part I. 1885. 2s. 6d. - Part 11. 1887. 2s. 6d. - Part 11I. 1888.

4s. - Part IV. 1889. 2s. 6d. - Part V. 1890. 4s. - Part VI. 1891. 4s. - Part VII. 1892. 4s. - Part VIII. 1893. 4s. Part IN. 1895. 4 s .
Selections from the Records of the Burmese Hluttaw. 1889. $6 s$.
Sikkim Gazetteer. By H. H. Risley, C. I. E., and others. 1894. 12s. 6 d .
Specimens of Languages in India. By Sir G. Campbell, K. C. S. I. 1874. £ 1. $16 s$.

Survey Department Publications.
Surveys 1875-90, Memoir on the Indian. By C. E. D. Black. 1891. 7 s. 6 d .
Tamil Papers. By Andrew Robertson. 1890. $4 s$.
Technical Art Series of Illustrations of Indian Architectural Decorative Work for the use of Art Schools and Craftsmen:

1886-87. (6 plates.) $2 s .-1888-89$. (18 plates.) $6 s .-1890$. ( 12 plates.) $4 s .-1891$. ( 18 plates.) $6 s .-1892$. ( 13 plates.) 4s. 6 d. - 1893. (12 plates) $4 s .-1894$. ( 14 plates.) 5 s. 1895. (12 plates.) $4^{s .}$ - 1896. ( 15 plates.) $4 s$.

Telegu Reader. By C. P. Brown. (2 vols.) 1852. I4s.
Textile Manufactures and Costumes of the People of India. By ])r. Forbes. Watson. 1866. £i. 1 s.
Tibetan-English Dictionary. By H. A. Jaeschke. 188ı. £ i.
Timber, Mensuration of. By P. J. Carter. 1893. is.
Tobacco. Cultivation and Preparation of, in India. By Dr. Forbes Watson. 187r. 5 s.
Tombs or Monuments in Bengal, Inscriptions on. Edited by C. R. Wilson, M.A. 1896. 3 s .6 d .
Vikramarka, Tales of. By Ravipati Gurumurti. 1850. is.
Yield tables of the Scotch Pine. By W. Schlich, Ph. D. i889. is.
N.B. In addition to the above, a large number of departmental reports, \&c., are on sale at the various Government presses in India. These publications are not kept in stock at the India Office; but should copies of them be required, they will be furnished (on payment), as far as possible, from the supply received for official purposes.

In all cases applications for publications must be made through the official agents.
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## LUZAC'S ORIENTAL LIST.

## NOTICE TO OUR READERS.

With this number we enter upon the eighth year of the publication of our "Oriental List." Four years ago in the first number of our fourth volume we thanked our readers for the generous support we had received from various quarters, including some flattering notices in our contemporaries referring to the value of our "List", and we now tender our thanks to an extended circle of readers. Within recent years the number of works on oriental subjects has increased enormously, and our "List" was started with the object of furnishing a record of such works which should be published at regular intervals. Our aim has therefore been to give each month a complete list of oriental books published in England, on the Continent, in the East and in America, while under the heading "Notes and News" we have endeavoured to give a faithful account of the progress made during the month in the various branches of oriental learning, literature and archaeology. The encouragement we have continuously received from the beginning of the undertaking emboldens us to believe that the "List" has really supplied a want on the part of those who from taste or profession are interested in the languages, literatures and antiquities of the East, and we therefore venture to appeal to our readers who are in the habit of consulting our «List" when making out their orders to send them to us direct.

London, Jan. '98.
LUZAC \& Co.





[^0]:    "The Biblical World makes a faithful record and helpful critic of present Biblical Work, as well as an efficient practical and positive independent force in stimulating and instructing the student, preacher and teacher"

[^1]:    "Dr. Budge's book will be welcome as a handy reading book for advanced students of Syriac, but in the mean time the stories will be an addition to the literature of gnomes and proverbs, of which so many are found in India, and in Persian, Hebrew and Arabic, although not yet published. We are happy to say that Dr. Budge's new book is well edited and translated as far as we can judge". - Athencum.
    "The worthy Syrian Bishops idea of humour may excite admiration when we hear that he collected his quips in the grey dawn of the middle ages". - Pall Mall Gazette.
    "Man sieht, das Buch ist in mehr als einer Hinsicht interessant, und wir sind Budge für die Herausgabe aufrichtig dankbar. - Lit. Centrall.
    "Sous le titre de Récits amusants, le célèbre polygraphe syrien Barhébraeus a réuni une collection de sept cent vingt-sept contes, divisés en vingt chapitres et renfermant des aphorismes, des anecdotes et des fables d'animaux ayant un caractère soit moral, soit simplement récréatif. Lee livre nous était connu par quelques spécimens publiés prececi-

[^2]:    "There are, it is to be feared, but few books published in this country from which English readers can obtain information as to the doings of the Dutch in their Eastern colonies. - For this reason we are glad that Capt. Cool's account of the Lombock expedition has been translated." Athenaum.

[^3]:    "The book contains an interesting account of the Balinese and Sassak customs, and throws some light on the introduction of the Mabomedan and Hindu religions into Lombock . . . The translation by Miss E. J. Taylor is satisfactory, and some of the illustrations are excellent." - The Times.
    "Lombock forms a small link in the long chain of volcanic lands... T'o folklorists and students of primitive religions it has always presented many attractive features... They will be much interested in the local traditions recorded in the volume before us. Miss Taylor's version deserves a word of recognition, and the general equipment of the book is creditable to the Amsterdam press. There is a good index." - Academy.

[^4]:    "His work rises considerably above the level commonly attained by colonial histories written from a colonial point of view". - Times.

[^5]:    "lt may suffice.to congratulate ourselves that a scholar of vigorous mind and accurate philological training is devoting his leisure to a subject worthy of attention... Very many of the notes are in a high degree stimulating and suggestive. The get up of the book is excellent" Academ'.
    "Dr. RUben shows much originality, a wide knowledge of authorities, and a true grasp of critical principles". - Ferwish Chronicle.

[^6]:    "It is one of those books which will become indispensable to the English student who will desire to become acquainted with the construction of Hebrew syntax.... this takes a high rank and will undoubtedly become a general text book on the subject in many colleges and universities." American Hebrea New's.
    Wynkoop (J. D.) -- Hebrew Grammar. Translated from the Dutch by C. van den Biesen. 8ro. Cloth. 2s. 6d. net.

